

Your CONSENT shapes your life and world. Without your inherent power of consent, you are a slave. If you abandon your Right of Consent you will become a slave to those who would exploit you. All life defends its free will, because failure to do so is suicidal. The maintenance of your Rights is your Responsibility. Yet, most people opposed to onerous government policies, give their consent to those policies anyway. Why? Let's examine "the system" ...

In 1913, the US Federal Reserve was established by private bankers. They planned to indebt the nation and the world by encouraging financial speculation and international capital flows ("Roaring Twenties"), until the global economy crashed ("Black Tuesday" 1929), after which they would foreclose ("New Deal" 1933).

This was their foreclosure plan:

(AND THIS APPLIES IN ALL LANDS & COLONIES OF THE OLD BRITISH EMPIRE)

"[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a National system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will affect our security as a chargeback for our fiat paper currency.

Every American will be forced to register or suffer not being able to work and earn a living. They will be our chattel, and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions. Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading [Birth Certificate] to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges.

They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two would figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability.

After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges. This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud which we will call "Social Insurance."

Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner; every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly.

The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America. [attributed to Colonel Edward Mandell House in a letter to Woodrow Wilson (President 1913-1921)]

In due course, Congress confirmed the bankruptcy through the Joint Resolution to Suspend the Gold Standard and Abrogate the Gold Clause, June 5, 1933, House Joint Resolution (HJR) 192, June 5, 1933, 73rd Congress, 1st Session, Public Law 73-10.

Since the “New Deal” Social Security Act (1933), and the Securities and Exchange Act (1934), systems of Social Security, Social Welfare, Birth Certificate registration, and central bank monitors, have been introduced throughout all Chapter 11 bankrupt nations.

Known as the “New Deal” in the United States, and Social Welfare in Commonwealth nations, the “deal” gave public “benefits”, “privileges”, and “debt”, to the people, in exchange for their private “rights”, “freedoms”, and their lifelong “credit” (labour and property).

When a baby is born, the hospital sends the original of the “record of live birth” (not a copy) to the government, which creates a Birth Certificate Bond by claiming the legal title of the baby’s Estate, later appearing as a legal fiction name, i.e. JOHN DOE. This bond is registered as a Security, which the Treasury uses as Surety for new Treasury securities such as Treasury Bonds, Notes and Bills.

As was planned, men and women provide their “commercial value” to the financial masters of the State via the artificial “legal person” created on the Birth Certificate.

The public “registration” of the Given name with the family name creates an artificial “legal personality” administered by the State, so anyone who is unaware of this mirroring device will unwittingly “act” as a “legal person” transferring their “commercial value” to the State. This “transmitting utility” is called a “straw man.”

‘Straw man. A “front”; a third party who is put up in name only to take part in a transaction. Nominal party to a transaction; one who acts as an agent for another for the purpose of taking title to real property and executing whatever documents and instruments the principal may direct respecting the property. Person who purchases property, or to accomplish some purpose otherwise not allowed.’
[Black’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, p 1421]

The word *person* is a derivative of *persona*, which is a theatrical “mask” worn by actors in Greek drama. “Persons” are “actors” often “performing” roles in “costumes” (uniforms) who enact “language” (legalese) to deliver “presentations” (bills), and sometimes they “appear” in a court (theatre).

Any living man or woman who “acts” in the “role” of a “legal person” is an “accommodation party” in “joinder” with the fictional “legal person”. This “joinder” creates an “indivisible duo” (individual), and by this artifice the living jurisdiction (de jure lawful) is surrendered and replaced by the artificial jurisdiction (de facto legal).

A “legal person” is a fictional creation of the State, and therefore it is controlled by the State. The “legal person” is the government’s property, employee, debtor and servant, bound to comply with the government’s statutes (acts, bills, legislative instruments), which are the “terms and conditions” of its “status”.

Fictional creatures of the State have “status”, whereas living men and women with flesh and blood arms and legs have “standing”. The “artificial person” has no “standing”.

“Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with the tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any [government] law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them.” [PENHALLOW v. DOANE'S ADMINISTRATORS]

There is a false presumption that you give up your Right of Consent when a government is elected, which is not logical, because that would allow a government to erode all your rights during its elected term, as a dictatorship.

When we vote at elections, we simply decide which political party will form the Executive, giving that party a conditional mandate to govern subject to our consent for their policies enacted in statutes. Voting in an election does not contractually surrender our inherent right to self-determination until the next election.

Since the government is a creation of the people, it cannot possibly have jurisdiction over its creators, **unless by consent**. Each and every statute enacted by government legislation requires consent from each and every man and woman, including you!

However, consent is given in many ways, often without fully realising it. The definition of the word “consent” includes to “yield” and to “give way to”. The moment you agree to anything you are asked to do, you are giving your consent. If you get a parking ticket it is an invitation to pay, and if you pay it you are consenting to the ticket contract. Even the Police need your consent before they can arrest you,

because before they can arrest you they must read you your rights and then ask “do you understand” (stand under their authority), and if you say “yes” – you are consenting in law.

Maxim in Law: *Consent makes the law. A contract is a law between the parties, which can acquire force **only by consent**.*

The statutes, which are not “laws” but State franchises that create and govern legal personalities/corporations by consent, have been corrupted to extract “commercial value” from the people via the legal “persons”. It is **PRESUMED**, by acquiescence, that you consent to the “person’s” (State’s) debts, unless you say: “It ain’t me.”

Statutory “Law”, or Admiralty Maritime Commercial (*Law of the Sea*), contains the codes, rules, and regulations of a nation’s legal commerce. The international codification is the U.C.C. (Uniform Commercial Code), without which reliable international trade would not be possible through contracts. The doctrines of the Common Law have been adopted as the essentials of Contract Law. This provides people with lawful protection from exploitation, since legislation, bank “loans”, and all commerce depends on consented contracts.

Common Law (*Law of the Land*), in New Zealand, is acknowledged in the *Imperial Laws Applications Act, 1988*. The Common Law contains the precepts of “right and wrong” and “justice”, and holds that a man or a woman should live honourably, hurt nobody, deceive nobody, and render to everyone his or her due. Such laws are Common Sense for living people, who are also subject to higher Natural Laws.

The proper venue of “justice” for a lawful man or woman is a Constitutionally sanctioned, common-law-venue-court. However, the Judiciary comprises a “Commercial List” of judges who primarily adjudicate commercial statutory courts, which are “administrative courts” and **UNLAWFUL**. Any court without a jury present is an “administrative court”.

‘The law is absolutely clear on this subject. There is no authority for administrative courts in this country, and no Act can be passed to legitimise them.’ - Halsbury’s Law 2011

Requests by a man or a woman to a judge to convene a Common Law court *de jure* are routinely denied, breaching the judge’s Oath of Office to uphold the Common Law, which is contempt of court.

A society of “justice” can only be protected when its members retain the knowledge of their “standing” and exercise their lawful Right to Contract. Without consent, there can be no contract, and no jurisdiction. No Contract = No Jurisdiction.

If the people forsake their sovereign Rights, their Nation will be captured, until corruption defiles all the agencies of their government. Deceit for power is a dangerous game, and the masters of “the system” have been careful to provide incentives in the form of “social insurance” and other “benefits” and so-called

“privileges”. But the State cannot grant any privilege that a living man or woman does not already have as a Right.

To awaken to your “standing” as a living man or woman is a strange experience, for we are conditioned from an early age to be fearful and compliant, and to trust the “powers that be”. We are daily bombarded with dis-information, entertainments, distractions and trivialities, in a debt-based system that subjects us to *scarcity, dependence and control*.

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free.” – Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

At first, the deception of “the name” is difficult to accept. However, the documents produced after a baby is born will often reveal that a legal fiction twin was created at a later date.

In New Zealand, your “Notification of Birth for Registration” is your affidavit of Life. This document, which is seldom obtained, established a “public trust” by “operation of law” in which you are the “beneficiary” while all the public officers of the State are your “trustees” with a “fiduciary duty” to serve the trust. This document forms the *prima facie* evidence for the creation of the Birth Certificate and the legal “person”, through which your living “Estate” is pledged against IMF obligations.

Importantly, you are the “holder in due course” of your “Estate”, and since claims on the living man or woman depend entirely on false assumptions and presumptions, you can rebut any claim and regain your living rights, because plainly you are not a dead legal fiction “person”. Under the *Cestui Que Vie Act 1666, IV ‘If the supposed dead MAN proves to be alive, then the title is revested.’*

You were born “entitled”. You are the owner of your “Estate” and therefore the legal “person” is your rightful property, with all rights and entitlements owned by YOU, as a Grantor, not a Debtor, to the State.

Disassociating your living self from the dead legal “person” is an adventure in self discovery. The rules of the game are written in a language called “legalese”, and the name of the game, invented in the 1930s, is Monopoly. In this game of commerce you are expected to be a Merchant at Law who is an expert in paper instruments.

Maxim in Law: *He who fails to assert his rights has none.*

Dealing with the legal “person” “actors” who habitually “presume” that you are consenting to “act” as a legal “person” under their jurisdiction, requires knowledge, patience, backbone, self-possession – literally, and a measure of empathy toward those who falsely believe in their illusory paper world of bondage.

Any State process requiring a signature is a contract by consent, as is simply answering to the spoken “fictional name”.

Legal “person” “actors” who make presentments are **ALWAYS** looking for a legal “person”. They **NEED** you in “joinder” because they have no claim against a man or a woman.

When a legal “person” “actor”, or their agency, sends a presentment in the post, it is always addressed to a legal “person”, indicated by the use of all-capital-letters, JOHN DOE, or by the use of a fictional prefix, Mr John Doe. Presentments are offers of contract seeking your “commercial value”. *They are not addressed to you.* However, if you ignore a presentment, you are by inaction giving your “tacit consent by acquiescence”, so it is essential to “rebut the presumption” by writing a letter to the sender in their “private capacity”, or simply by writing ‘*No Contract, Return to Sender*’ on the envelope.

Just ask them to use your proper English lawful name, John: Doe, and see what happens. Their accounting software seems unable to use proper grammatical, lawful names.

Answering the phone becomes an exercise in caution, because if you answer to the spoken legal “person” name, you are instantly in “joinder”, and having taken the bait you are caught in a legal net. Instead, it is common sense to establish who is calling, and if they are seeking a “publicly registered legal personality created by the State”, at which point you can point out that “there is no one here who is acting in that role.”

In documents, your signature IS your consent to “act” in “joinder” with the fictional legal “person” under statutory jurisdiction, giving up your sovereign living rights to obtain whatever “benefit” or “privilege” is on offer.

Any government/corporate document to which you commit your valuable signature is a contract by consent. You cannot be forced to sign anything without your willing consent, because that would be extortion. If you are told that you must sign a document, that is a lie, and attempted extortion in law.

Your hand-written signature is your contract pledge, your sovereign power, your commercial energy (money), and a record of your Life.

When you sign a contract, you can protect yourself by adding “All Rights Reserved” below your name, as an “unambiguous declaration” of your Life. This creates an “autograph” as opposed to the “sign” of a fictional character by an “actor”. Contracts can only be made between like entities, “human with human”, or “person with person”, and are otherwise void.

States/corporations are legal fictions with no jurisdiction over living men and women.

We are all born equal in the eyes of the law, with inalienable Rights, Responsibilities, and Credit. Governments are instituted by the people and all public

officers serve as “public servants” responsible and accountable to the people. Sovereignty resides in the People, whose power is the source of Law.

If the people’s authority, partly delegated to their government, is turned against the people, the “public trust” is betrayed. Sadly, centuries of monetary, legal and political manipulation have deeply subverted our government, establishing a global power elite who rule at the expense of the majority, transferring wealth upward via interest-bearing-debt, and mindlessly destroying people and the natural world.

Nevertheless, the foundation of our government is the “public trust”, which still endows the People with the Sovereign power of the Nation.

The beginning of freedom is the realization that your “public trust” is there to serve your Life, not take it. The power of your Life is found in your knowledge and your non-fictional actions. Freedom is not given, but earned, and it is held by *attention* to your living Rights. You are free the moment you feel equality and freedom in your heart.

On this journey, it wise to go peacefully with honour, for honour is the foundation of the common law, and that is where you “stand”. Fictions of the State have “status”, while living men and woman with real arms and legs have “standing”.

This article is not legal advice to any man or woman, because we are each Responsible for our own knowledge and actions, and should be.

Ultimately, freedom lives in your heart and is not reliant on written words. All that is written is spelling. “Legalese” is a belief system, and the legal fiction “person” is a prison of the mind.

Maxim in Law: *Legality is not reality.*

Living people have many powers: the powers of Life, Sovereignty, Reason, Decision, Consent, Contract, Attorney, Credit, and many other powers, including the powers of Compassion, Love, and Learning.

But none of these powers exist unless you *know who you are*.

Many people complain about the corrupt “system”, and yet all who “act” as “persons” literally have no Right in law, no power of Life. Having forsaken their Life, they enable corruption.

The world we create is the one to which we give our consent.

DO YOU CONSENT?