

*With Lawful Excuse*



ThinkFREE  
A GRAPHIC MANUAL ON FREEDOM



# With Lawful Excuse

A Graphic Handbook of Freedom

Publisher: Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society and Freddie Freepickle Productions

In association with the Jimmy Justice Institute of Analytical Reasoning

And the David Dogood School of Logical Deconstruction

Design & Layout: Author

Printing: Yes, on paper.

*The information in this book is complete and true to the best of the authors knowledge and belief. The author and publisher disclaim all liability in connection with the use of this book.*

*The author is not a lawyer and this book does not contain any legal advice.*

*Knowledge is power, and this book definitely holds a lot of it. What you do with it is entirely up to you.*

*I cannot be responsible for the acts of idiots, fools, the spiritually slumbering or those who want power over their fellow man.*

*This work is solely for entertainment, education, and discussion purposes. Oh yea, and to try and create a better society.*

*All rights reserved under International and Pan-American and Inter-Galactic Multi-Species Copyright Conventions.*

*This book, or any part thereof, may not be reproduced in any fashion whatsoever without the express prior written permission of the author. That permission will be readily given to any group acting for a just social cause, or from another Galaxy.*

(Just 'because I always wanted to meet someone from another Galaxy.)

Visit [www.thinkfree.ca](http://www.thinkfree.ca)





**THIS BOOK  
IS ENTIRELY  
FOR YOU!**



# Introduction

## PERSONAL GROWTH AND SPIRITUAL FREEDOM

*YOU HAVE BEEN LABORING UNDER AN ILLUSION AND YOU CONTINUE TO DO SO BECAUSE YOU THINK DOING SO IS EASIER THEN UNDERSTANDING AND DEFEATING THE DECEPTION AND ILLUSION.*

*THAT IS NOT THE TRUTH. THE ILLUSION IS LIKE A SHADOW AND YOU ARE A SOURCE OF LIGHT AND ALL SHADOWS WILL DISAPPEAR WHEN YOU SHINE YOUR LIGHT PROPERLY.*

*YOU WILL LIKELY FEEL A LOT OF FEAR, ANGER AND SHAME. YOU WILL BE ANGRY AT THE SO CALLED AUTHORITIES FOR MAINTAINING THIS DECEPTION. YOU WILL BE FEARFUL OF SPEAKING YOUR TRUTH TO THE EXISTING POWER STRUCTURE BECAUSE YOU HAVE NEVER TRULY DONE SO BEFORE, AND THEY HAVE A REPUTATION FOR HURTING.*

*YOU WILL FEEL A SENSE OF SHAME THAT YOU ALLOWED THIS DECEPTION TO CONTINUE FOR SO LONG. ALL OF THESE WILL PASS AND WHEN THEY DO YOU WILL BEGIN TO SEE THE TRUTH. THIS IS THE TRUTH THAT SETS YOU FREE BOTH SPIRITUALLY FROM YOUR FEAR, ANGER AND SHAME AND FROM THE LEGAL STRUCTURE THAT YOU ARE PRESENTLY STRUGGLING UNDER.*

*YOU WILL FIND IT IS VERY EASY TO POINT TO OTHERS AND CLAIM THAT THEIR ACTIONS HARMED YOU AND ARE COMPLETELY INNOCENT. THIS MINDSET ALTHOUGH IT MAY APPEAR AT FIRST TO EMPOWER YOU WILL ACTUALLY DO THE OPPOSITE, BECAUSE YOU WILL NEVER ACCEPT THE POWER YOU HAVE AND HAVE ALWAYS HAD OVER YOUR OWN REALITY. ONLY WHEN YOU ACCEPT THAT YOUR ACTIONS LED YOU TO WHERE YOU ARE NOW WILL YOU FIND THE PATH THAT LEADS TO YOUR FREEDOM.*

*THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU HERE TO TAKE SIMPLE STEPS THAT WILL ALLOW YOU TO FULLY AND COMPLETELY REGAIN YOUR FREEDOM. IT IS NOT A HARMFUL PROCESS NOR IS IT IN ANY WAY UNLAWFUL IT IS MERELY THE TRUTH WHICH HAS ALWAYS BEEN AND WHEN PROPERLY APPLIED ALWAYS WORKS. CHANCES ARE YOU HAVE A DRIVERS LICENSE, BUT HAVE NEVER READ THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT UNDER WHICH YOU APPLIED. CHANCES ARE YOU DO NOT EVEN KNOW WHAT THE WORD 'APPLY' REALLY MEANS AND IF YOU DID YOU WOULD HAVE TO ASK YOURSELF WHY YOU SHOULD APPLY TO THE GOVERNMENT IF THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE YOUR SERVANTS.*

*THE INFORMATION PRESENTED HEREIN IS POWERFUL AND DANGEROUS TO ATTEMPT TO APPLY IF YOU ARE MOTIVATED BY GREED, PERSONAL GAIN OR PROFIT. IF YOU THINK THE INFORMATION WILL ALLOW YOU TO AVOID RESPONSIBILITIES YOU ARE INCORRECT. FREEDOM REQUIRES A HIGHER LEVEL OF RESPONSIBILITY AND THAT IS WHY MANY SEEM TO FEAR IT. WITH THE TRUTH YOU CAN DEFINE AND CREATE YOUR OWN REALITY AND HOW THE GOVERNMENT DEALS WITH YOU. IT WILL NOT EMPOWER YOU TO BREAK ANY LAWS. IT WILL HOWEVER STOP PEOPLE FROM IMPOSING WHAT IS MERELY THEIR WILL ON YOU AS IF IT WERE THE LAW.*

*THIS INFORMATION HAS BEEN COLLECTED AND ASSEMBLED IN ORDER TO ALLOW FOR EASY UNDERSTANDING AND COMPREHENSION BY ANYONE WHO IS WILLING TO READ WITH AN OPEN MIND. IT IS UP TO YOU TO DECIDE IF THE INFORMATION PRESENTED HAS ANY VALUE OR IF IT IS THE TRUTH. IF YOU DECIDE IT IS THE TRUTH WHAT YOU DO WITH IT IS ENTIRELY UP TO YOU AS WELL.*

*WE AT THINKFREE WISH YOU THE BEST ON YOUR JOURNEY AND WOULD LIKE TO REMIND YOU OF THE WORDS OF THE VERY WISE BILL HICKS:*

"Today a young man on acid realized that all matter is merely energy condensed to a slow vibration, that we are all one consciousness experiencing itself subjectively, there is no such thing as death, life is only a dream, and we are the imagination of ourselves."



IMAGES TAKEN OFF THE WEB... WITH SOME  
SUPER COOL PICTURES FROM BARRY GNYP.

I WISH  
SOMEONE  
WOULD HELP ME  
SEE...

THERE IS NO  
SUCH THING AS  
'KINDA FREE'.

DID I PUT  
MONEY IN THE  
METER?

THE PURPOSE OF THIS WORK IS TO HELP  
THOSE WHO FEEL LIKE THEY ARE DROWNING  
IN GOVERNMENT RULES THEY CAN'T  
UNDERSTAND AND IS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE  
LAWFUL REMEDY.  
IT IS NOT LEGAL ADVICE.



# THIS IS YOURS!



SOME PEOPLE THOUGH WANT YOU TO BELIEVE THAT NOT ONLY DOES THE PLANET BELONG TO THEM AND THEM ALONE, BUT THAT YOU DO AS WELL.

REGARDLESS OF HOW BADLY SOME PEOPLE WANT YOU TO BELIEVE OTHERWISE, THIS PLANET BELONGS IN PART TO YOU.

THEY WILL TREAT YOU LIKE YOU ARE THEIR PROPERTY AND THEY WILL DO SO UNTIL YOU GROW UP CLAIM OTHERWISE.

THEY DO IT FOR MONEY, POWER AND CONTROL. THEY DO IT BECAUSE THEY CAN'T FEEL THE UNIVERSAL LOVE YOU CAN. THEY ARE VERY SAD INDEED.

The mass of mankind has not been born with saddles on their backs, nor a favored few to ride them.




*LIBERTY HAS NEVER COME FROM THE GOVERNMENT. LIBERTY HAS ALWAYS COME FROM THE SUBJECTS OF IT. THE HISTORY OF LIBERTY IS A HISTORY OF RESISTANCE. ~WOODROW WILSON*

*LIBERTY MEANS RESPONSIBILITY. THAT IS WHY MOST MEN DREAD IT. ~GEORGE BERNARD SHAW, MAN AND SUPERMAN, "MAXIMS: LIBERTY AND EQUALITY," 1905*

*THE PEOPLE NEVER GIVE UP THEIR LIBERTIES BUT UNDER SOME DELUSION. ~EDMUND BURKE*

*"IT IS QUITE POSSIBLE THAT WE CAN DO GREATER THINGS THAN JESUS, FOR WHAT IS WRITTEN IN THE BIBLE ABOUT HIM IS POETICALLY EMBELLISHED." ALBERT EINSTEIN; QUOTED IN W. I. HERMANN'S, "A TALK WITH EINSTEIN," OCTOBER 1943,*

*No duty, however, binds us to these so-called laws, whose corrupting influence menaces what is noblest in our being...*



# FROM THE DESK OF A FREEMAN

"DEAR GOVERNMENT,

I REALIZE WE DON'T HAVE MANY HEART-TO-HEARTS, AS YOU'VE BECOME RATHER DISTANT RECENTLY, BUT I THINK NOW IS THE TIME, AS THERE IS NO BETTER TIME THAN THE PRESENT, AS THEY SAY.

WE'VE BEEN TOGETHER A LONG LONG TIME, IN FACT, YOU'VE HAD ME SINCE I WAS A YOUNG CHILD, AND I GREW ACCUSTOMED TO YOUR 'LOVE'.

HOWEVER, ONLY RECENTLY, IT HAS OCCURRED TO ME, THAT OUR RELATIONSHIP HAS BECOME RATHER INTENSE, AND FOR THE MOST PART, ONE-SIDED.

WAY BACK WHEN, (WHEN YOU TRICKED ME INTO BEING YOUR PARTNER), I THOUGHT I HAD NO CHOICE, BUT I'VE GROWN UP A LOT SINCE THEN, AND NOW KNOW LIFE IS FULL OF CHOICES.

I THINK IT IS TIME I WROTE YOU TO GET THINGS OFF MY CHEST.

I'VE SPENT A FEW YEARS NOW, THINKING I COULD "CHANGE YOU", BUT I'VE REALIZED THERE IS NO USE, YOU ARE TOO FAR GONE. YOU'VE ABANDONED YOUR COMMON SENSE, AND THERE IS NO MORE REASONING WITH YOU.

IT SEEMS EVERY FEW YEARS, YOU WEAR A DIFFERENT COLOUR JACKET, YOU CHANGE YOUR STYLE, YOU CHANGE YOUR FACE AND MANNERISMS, BUT YOUR END ACTIONS ARE ALWAYS THE SAME. YOUR VANITY IS WHAT IS REALLY UPSETTING TO ME THE MOST. IT SEEMS ALL YOU CAN DO IS TALK ABOUT YOURSELF. YOU'VE ALSO STOPPED LISTENING TO ME.

NOT ONLY HAVE YOU STOPPED LISTENING TO ME, BUT YOU'VE NOW STARTED TELLING ME WHAT I CAN AND CANNOT DO. THIS IS NOT HOW THINGS WERE INTENDED TO GO, WHEN MY BROTHERS AND SISTERS CREATED YOU MANY MOONS AGO.

EVERY ONCE IN A WHILE YOU GIVE ME A LITTLE SHINY OBJECT TO ADMIRE, AND I PLAY WITH IT FOR A WHILE THINKING IT WILL MAKE ME HAPPY, BECAUSE THAT WHAT YOU TELL ME TO THINK. YOU ALSO TELL ME HOW "NICE" IT WAS FOR YOU TO GIVE IT TO ME. THEN YOU TAKE IT AWAY. SOMETIMES YOU LET ME KEEP IT, BUT ONLY IF IT KEEPS ME DISTRACTED.

I'VE REALIZED THAT THOSE LITTLE SHINY OBJECTS ARE JUST WORTHLESS ITEMS, LIKE FROM THE BUBBLE-GUM MACHINE. LITTLE SHINY DISTRACTIONS, THAT YOU AND YOUR NEW BUDDIES THE "MEDIA" USE TO DISTRACT ME FROM THE REAL-ISSUES.

YOU ARE ALWAYS CHANGING THE SUBJECT.

BUT YOU LISTEN TO YOUR OLDER BROTHER!, WHO LIVES DOWNSTAIRS, AND YOU ALWAYS DO AS HE SAYS. YOU PRETEND TO FIGHT WITH HIM, JUST TO TRY TO LOOK GOOD TO ME. IT'S NOT ATTRACTIVE ANYMORE. YOU'VE BECOME UGLY, AND I CAN SEE THROUGH YOUR ACT.

ALL THESE YEARS, YOU'VE KINDA BEEN THERE FOR ME, WHEN I NEEDED YOU, BUT ONLY AFTER I NAGGED YOU FOR WEEKS. I WAS MOST ALWAYS UPSET BY YOUR LACK OF RESPONSE. I THINK I CAN FIND BETTER SERVICE FROM OTHERS THAT I'VE MET ELSEWHERE. THEY ARE WILLING TO LISTEN, AND DO AS I SAY, FOR THE SAME PRICE OR LESS. (NOT TO MENTION LESS MENTAL ANGUISH, AND NO STRINGS ATTACHED!)

EVERY TIME YOU WANTED SOMETHING FROM ME, YOU RARELY ASKED ME NICELY, AND STARTED OFF WITH THREATS, AS IF I OWED YOU SOMETHING, AND I DON'T TAKE KINDLY TO THREATS. IT'S NO WAY TO HAVE A POSITIVE AND MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL RELATIONSHIP.

YOU'VE ALSO ABANDONED MANY OF MY FRIENDS WHEN THEY NEEDED YOU THE MOST. THEY THOUGHT THEY COULD COUNT ON YOU, BUT YOU DECEIVED THEM. I'VE HAD TO PICK UP THE PIECES MYSELF, AND HELP THEM ALONG. IT'S OK THOUGH, I THINK YOU MIGHT BE ONTO SOMETHING WITH THAT ONE. IT'S BROUGHT ME CLOSER TO THEM, AND I'VE REALIZED THERE IS MORE TO THIS WORLD THAN JUST 'YOU' AND 'ME'. I'VE BEEN PAYING YOU ALL THESE YEARS, HOPING THAT MY DONATIONS WOULD BE PUT TO GOOD USE. BUT YOU SPEND THEM UNWISELY, AND GET INVOLVED IN SUBJECTS AND PLACES YOU KNOW NOTHING ABOUT. YOU OFFER MY BROTHERS LIVES FOR YOUR GREED, AND YOUR INCESSANT ATTEMPT TO MAKE YOUR OLDER BROTHER HAPPY. DON'T YOU SEE? HE THINKS OF YOU AS A RETARDED STEP-BROTHER, AND HE WILL EVENTUALLY TAKE AWAY ALL YOUR TOYS.

YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN CONFLICTS THAT HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH YOU IS RATHER CONFUSING TO ME. DO YOU AND I HAVE A RELATIONSHIP, OR DOES YOUR OLDER BROTHER RUN YOUR LIFE? I'VE BEEN PAYING YOU ALL THESE YEARS, HOPING THAT YOU WILL BE 'NICE' TO ME. BUT IT DOESN'T SEEM TO BE WORKING. SEEMS YOU WANT MORE AND MORE ALL THE TIME FROM ME. I DO HAVE PEOPLE THAT I CARE MORE ABOUT THAN YOU, I CALL THEM MY 'FAMILY' (WHICH INCIDENTALLY INCLUDES FRIENDS, AND OTHERS NOT RELATED BY BLOOD), AS I DON'T THINK THAT ANY CLOSE-NIT 'FAMILY' HAS RELATIONSHIPS BASED ON FOUNDATIONS SUCH AS YOU AND I HAVE. ALL I EVER WANTED WAS FOR YOU TO TAKE CARE OF "US", BUT IT SEEMS YOU ARE INCAPABLE NOW. YOUR EYES HAVE BEEN BLINDED BY POWER, AND THE WHISPERING IN YOUR EAR BY YOUR MANY OLDER BROTHERS.

ALTHOUGH THESE YEARS HAVE BEEN "ALRIGHT", I DON'T THINK THEY ARE ANYTHING TO CELEBRATE, AS MY FATHER RECENTLY TOLD ME (HE RISKED HIS LIFE TO ESCAPE FROM A COMMUNIST COUNTRY, JUST TO BE WITH YOU), HE TOLD ME, HE'S "NOT SO SURE THINGS ARE ANY DIFFERENT HERE". AND IT SENT SHIVERS DOWN MY SPINE.

ALL THESE YEARS, I THINK YOU'VE BEEN LYING TO ME. IN FACT, I KNOW IT. YOUR SMILES ARE FAKE, AND YOUR 'KINDNESS' DOESN'T COME FROM THE HEART. YOU KEEP ASKING ME TO PAY FOR ALL YOUR MISTAKES, AND EVERYONE ELSE'S MISTAKES. I'M REALLY TIRED OF IT, AND I HAVE BEEN WORKING AS HARD AS I CAN TO KEEP UP WITH YOUR DEMANDS.

ALL YOUR BENEFITS AREN'T WORTH THE EXPENSE OF BEING WITH YOU. YOUR HUGS AREN'T AS WARM AS THEY USED TO BE, AND YOU SEEM TO BE SPENDING MORE TIME WITH OTHERS. THERE ISN'T ANY "US" TIME ANYMORE.

IT'S COME TO THE POINT NOW, THAT I REALIZE THAT I REALLY DON'T NEED YOU ANYMORE. AND I DON'T THINK YOU NEED ME ANYMORE EITHER. YOU'VE BECOME AN ADDICT, ADDICTED TO YOUR OWN POWER, AND IT SCARES ME TO THINK HOW YOU MIGHT TREAT ME, AND THE REST OF MY BROTHERS AND SISTERS IN THE COMING YEARS IF I DON'T LEAVE YOU AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. MY CONSCIENCE IS TELLING ME TO GO, AND I MUST DO AS MY CONSCIENCE DICTATES.

SO GO ON, BE WITH YOUR NEW FRIENDS, GO PLAY RISK@ WITH THEM. EVERYONE LIKES A GOOD GAME. IT'S ALL FAKE MONEY ANYWAYS... IT'S JUST TOO BAD YOU ARE PLAYING WITH REAL LIVES.

I PROMISE I'LL BE FINE. I THINK I WILL DO QUITE WELL WITHOUT YOU DRAGGING ME DOWN ALL THE TIME.

I HOPE YOU CAN TAKE YOUR NEW FREEDOM TO HEART, AND SOMETHING POSITIVE WILL COME OF IT, FOR YOUR SAKE, AS I KNOW THERE ARE MANY MORE PEOPLE LIKE MYSELF THAT WILL BE WRITING YOU LETTERS LIKE THIS.

I KNOW YOU ARE AFRAID, BECAUSE YOU'VE SEEN THIS COMING FOR A WHILE NOW. BUT IT'S ABOUT TIME. I HOPE YOU DON'T TAKE YOUR ANGER OUT ON EVERYONE ELSE. BREAKING UP IS HARD TO DO.



# ONE WORLD ONE TRUTH ONE LOVE

MY FREEDOM HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH WHERE I LIVE, BUT HOW I LIVE.

ALTHOUGH THE AUTHOR OF THIS WORK LIVES IN BRITISH COLUMBIA, MUCH OF THE INFORMATION IS DIRECTLY APPLICABLE TO ANYONE IN CANADA. THIS INFORMATION IS ALSO CLEARLY APPLICABLE IN NEW ZEALAND, AUSTRALIA AND QUITE LIKELY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AS WELL.

THE TOOLS THAT ARE USED TO SUBJUGATE, DECEIVE AND CONTROL ARE THE SAME THE WORLD OVER AND HAVE CHANGED VERY LITTLE IN 2000 YEARS. IT RELIES ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY ON CONTROLLING THE POPULATION BY CONTROLLING THE LANGUAGE USED TO CREATE THE LAWS.

JUSTICE IS CONSCIENCE, NOT A PERSONAL CONSCIENCE BUT THE CONSCIENCE OF THE WHOLE OF HUMANITY. THOSE WHO CLEARLY RECOGNIZE THE VOICE OF THEIR OWN CONSCIENCE USUALLY RECOGNIZE ALSO THE VOICE OF JUSTICE.

# WHO ARE YOU REALLY???

**DO YOU KNOW WHO YOU ARE?  
IF YOU DO ...  
NOBODY CAN TELL YOU WHAT TO DO.**

*YOU ARE STARDUST.... LIVING BREATHING, THINKING, FEELING STARDUST.  
YOU ARE COMPOSED OF THE SAME THING AS THE STARS. YOU CAME FROM  
THEM AND ONE DAY MUST RETURN THERE. YOU ARE BEYOND MAGICAL.*



*THEY ARE JUST PEOPLE USING WORDS. THAT IS ALL. THEY ARE NO BETTER OR WORSE THEN YOU AND THEY HAVE NO RIGHT TO CLAIM ANY AUTHORITY OVER YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT. THIS IS GOING TO BE DIFFICULT FOR YOU TO ACCEPT AT FIRST BECAUSE YOU HAVE LIVED YOUR WHOLE LIFE WITH SOMEONE OVER YOU CLAIMING AUTHORITY.*

# WHO IS THE GOVERNMENT

*BELIEVE IT OR NOT, THEY ARE NOT EVEN WRITTEN IN ENGLISH. THEY ARE WRITTEN IN THE LANGUAGE OF LAW, WHICH ONLY LOOKS LIKE ENGLISH, BUT IT ISN'T.*

*MANY OF THOSE WORDS DO NOT MEAN WHAT YOU LIKELY THOUGHT THEY DID. THEY ARE IN FACT DECEPTIVE AND MISLEADING.*

*HOPEFULLY WHEN YOU HAVE FINISHED THIS BOOK YOU WILL HAVE A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE OF THE GOVERNMENT AND WILL KNOW NOT ONLY HOW THEY DECEIVE YOU INTO GRANTING THEM AUTHORITY, BUT HOW TO TAKE IT BACK. OF COURSE, THAT IS ENTIRELY UP TO YOU TO DO.*

Injustice anywhere is a threat to justice everywhere. We are caught in an inescapable network of mutuality, tied in a single garment of destiny. Whatever affects one directly, affects all indirectly.




# Register your Child AND SIGN IT OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT


A HUMAN BEING IS NOT A PERSON BECAUSE HE IS A HUMAN BEING BUT BECAUSE RIGHTS AND DUTIES HAVE BEEN ASCRIBED TO HIM. SPECIFICALLY THE PERSON IS THE LEGAL SUBJECT OR SUBSTANCE OF WHICH THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES ARE ATTRIBUTES, BUT NOT ALL HUMAN BEINGS ARE PERSONS, AS WAS THE CASE IN OLD ENGLAND WHEN THERE WERE SLAVES.




IT STARTS IN THE HOSPITAL



THE DECEPTION...




THE NURSE OR SOCIAL WORKER WILL TELL YOU...



YOU **MUST** REGISTER YOUR CHILD! WHAT THEY DO NOT TELL YOU IS THAT THE WORD 'MUST' IS LEGALLY SYNONYMOUS WITH 'MAY'.



WHAT THEY ALSO DON'T TELL YOU IS WHAT YOU ARE DOING WHEN YOU DO REGISTER YOUR CHILD.



YOU ARE CREATING A LEGAL ENTITY, OR 'PERSON', ASSOCIATING IT WITH YOUR BABY...



SIGNING IT OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT...



AND ABANDONING CLAIM TO IT.



WHEN THEY REMOVE A CHILD THEY ARE PROVIDING THAT CHILD WITH SERVICES.



THEY WILL LET YOU KEEP YOUR BABY...



AS LONG AS YOU TREAT THEIR 'PERSON' WELL...

THEY CANNOT PROVIDE SERVICES TO THOSE WHO ARE NOT REGISTERED.



BUT IF YOU DON'T DO AS THEY WANT CONCERNING THEIR LEGAL ENTITY...




THEY WILL REMOVE YOUR BABY USING FORCE IF NECESSARY....

IF YOU NEVER REGISTER YOUR BABY WITH THEM...



BY ACTING ON THE LEGAL PERSON....



AND YOU WILL END UP IN COURT, FIGHTING OVER A BABY, WHEN THE FIGHT IS OVER A PERSON.

YOU ARE NOT A PERSON; YOU HAVE A PERSON.

THEY CAN NEVER REMOVE YOUR BABY



# The Governanny

**GROW UP OR WEAR A DIAPER**



**ACT LIKE A BABY AND SHIT YOUR DRAWERS AND YOU WILL BE DIAPERED AND TREATED LIKE A BABY.**

**ALTHOUGH YOU HAVE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS, YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO POOP WHERE OTHERS WALK.**



The Fruit of God's Spirit is love, joy, peace, longsuffering, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, gentleness, self-control. Galatians 5:22-23

**THROW A TANTRUM AND GOVERNANNY CAN AND WILL PUT YOU IN YOUR ROOM FOR A TIME OUT.**

**NO ONE WANTS TO HEAR YOU CRY AND SCREAM.**



**WHEN YOU HAVE THE SPIRIT YOU ESCAPE THE GOVERNANNY**



**BUT IF YOU LEARN TO THINK AND ACT WITH RESPECT, LOVE AND COMPASSION, THEY WILL TREAT YOU LIKE AN ADULT, AND NOT TRY TO PUT DIAPERS ON YOU, OR PUT YOU IN YOUR ROOM, OR EVEN TRY TO TELL YOU WHAT TO DO.**

**HOW YOU ACT WILL DETERMINE HOW YOU ARE TREATED.**



# DE FACTO & DE JURE

## OBEDIENCE TO DE FACTO LAW

15. NO PERSON SHALL BE CONVICTED OF AN OFFENCE IN RESPECT OF AN ACT OR OMISSION IN OBEDIENCE TO THE LAWS FOR THE TIME BEING MADE AND ENFORCED BY PERSONS IN DE FACTO POSSESSION OF THE SOVEREIGN POWER IN AND OVER THE PLACE WHERE THE ACT OR OMISSION OCCURS.

## DE FACTO

DE FACTO IS A LATIN EXPRESSION THAT MEANS "IN FACT" OR "IN PRACTICE" BUT NOT SPELLED OUT BY LAW.

THE TERMS DE JURE AND DE FACTO ARE USED INSTEAD OF "IN PRINCIPLE" AND "IN PRACTICE", RESPECTIVELY, WHEN ONE IS DESCRIBING POLITICAL SITUATIONS. DE JURE IS ALSO TRANSLATED AS "BY LAW". A PRACTICE MAY EXIST DE FACTO, WHERE FOR EXAMPLE THE PEOPLE OBEY A CONTRACT AS THOUGH THERE WERE A LAW ENFORCING IT YET THERE IS NO SUCH LAW.

## DE JURE

DE JURE IS AN EXPRESSION THAT MEANS "BASED ON LAW", AS CONTRASTED WITH DE FACTO, WHICH MEANS "IN FACT".

IMAGINE YOU ARE ON A PLANE AND THE PILOTS PASS OUT. A PASSENGER TAKES OVER THE CONTROLS AND TRIES TO FLY THE PLANE. ALTHOUGH HE MAY IN FACT BE PILOTING THE PLANE, DOES THIS MEAN HE IS THE PILOT AND HAS ALL THE SAME AUTHORITY THE ORIGINAL ONES HAD?

READ THE ABOVE SECTION AND ASK YOURSELF WHY THEY WOULD EVEN PUT THAT IN. IF IT WASN'T THERE YOU COULD BE CHARGED FOR OBEYING. IF IT WASN'T THERE YOU COULD NOT BE CHARGED FOR DISOBEYING, AS OBEYING COULD ITSELF RESULT IN CHARGES. ALL THEY HAVE DONE IS CHANGE THE ABILITY TO BRING CHARGES FOR OBEYING. THEY HAVE NOT IN ANY WAY CHANGED THE ABILITY TO BRING CHARGES FOR NOT OBEYING.

WHERE DOES IT SAY THAT YOU CAN BE CONVICTED OF AN OFFENCE IF YOU DO DISOBEY?



# TRAINING AN ELEPHANT

# CONDITIONING A CHILD


DO YOU KNOW HOW  
THEY TRAIN AN  
ELEPHANT?



THEY START WITH A  
HEAVY CHAIN...



WHICH THE ELEPHANT  
CAN'T BREAK...



ALTHOUGH IT WILL  
TRY REPEATEDLY...



EVENTUALLY...



AND THEN THEY  
REPLACE THE CHAIN



WITH A THIN EASILY  
BREAKABLE CORD.

NEVER STOP TESTING  
YOUR CHAINS. THEY  
GET WEAKER.



IT GIVES UP





IS IT THIS LADY?



IS IT HER HEAD GEAR?



# WHO OR WHAT THE CROWN'S?

HINT: IT'S NOT WHAT YOU THINK.

IS IT A BLUNCH OF BANKERS?



OR A BLUNCH OF PEOPLE PLAYING A ROLE?





## ***THERE ARE TWO CROWNS OPERATING IN ENGLAND, ONE BEING QUEEN ELIZABETH II.***

***ALTHOUGH EXTREMELY WEALTHY, THE QUEEN FUNCTIONS LARGELY IN A CEREMONIAL CAPACITY AND SERVES TO DEFLECT ATTENTION AWAY FROM THE OTHER CROWN, WHO ISSUES HER MARCHING ORDERS THROUGH THEIR CONTROL OF THE ENGLISH PARLIAMENT.***

***THIS OTHER CROWN IS COMPRISED OF A COMMITTEE OF 12 BANKS HEADED BY THE BANK OF ENGLAND (HOUSE OF ROTHSCHILD). THEY RULE THE WORLD FROM THE 677-ACRE, INDEPENDENT SOVEREIGN STATE KNOWN AS THE CITY OF LONDON, OR SIMPLY 'THE CITY.'***

***THE CITY IS NOT A PART OF ENGLAND, JUST AS WASHINGTON, D.C. IS NOT A PART OF THE USA.***

***THE CITY IS REFERRED TO AS THE WEALTHIEST SQUARE MILE ON EARTH AND IS PRESIDED OVER BY A LORD MAYOR WHO IS APPOINTED ANNUALLY.***

***WHEN THE QUEEN WISHES TO CONDUCT BUSINESS WITHIN THE CITY, SHE IS MET BY THE LORD MAYOR AT TEMPLE (TEMPLAR) BAR WHERE SHE REQUESTS PERMISSION TO ENTER THIS PRIVATE, SOVEREIGN STATE. SHE THEN PROCEEDS INTO THE CITY WALKING SEVERAL PACES BEHIND THE MAYOR.***

Section 2 of the BNA Act was repealed in 1893 which states:

**2. The Provisions of this Act referring to Her Majesty the Queen extend also to the Heirs and Successors of Her Majesty, Kings and Queens of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.**

Canada does not have a Monarch because when Queen Victoria Died in 1901, so did the provisions of this Act to the British Monarchy.

Section 9 of the BNA Act states:

**9. The Executive Government and Authority of and over Canada is hereby declared to continue and be vested in the Queen.**

Queen Victoria died in 1901, so who has executive power in Canada anymore? Further Executive power is a CORPORATE term, and does not make reference to living beings. Further it identifies people as being PERSONS, which are imaginary fictions, which CORPORATIONS are, imaginary. I'll get deeper into what PERSONS are and where they come from later in the book. It is important for you to know right now that you are not a PERSON, but a living sentient being of mind, body and spirit. Also take note of the title, "The British North American Act". Do you see the word Canada anywhere in the Title? Nope so if Canada is to be a COUNTRY should it not have it's own Constitution? Oops, this is not a Constitution it is merely an Act. More accurately an Act of the U.K. Parliament. You see CANADA was nothing more than a CORPORATION listed on the British Board of Trade. It was used for commercial purposes to run the colony with. That is a Colony of PERSONS.

Now consider this. A person is something of an imaginary, pure fiction. It does not exist in our world. So what evidence do you have that the PERSON Queen Victoria was actually born or died? There is none. That is the whole point of this. The Coronation is a complete fraud for it is nothing more than a make believe play creating a birth of a PERSON. Thus the foundation of their laws are based in the imaginary not the real. **Thus why are we following them?**

What was created was the Dominion of Canada. The British North American Act was the tool for creating this. However Section 2 was repealed in 1893. Thus two days after Queen Victoria Died in 1901, the Statutory Laws could not be reinstated as the Queen was dead, thus all contracts with her were null and void as it were. The international Bankers in London, "The City" a sovereign state within the City of London, much like Washington D.C., claimed Salvage rights to the CORPORATION called Canada or Dominion of Canada and quietly usurped power from the Canadian People. However nobody was ever a Canadian, but they never told you that. At some point they stopped calling Canada the Dominion of Canada and just started calling it CANADA.



# LAWYERS

# +

# LIARS

## HOW LANGUAGE IS USED TO DECEIVE AND BIND



I AM A LAWYER. I PROMISE TO NEVER DO ANYTHING THAT WOULD HARM MY SOCIETY. BY THAT I MEAN 'THE LAW SOCIETY'. I PROMISE TO NEVER DO ANYTHING TO HARM THE COURT SYSTEM, AS I KNOW THAT IS HOW WE ALL MAKE A KILLER LIVING. I PROMISE TO NEVER DO ANYTHING TO HARM THE CROWN IN RIGHT OF CANADA. AND FINALLY, I PROMISE TO HELP MY INCOMPETENT CLIENTS TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, UNLESS OF COURSE DOING SO WOULD BE A BREACH OF THE FIRST AND MORE IMPORTANT PARTS OF MY OATH.

We read the world wrong and say



IF YOU CAN'T UNDERSTAND THE WORDS HOW CAN THEY BE APPLIED TO YOU AS IF THEY HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW? ADDITIONALLY, THERE ARE ONLY TWO OFFICIAL LANGUAGES ENGLISH AND FRENCH. THIS LANGUAGE CALLED 'LAW' IS NOT AN OFFICIAL LANGUAGE IS IT? OF COURSE LAWYERS MAKE THEIR MONEY BY ENSURING THE STATUTES ARE SO CONVOLUTED AND AMBIGUOUS THAT YOU NEED THEM TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IS EXPRESSED WITHIN. THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT JESUS RAILED AGAINST 2000 YEARS AGO; THE PHARISEES AND SADDUCEE AND SCRIBES WHO CLAIMED EXCLUSIVE RIGHT TO UNDERSTAND THE LAW AND THEN DEMANDED RICH PAYMENT TO HAVE THE WORDS DECIPHERED.

TORONTO LAWYER DAVID SHERMAN, AN AUTHORITY ON TAX LAW, HAS LITTLE PATIENCE FOR DETAXER THEORIES. "DETAXERS SAY THAT BECAUSE OF SUCH AND SUCH AN ANALYSIS GOING BACK TO THE MAGNA CARTA OR SOMETHING, THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T IMPOSE TAXES AND THEREFORE THE INCOME TAX IS VOLUNTARY. THAT'S LIKE TELLING SOMEONE THAT YOU CAN CURE AIDS BY BOILING TOADS UNDER A FULL MOON AND INHALING THE STEAM WHILE YOU STAND ON ONE FOOT RECITING HAMLET'S SOLILOQUY BACKWARDS. THE IDEA IS ANY MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL WOULD RECOGNIZE THAT AS NONSENSE, THOUGH SOMEONE DESPERATE ENOUGH MIGHT TRY IT IF [HE DOESN'T] KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. THESE DETAXERS THINK THEY CAN KNOW LAW WITHOUT HAVING ANY LEGAL TRAINING. THEY READ SOMETHING THAT LOOKS LIKE IT IS WRITTEN IN ENGLISH, BUT IT'S NOT, IT'S ACTUALLY LAW AND THEY THINK THEY UNDERSTAND IT."

THE PEOPLE NEVER GIVE UP THEIR LIBERTIES BUT UNDER SOME DELUSION. ~EDMUND BURKE

Excerpt from;  
**WOE UNTO YOU, LAWYERS!**  
by Fred Rodell 1939  
Professor of Law, Yale University

"The Law is the killy-loo bird of the sciences. The killy-loo, of course, was the bird that insisted on flying backward because it didn't care where it was going but was mightily interested in where it had been. And certainly The Law, when it moves at all, does so by flapping clumsily and uncertainly along, with its eye unswervingly glued on what lies behind. In medicine, in mathematics, in sociology, in psychology – in every other one of the physical and social sciences – the accepted aim is to look ahead and then move ahead to new truths, new techniques, new usefulness. Only The Law, inexorably devoted to all its most ancient principles and precedents, makes a vice of innovation and a virtue of hoariness. Only The Law resists and resents the notion that it should ever change its antiquated ways to meet the challenge of a changing world.

It is well-nigh impossible to understand how The Law works without fully appreciating the truth of this fact: — The Law never admits to itself that there can be anything actually new under the sun. Minor variations of old facts, old machines, old relationships, yes; but never anything different enough to bother The Law into treating it otherwise than as an old friend in a new suit of clothes. When corporations first came on the legal scene, The Law regarded them as individual persons, in disguise, and so, for most legal purposes, a corporation is still considered, and even talked about, as a "person." A transport airplane, so far as The Law is concerned, is nothing but a newfangled variety of stagecoach. Such things as sit-down strikes, holding companies, Paris divorces, were treated with almost contemptuous familiarity by The Law when they first appeared, and the same fate undoubtedly awaits television when it grows up and begins to tangle with The Law. For all this is part of a carefully nurtured legend to the effect that The Law is so omniscient that nothing men may do can ever take it unawares, and so all-embracing that the principles which will apply to men's actions 500 years from now are merely waiting to be applied to whatever men happen to be doing in 2439 A.D."

# Property and Plunder

Man can live and satisfy his wants only by ceaseless labor; by the ceaseless application of his faculties to natural resources. This process is the origin of property.

But it is also true that a man may live and satisfy his wants by seizing and consuming the products of the labor of others. This process is the origin of plunder.

Now since man is naturally inclined to avoid pain -- and since labor is pain in itself -- it follows that men will resort to plunder whenever plunder is easier than work. History shows this quite clearly. And under these conditions, neither religion nor morality can stop it.

When, then, does plunder stop? It stops when it becomes more painful and more dangerous than labor.



It is evident, then, that the proper purpose of law is to use the power of its collective force to stop this fatal tendency to plunder instead of to work. All the measures of the law should protect property and punish plunder.

But, generally, the law is made by one man or one class of men. And since law cannot operate without the sanction and support of a dominating force, this force must be entrusted to those who make the laws.

This fact, combined with the fatal tendency that exists in the heart of man to satisfy his wants with the least possible effort, explains the almost universal perversion of the law. Thus it is easy to understand how law, instead of checking injustice, becomes the invincible weapon of injustice. It is easy to understand why the law is used by the legislator to destroy in varying degrees among the rest of the people, their personal independence by slavery, their liberty by oppression, and their property by plunder. This is done for the benefit of the person who makes the law, and in proportion to the power that he holds.




ARRR... TREASURE AWAITS HE WHO MAKES THE LAWS



If you want to be free, there is but one way; it is to guarantee an equally full measure of liberty to all your neighbors. There is no other. Carl Schurz (1829 - 1906)



# WITHOUT NOT ONE HAIR MY CONSENT



HOW IMPORTANT IS CONSENT TO THE PEOPLE IN THE GOVERNMENT? IS IT VITAL TO THEM IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO CLAIM THEY ARE ACTING LAWFULLY?

WELL ASK YOURSELF THIS, IF SOMEONE CAME AT YOU WITH SOME SCISSORS AND ATTACKED YOU AND CUT OFF SOME OF YOUR HAIR WOULD THAT BE CONSIDERED ASSAULT? OF COURSE IT WOULD!

NOW WHAT'S WORSE, HAVING ONE HAIR ON YOUR HEAD CUT, WHICH WE AGREE THEY CAN'T DO, OR FORCING YOU TO PAY HALF YOUR MONEY TO THEM OR GO TO JAIL? IF THEY CAN'T TOUCH ONE HAIR WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT HOW CAN THEY POSSIBLY PUT CLIFFS ON YOU WITHOUT YOU CONSENTING AS WELL? LOOK UP THE DEFINITION OF ASSAULT IN THE CRIMINAL CODE AND SHOW ME WHERE IT SAYS THAT THEY CAN ARREST YOU WITHOUT CONSENT AND NOT COMMIT ASSAULT.



THE SIMPLE FACT IS THEY NEED YOUR CONSENT TO DO ANYTHING THAT AFFECTS YOU. WHEN THEY TAKE YOU TO COURT FOR STATUTORY INFRACTIONS, THEY ARE ACTUALLY PROVIDING YOU WITH A SERVICE THAT YOU CONSENTED TO. WHEN THEY STOP YOU TO GIVE YOU A TICKET, THEY ARE MERELY DOING WHAT YOU ASKED OF THEM AND TO WHAT YOU AGREED. THE GOOD NEWS IS CONSENT CAN BE REVOKED AND THEN THEIR ABILITY TO DO ANY OF THESE THINGS IS LOST.



# A FREEMAN WRITER A FREEMAN WRITES

To: The Law Society of British Columbia,  
CC: TWIMC

Hello and good day! I am Robert-Arthur: Menard a Freeman-on-the-Land and recently I became aware that the Law Society of British Columbia has a problem apparently with me and my words and have even went as far as to create conflict and attempt to seek an order against me from the Supreme Court of British Columbia. However, the problem is, the court you wish to drag me into does not belong to me or to the rest of the good people of British Columbia at all and is your own private court system. I say this because I recognize that ownership is demonstrated by access and only members of your society have full and complete access to those courts, and according to the documents left at my feet, you do not want me to engage in certain activities with an expectation of a fee, gain or reward, direct or indirect from the one for whom I act.

Well, I have to tell you, you people are very disappointing to me. I mean really, you expect me to accept your offer and step into conflict with you when clearly there is a far easier path? Let's look at it this way: You people are bullies and you have just swung very ineffectually against me. I can block and swing back, or I can duck and smile. I think I will duck and smile, as I am a very nice man, and not prone to conflict generating activities and I see how scared you all must be. I know what is coming and I think you do too. I also know that there are some very good people in your society who do firmly believe in justice and its proper administration and I am sorry if this missive is in tone or effect harmful to those good people.

You lawyers do realize that in the paperwork you have filed in court, apparently against me, nowhere is it mentioned or claimed that I have ever in the past acted with an expectation of a fee, gain or reward. I mean if you want to stop me from engaging in certain activities at least come out and claim that I was engaging in said activities prior to getting a court order barring me from doing so. Of all the people who have sworn affidavits against me, not a single one is alleging that I ever received any fee, gain or reward, directly or indirectly from the people for whom I act. Not a single one of your members who is trying to shut me up is willing to claim I ever received anything. You know you people would be very hard pressed to make such claims, as I do not even have a bank account.

Additionally, your logic is so faulty as to be laughable. You claim to protect the public, but not a single member of the public has complained about me, only your members have, and only because I highlight the deception your profession relies upon. You claim I should not do any of the things which you claim monopoly over, because I am not qualified to act in court, and yet now you wish to drag me into the court where I am either qualified to act, or I am not qualified to act. According to you, I am competent to step in that court and act for myself, yet not competent to do so for others if I am doing so with any expectation of gain. How strange is that?

I could very easily engage in conflict with you people, and in a fair and just system I would win. However, I am smart enough to realize that we do not have a fair and just system at all; we have a system designed by and for members of the Law Society and at the expense of the people of British Columbia. I mean no disrespect but that is honestly the way I see it and I believe there are a great many others who feel the same way. I know members of your own society who have bemoaned what the courts have become.



Hey I have an idea, instead of taking me into your own private courts where adjudication will be provided by a past member of your society, let's convene a proper common law court de jure and have the adjudication conducted by someone with no ties whatsoever to your society. Let's have a jury of good people from British Columbia and let us raise some very important issues concerning the source, nature and limits of government authority. I bet you would not be so quick to drag me into court then if you could not count on the court siding with you.

You should know, I have fought many bullies in the past and I know how to do it so we all end up laughing at the bully. I will agree that doing any of the activities mentioned in your paperwork with the expectation of a fee, gain or reward are in fact very dishonourable and that anyone who would engage in such practises with expectation of gain or reward is a low down, dirty, pie-stealing dishonest scoundrel as those activities are either reprehensible and thus should not be done, or are needed and should not be charged for. That must be why you don't want me doing those things right? They are wrong for me to do, they must be wrong for everyone to do. Or are you claiming that it is wrong for us to do any of those things, yet lawful for your members, because they are inherently morally superior to all others?

I also do not fail to notice that there are no complainants save members of the Law Society. Not a single one I have helped or tried to help has complained to you. No, only your members have cried to you and only because I was in fact effective against them. And now you want to go cry to the courts, seeking their control over me.

We could lock horns and have it out and I could easily establish my rights by way of claim of right, I could agree to meet you in your private courts and claim the truth that your statutes, specifically the Legal Profession Act is not my law and not applicable to me, however that is not something that I am willing to leave up to a judge who operates in and for the legal entity known as The Province of British Columbia. If they wish to claim that said Act has the force of law over me they will be given ample opportunity to claim so on their full commercial liability.

Additionally, I have learnt that it is never wise to allow your adversary, especially those who rely on dishonour and deception such as some of you people apparently do, to determine the place where you will fight. Always be the one that decides that issue, I was taught. I can tell by your actions you wish to fight me and you seek an order against me, from some party that offers as a business adjudication services. You do realize that in a common law jurisdiction the consent of both parties is required prior to any party providing adjudication do you not? Furthermore you realize I am not a member of your society and I am not bound by the rules of your society or the rules that your members have created. Also anyone with eyes can see the sign on the door to to courthouse which clearly reads "BUSINESS HOURS". Do you claim the right to compel me to attend your place of business and do business with you, oh Officers of the court?

And yet still you want to drag me into conflict. What a bunch of very silly geeses! The way I see it, you are swinging first, even though I have extended in the past honourable offers of discussion and public debate. Figuratively speaking, you have swung, I will duck and smile big, and then, acting completely lawfully and within my rights the entire time, kick you very hard and publicly right in the groin. Then soon millions of others will be lining up to do so. That's how it's going to happen. I will take no pleasure in it and see it merely as a required duty. Some of you people are out of control, and you seem to think we are all your little slave children incapable of administrating our own affairs or governing ourselves. So, are you ready to watch me duck? Pay attention now, I am very quick. And remember, you started this.

Without accepting that the Legal Profession Act is law over a Freeman-on-the-Land such as myself, I am willing to promise and agree to not engage in any of the activities mentioned in your claim with an expectation of a fee, gain or reward direct or indirect from the one for whom I act.

Are you happy now? See? See how I ducked and you missed? Now we have no conflict whatsoever do we? We are at peace now right? Isn't peace fun? Isn't it nice? Doesn't it make you feel warm and fuzzy inside? Wanna big hug? Since we are now at peace, we have no reason to go to your court and have past or present members of your society decide any issues do we, as that is a place for people with conflict and we no longer have conflict right? If we do it's entirely on you, and my hands are completely clean.

Now, because I am a good, peace loving and gentle man who is compelled by My Faith, I also promise to engage in all said activities fully and completely and to spend as much time doing so as possible, and I will do those things without any expectation of anything from those I serve.

I will accept your claim against me as an offer to engage in those activities to a much larger degree yet without expectation of fee, gain reward from those I serve. That's what my Spirit tells me to do. You don't mind me following my conscience and being compelled by My Faith do you? I sure hope not and if so, I hope you get over it sooner rather than later.

See the way I look at it, I am serving God, not a bank account. I don't even have a bank account. You have and serve those, not me. Plus, I have Patrons, people who love what I do and how I do it, and they have no problem gifting me the necessities of life, even though they may not know me personally or even the people for whom I will be acting. Anonymous donations are amazing and when I serve properly, I am always blessed by God. Additionally, I will soon have a new book out and am working on a video wherein I will be teaching people how to use Notices and establish 'lawful excuse, the proof of which lies on them' and I will be teaching millions of people how to engage certain very empowering Sections of The Criminal Code of Canada.

You can't lawfully stop me from engaging in those activities and apparently you are not attempting to ; you are claiming I do not have the right to do so with any expectation of fee, gain, or reward being paid to me from the one for whom I am acting. However, there is nothing wrong according to your claim with me engaging in those activities either freely and without charge, or doing so under contract with a third party or by way of anonymous support.

Hey remember how I promised you repeated figurative kicks in the groin?

I have been studying the law and have by comparing other common law jurisdictions and their statutes have realized that having a Claim of Right is in fact lawful excuse and that a Notice of said Claim held on ones person is in fact all we need to be able to completely ignore your courts and the legislative scheme you people have foisted upon us. I am talking of course about Section 39 of the Criminal Code of Canada and Sections 126 and 127. Let's review those shall we?

#### Criminal Code

#### PART IV: OFFENCES AGAINST THE ADMINISTRATION OF LAW AND JUSTICE

#### Corruption and Disobedience

#### Disobeying a statute

126. (1) Every one who, without lawful excuse, contravenes an Act of Parliament by wilfully doing anything that it forbids or by wilfully omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years.

Attorney General of Canada may act

(2) Any proceedings in respect of a contravention of or conspiracy to contravene an Act mentioned in subsection (1), other than this Act, may be instituted at the instance of the Government of Canada and conducted by or on behalf of that Government.

Disobeying order of court

127. (1) Every one who, without lawful excuse, disobeys a lawful order made by a court of justice or by a person or body of persons authorized by any Act to make or give the order, other than an order for the payment of money, is, unless a punishment or other mode of proceeding is expressly provided by law, guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.

Attorney General of Canada may act

(2) Where the order referred to in subsection (1) was made in proceedings instituted at the instance of the Government of Canada and conducted by or on behalf of that Government, any proceedings in respect of a contravention of or conspiracy to contravene that order may be instituted and conducted in like manner.

See it is in fact possible to lawfully disobey an order of a court and to contravene a statute, provided you have lawful excuse. What is lawful excuse now becomes the question and in reading statutes in New Zealand I found they have the exact same section, but theirs is less deceptive. They don't use the words 'without lawful excuse', they use the words 'without claim of right'. I reason that a Claim of Right properly filed and undisputed does in fact generate a lawful excuse and empowers any human being in Canada to exist fully and completely free of the incredible deception you lawyers have created and benefit from. We can lawfully contravene your statutes and rightfully disobey the orders of your courts and when enough people wake up to this fact, you and your courts quickly become completely irrelevant. And those sections would not be there unless they were meant to be used for that very purpose.

Now ain't that a big kick in the jewel sack?

The next question that comes to my mind is how do we create and file those Claims of Right? I am pretty sure I know exactly how to do so, and will be crafting and publishing my Claim of Right in The Gazette. I will ensure a member of the Notary Society is watching as a fair and impartial observer and they will if you people fail to respond attest to my Claim of Right or as it is called in the Criminal Code Lawful Excuse and from that point forward, I will be clearly free to ignore you, your courts, your government and all your silly and self imposed rules. So will anyone else who takes the same steps, and I am willing to believe that so may people are so very tired of all you conflict generating deceivers that millions will in fact take this option and free themselves from your control.

All you deceptive people however will be forever bound by your own words, for some of you are the deceivers and it has been said "Woe be to you lawyers and experts in the Law, for you have taken and hidden the key of knowledge and entering in not yourselves, those who have entered in, you hindered."

What happens when a vast majority of the people awaken to the fact that all of the rules and statutes you have crafted, created and now enforce and interpret to great personal benefit are applicable only to you and the government? Oh won't that be a happy day? Not so much for you and the government, but for us.

So to sum up, I offer peace and I am willing to agree to not engage in any of the activities you claim commercial monopoly over with any expectation of a fee or gain or reward from the party for whom I act. There is no need to secure an order from the court, as I am willing to accept your position and promise to not engage in those activities with an expectation of a fee, gain or reward from those whom I serve if acting within the legal entity known as The Province of British Columbia, the de-fact government of British Columbia.

I do however claim the right to engage in those activities without any expectation of fee, reward or gain from those whom I serve as your claim does not seek to enjoin me unconditionally from engaging in those activities, only with certain expectations.

I will be lawfully teaching people, and I mean millions of people, how to craft and file proper Claims of Right which will generate lawful excuse and activate the defences found in The Criminal Code of Canada allowing them to disobey the orders of your courts and contravene your statutes and exist as a Freeman-on-the-Land free of the conflict generating deception and deceit the lawyers have created.

I believe this issue concerns all people in British Columbia, and have no problem with you making many copies and passing them out like candy. That is what we intend to do. I am sure you do not mind, as this is a matter of importance to the public, right? Please feel free to even post it on your website, fax it to your members, and if you wish to link to mine, where it will also be posted, the link is [www.thinkfree.ca](http://www.thinkfree.ca) .

Well, I am glad we worked out this little matter and avoided conflict and have found a mutually satisfactory resolution to this issue even though we didn't even use any discussion or negotiation as the rule of law demands. Have a fantastic weekend!

Sincerely and without malice aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity,



Robert-Arthur: Menard

Freeman-on-the-Land, Non-consenting and ungoverned

All Rights Reserved, Exercised at Will and Fully Defended, By The Grace of God

The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society

Justice is Truth in Action





"NO MAN CAN GIVE WHAT HE HAS NOT"

'WHAT IS MINE CANNOT BE TAKEN AWAY WITHOUT MY CONSENT.'

"FALSE IN ONE THING, FALSE IN EVERYTHING"

"IT IS A MISERABLE SLAVERY WHERE THE LAW IS VAGUE OR UNCERTAIN."

"NO ONE IS OBLIGED TO ACCEPT A BENEFIT AGAINST HIS CONSENT."

"ANY ONE MAY RENOUNCE A LAW INTRODUCED FOR HIS OWN BENEFIT."

"NO RULE OF LAW PROTECTS A BUYER WHO WILLFULLY CLOSES HIS EARS TO INFORMATION, OR REFUSES TO MAKE INQUIRY WHEN CIRCUMSTANCES OF GRAVE SUSPICION IMPERATIVELY DEMAND IT."

# MAXIMUM



**PAY ATTENTION!**

AN INJURY IS EXTINGUISHED BY THE FORGIVENESS OR RECONCILEMENT OF THE PARTY INJURED. [LUKE 17:3-4, 2 CORINTHIANS 2:7-8]

"FICTIONS ARISE FROM THE LAW, AND NOT LAW FROM FICTIONS"

"EVERY CONSENT INVOLVES A SUBMISSION; BUT A MERE SUBMISSION DOES NOT NECESSARILY INVOLVE CONSENT."

"IT IS A FRAUD TO CONCEAL A FRAUD."

"GROSS NEGLIGENCE IS EQUIVALENT TO FRAUD."

"ONCE A FRAUD, ALWAYS A FRAUD."

"WHAT OTHERWISE IS GOOD AND JUST, IF IT BE SOUGHT BY FORCE AND FRAUD, BECOMES BAD AND UNJUST."

THERE ARE TRUTHS THAT CANNOT BE DENIED. LIKE A MOTHER'S LOVE, THEY ARE CONSTANT AND UNCHANGING.

THEY ARE AKIN TO RULES OF GEOMETRY IN THAT THEY FORM THE BASIS OF THE ENTIRE SUBJECT AND ARE UNIVERSALLY ACCEPTED AS SELF EVIDENT AND INCONTROVERTIBLE.

Maxim: a broad proposition, a number of which have been used by lawyers since the 17th century or earlier. Much more general in scope than ordinary rules of law, legal maxims commonly formulate a legal policy or ideal that judges are supposed to consider in deciding cases.

"AGREEMENT TAKES THE PLACE OF THE LAW: THE EXPRESS UNDERSTANDING OF PARTIES SUPERCEDES SUCH UNDERSTANDING AS THE LAW WOULD IMPLY."

A FICTION IS A RULE OF LAW THAT ASSUMES SOMETHING WHICH IS OR MAY BE FALSE AS TRUE.

"WHERE TRUTH IS, FICTION OF LAW DOES NOT EXIST."



# WHO ARE THE POLICE?



WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE WITH GUNS AND WHAT IS THE SOURCE, NATURE AND LIMITS OF THEIR AUTHORITY?



WHY DON'T PEOPLE LIKE ME?

ARE THEY MIND CONTROLLED AND IMMORAL LIZARD ALIEN ENFORCERS OF THE HIDDEN ILLUMINATI BORN OF THE DEPTHS OF INIQUITY AND RAISED TO FEED ON THE TEARS, BLOOD AND SWEAT OF INNOCENT BABIES, TRAINED TO MINDLESSLY IMPOSE THE WILL OF THE DECEPTIVE ON THE TRUSTING PUBLIC? DO THEY LOVE KICKING PUPPIES AND THROTTLING BABY MONKEYS? ARE THEY MINDLESS BLOODSUCKING HALF DEAD DESPICABLE ZOMBIES WHO ONLY WANT TO HURT US AND BEAT US DOWN? ARE THEY THE BULLIES FROM HIGH SCHOOL WHO ONLY WANT MORE POWER OVER THEIR FELLOW MAN BECAUSE THEY ARE PSYCHOPATHIC POWER HUNGRY DONUT EATING PEPPER SPRAYING TAX COLLECTING TOOLS OF THE STATE?



THIS IS A GIFT



OR ARE THEY PERHAPS YOUR BROTHERS, SISTERS, FATHERS, MOTHERS, COUSINS AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS?



THANK YOU FOR GRANTING ME JURISDICTION.

POLICY ENFORCEMENT OFFICER  
AKA  
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER




PEACE OFFICER

"Great opportunities to help others seldom come, but small ones surround us everyday."

THEY CALL THEMSELVES LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS, BUT WHAT THEY ARE REALLY ENFORCING ARE IN FACT STATUTES OR ACTS.

THEY ARE DEFINED AS A RULE OF A SOCIETY WHICH HAS BEEN GIVEN THE FORCE OF LAW.



PEACE OFFICERS FUNDAMENTAL DUTY IS TO 'KEEP THE PEACE'. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO BREACH THE PEACE IN ORDER TO ENFORCE STATUTES.

DISOBEYING A STATUTE IS NOT A BREACH OF THE PEACE

# THEY WANT A CERTAIN TYPE

September 8, 1999

By Brigitte Greenberg, Associated Press

NEW HAVEN, Conn. (AP) --

The New London Police Department's rejection of Robert Jordan because he scored too high on an intelligence test did not violate his rights, according to a United States District Court Judge.

The city's rationale for the long-standing practice is that candidates who score too high could soon get bored with police work and quit after undergoing costly academy training.

The judge said there is no evidence that a high score is in any way related to job satisfaction, performance or turnover. But he said: "The question is not whether a rational basis has been shown for the policy chosen by defendants. Plaintiff may have been disqualified unwisely, but he was not denied equal protection."

In 1996, Robert Jordan scored a 33, the equivalent of an IQ of 125. Nationally the average score for police officers, as well as office workers, bank tellers and salespeople, is 21 to 22, the equivalent of an IQ of 104.

Police in New London, population 27,000, interviewed only those candidates who scored 20 to 27.

City manager Richard Brown said the hiring process will remain the same.

"There has been nothing to come across my desk that would cause me to make a change," he said."

Did anyone really think when tasers were being issued to cops that abuses wouldnt start to happen and we begin the slide down the slippery slope? I remember when tasers were first introduced and touted as a means for police to respond with non-lethal force when ordinarily they would have to use their guns. Of course this would be great. Instead of being shot dead, a person would be tasered.

I think its time some news agency did a story on the ever evolving taser use plans based on their original intention and what they are used for now. Now they are used anytime a cop feels their orders are not being complied with fast enough, no matter how illogical, and often illegal, those orders may be.




Where you find the laws most numerous, there you will find also the greatest injustice. Arcrsilaus

# LAWS AND STATUTES

**STATUTE:** A LEGISLATED RULE OF A SOCIETY WHICH HAS BEEN GIVEN THE FORCE OF LAW .



**MUST MEANS MAY**



LAWS CAN GIVE RISE TO FICTIONS. FICTIONS CANNOT GIVE RISE TO LAW.

**A NEW LAW HAS BEEN PASSED!**

YOU WILL READ IN THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA HOW THE GOVERNMENT HAS PASSED A NEW LAW, WHEN IN REALITY WHAT THEY HAVE PASSED IS ONLY A LAW WITHIN THE SYSTEM OR STRUCTURE WHICH IS APPLICABLE TO THE GOVERNMENT.

LAWS CANNOT BE 'PASSED' AS THEN THEY COULD BE DENIED, AND NO MAN HAS THE POWER TO DENY BASIC LAW. CAN THE PEOPLE IN THE GOVERNMENT PASS A LAW CHANGING THE LAW OF GRAVITY OR THE POWER OF TRUTH? NO THEY CAN'T. CAN THEY WITH THEIR WORDS CREATE SOMETHING THAT HAS THE POWER OF EITHER OF THOSE TWO THINGS? NEVER.

THE ONLY THING THEY CAN DO WITH THEIR WORDS IS WHAT YOU COULD DO WITH YOURS AND THIS MEANS ALL THEY CAN DO IS EXTENDED OFFERS THAT IF YOU ACCEPT WILL BE SEEN AS HAVING LAW OVER YOU. NOT BECAUSE THEY CREATED THE WORDS ALONE, BUT BECAUSE YOU ACCEPTED THEM AFTER THEY CREATED THEM.

BELIEVE IT OR NOT NOT A SINGLE WORD THEY CREATE THAT IS NOT SUPPORTED BY UNIVERSAL TRUTH CAN TRULY HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW OVER YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT.

# LEGAL

ILLEGAL

# LAWFUL

## DO YOU KNOW THE DIFFERENCE?

### LEGAL

MEANS 'BY FORM' AND DEALS WITH THE LETTER OF THE LAW..

THE TERMS LAWFUL AND LEGAL DIFFER IN THAT THE FORMER CONTEMPLATES THE SUBSTANCE OF LAW, WHEREAS THE LATTER ALLUDES TO THE FORM OF LAW. A LAWFUL ACT IS AUTHORIZED, SANCTIONED, OR NOT FORBIDDEN BY LAW. A LEGAL ACT IS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FORMS AND USAGES OF LAW, OR IN A TECHNICAL MANNER. IN THIS SENSE, ILLEGAL APPROACHES THE MEANING OF INVALID. FOR EXAMPLE, A CONTRACT OR WILL, EXECUTED WITHOUT THE REQUIRED FORMALITIES, MIGHT BE REGARDED AS INVALID OR ILLEGAL, BUT COULD NOT BE DESCRIBED AS UNLAWFUL.

### LAWFUL

THE TERM LAWFUL MORE CLEARLY SUGGESTS AN ETHICAL CONTENT THAN DOES THE WORD LEGAL. THE LATTER MERELY DENOTES COMPLIANCE WITH TECHNICAL OR FORMAL RULES, WHEREAS THE FORMER USUALLY SIGNIFIES A MORAL SUBSTANCE OR ETHICAL PERMISSIBILITY. AN ADDITIONAL DISTINCTION IS THAT THE WORD LEGAL IS USED AS THE SYNONYM OF CONSTRUCTIVE, WHILE LAWFUL IS NOT. LEGAL FRAUD IS FRAUD IMPLIED BY LAW, OR MADE OUT BY CONSTRUCTION, BUT LAWFUL FRAUD WOULD BE A CONTRADICTION IN TERMS. LEGAL IS ALSO USED AS THE ANTITHESIS OF EQUITABLE, JUST. AS A RESULT, LEGAL ESTATE IS THE CORRECT USAGE, INSTEAD OF LAWFUL ESTATE. UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES, HOWEVER, THE TWO WORDS ARE USED AS EXACT EQUIVALENTS. A LAWFUL WRIT, WARRANT, OR PROCESS IS THE SAME AS A LEGAL WRIT, WARRANT, OR PROCESS.

IT IS POSSIBLE TO ENGAGE IN AN ACTIVITY WHICH IS ENTIRELY LAWFUL YET NOT DONE LEGALLY. JUST BECAUSE IT WAS NOT DONE LEGALLY DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN IT WAS DONE ILLEGALLY. FREEDOM IS ALWAYS ACTING LAWFULLY, BUT NEVER LEGALLY.



# THE CROWN IN RIGHT OF CANADA

PRETENDING TO BE THE KING IN A FICTIONAL ENTITY



IN THIS CASE THE CANADA REFERRED TO IS NOT A GEOGRAPHICAL AREA, BUT A LEGAL ENTITY AND ONE REGISTERED AS A CORPORATION IN THE US

NOW AS TO THE 'CROWN IN RIGHT' THAT IS JUST ANOTHER WAY OF SAYING 'THE PRESIDENT', 'THE BIG CHEESE', OR 'THE BIG KAHLUNA'. IT IS NOT THE CROWN PER SE, BUT SOMEONE ACTING OR PRETENDING LIKE IT AND WHO YOU CHOOSE TO FOLLOW, BUT ARE NOT OBLIGED TO. THEY ARE MERELY PLAYING A ROLE AND YOU CHOOSE TO ACCEPT THEM IN THAT ROLE, EVEN THOUGH YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DO SO.

REMEMBER, SILENCE IS CONSENT AND IF THEY CALL THEMSELVES "THE CROWN IN RIGHT OF CANADA" AND YOU SAY NOTHING THEN THEY HAVE ACHIEVED THE APPEARANCE OF CONSENT NEEDED TO GOVERN YOU JUST LIKE THE KINGS AND QUEENS OF OLD USED TO DO. THE ONLY REASON THEY CAN DO IT IS NOT BECAUSE OF DIVINE RIGHT, BUT BECAUSE OF A CLAIM OF RIGHT THAT YOU NEVER ADDRESSED. **THE GOOD THING IS IT IS NEVER TOO LATE TO FIX IT.**

THE CROWN IN RIGHT OF CANADA IS THAT BECAUSE YOU HAVE NEVER TOLD THEM NO, YOU ARE NOT MY CROWN AND I DON'T OWE YOU ANYTHING. IF YOU DO THAT WHERE ARE THEY THEN? CAN THEY CLAIM THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE PRETENDING TO BE YOUR CROWN YOU MUST ALSO?

The law, in its majestic equality, forbids the rich as well as the poor to sleep under bridges, to beg in the streets, and to steal bread.





WHAT'S YOUR NAME?

EVER NOTICE HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO THEM YOU HAVE A NAME?

LIKE IF YOU DON'T HAVE A NAME THEY HAVE NO POWER.


NO 'WHAT' IS NOT MY NAME. ACTUALLY THAT WOULD BE A VERY STUPID NAME TO GIVE A CHILD. IT WOULD BE CRUEL EVEN...

YOU ARE GOING TO TELL ME YOUR NAME!

WHAT IS YOUR NAME???

'WHAT' IS NOT MY NAME! I TOLD YOU. PLEASE STOP CALLING ME THAT OR IMPOSING UPON ME SUCH A SILLY NAME





TELL ME YOUR NAME!

I WOULD LOVE TO HELP YOU BUT I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ARE ACTING LEGALLY OR LAWFULLY OR LOOKING FOR A LEGAL NAME OR LAWFUL NAME.

I AM ACTING LEGALLY! I WANT YOUR LEGAL NAME!

DO YOU HAVE ANY PROOF I HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO HAVE SUCH A THING?

UM, ACTUALLY NO I DON'T...

HOW CAN YOU DEMAND I PRODUCE SOMETHING I AM NOT OBLIGED TO HAVE IN THE FIRST PLACE? SAY ARE YOU A PEACE OFFICER AND HAVE YOU OBSERVED ME BREACH THE PEACE?





I NEED TO SEE YOUR LICENSE!

WHAT THEY DON'T TELL YOU IS THAT JUST BECAUSE THEY NEED TO SEE IT DOES NOT MEAN YOU HAVE TO SHOW IT TO THEM. THEY NEED TO SEE IT SO THEY KNOW THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO ENFORCE THEIR WILL ON YOU AND THAT YOU ARE SUBJECT TO THE STATUTES THEY ARE ENFORCING.

IF A COP STOPPED YOU AND TOLD YOU HE NEEDED A TUNA SALAD SANDWICH WOULD THAT CREATE AN OBLIGATION ON YOU TO GIVE HIM ONE?




**DRIVER'S LICENSE, REGISTRATION AND INSURANCE PLEASE**

ITS CALLED 'JOINDER' AND ESTABLISHES THAT YOU ARE EXISTING WITHIN THE LEGISLATED FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH THEIR SERVICES MUST BE RENDERED. BECAUSE OF THE EXISTENCE OF GOVERNMENT ISSUED ID THEY CAN APPLY DUTIES TO YOU.



**IS IT SOMETHING YOU MUST HAVE, OR SOMETHING THEY NEED TO SEE?**


IF YOU BEG FOR THE BENEFITS, YOU OWE THE DUTIES



THEY RELY ON INTIMIDATION AND IGNORANCE IN ORDER TO GET YOU TO ENGAGE IN WHAT ARE VOLUNTARY ACTIONS. AND THEN THEY WILL POINT TO THESE VOLUNTARY ACTIONS TO CLAIM THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO ENFORCE THEIR WILL ON THE POPULACE.







WHAT IS YOUR DATE OF BIRTH?

WISH I COULD HELP YOU BUT HONEST I WAS NOT COUNTING THEN, AND I HAVE LOST TRACK A FEW TIMES SINCE I DID START COUNTING. SO I HONESTLY CAN'T TELL YOU THAT.



I THINK YOU HAVE BEEN DRINKING...

WELL, GO BACK TO YOUR CAR, GRAB YOUR BOX COME BACK HERE AND BLOW ME.

WHAT?!

# NAME AND DOB



ALL NAMES ARE LAWFUL. NOT ALL ARE 'LEGAL'.



YOUR LAWFUL NAME IS WHATEVER YOU WANT IT TO BE.

THERE IS A REASON THEY ASK YOU FOR YOUR NAME AND DATE OF BIRTH. IT IS WITH THOSE TWO PIECES OF INFORMATION THAT THEY CAN CLAIM YOU ARE ACTING AS A PERSON. AS A HUMAN BEING YOU CAN'T TRULY KNOW WHEN YOU WERE BORN SO THE ONLY THING YOU CAN DESCRIBE IS THE DATE OF BIRTH OF A LEGAL PERSON. WHEN THEY ASK FOR A NAME, YOU CAN GIVE THEM WHATEVER NAME YOU WANT IF YOU ARE ACTING LAWFULLY AND NOT ENGAGING IN FRAUD. ADDITIONALLY, YOU HAVE NO OBLIGATION TO HAVE A 'LEGAL NAME'.

A LEGAL NAME EVIDENCES A LEGAL ENTITY AND THUS ONE THAT CAN BE LEGALLY ACTED UPON. IT EVIDENCES YOUR 'PERSON' THE LEGAL SUBJECT OR SUBSTANCE OF WHICH THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES ARE ATTRIBUTES. IT IS EVIDENCE OF YOU AGREEING TO EXIST AS A COMMERCIAL ENTITY AND TO OPERATE WITHIN THEIR STRUCTURE, BUT THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO OBLIGATION ON YOU TO DO SO. AND IF YOU DON'T THE ONLY POWER THEY CAN CLAIM IS THE POWER TO KEEP THE PEACE AND THEY HAVE NO LEGAL RIGHT TO FORCE YOU TO TRANSACT WITH THEM.





TO: CNST TUPPER AND SARAH (AKA 'PEPPERMINT PATTY' AND 'MARCIE') NORTH VANCOUVER  
RCMP DETACHMENT

CC: ALL PEACE OFFICERS IN BRITISH COLUMBIA,  
CC: TWIMC

HELLO AND GOOD DAY! I AM ROBERT-ARTHUR: MENARD, A NON-CONSENTING AND  
LINGOVERNED FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND. I AM THE DIRECTOR OF THE ELIZABETH ANNE ELAINE  
SOCIETY AND THE DIRECTOR OF THINKFREE.CA WE WORK TOGETHER AS ORGANIZATIONS  
DEDICATED TO CREATING A FREER AND MORE JUST SOCIETY, WHERE AUTHORITY IS GAINED  
WITHOUT DECEPTION AND EXERCISED WITH RESTRAINT, UNDERSTANDING, ACCOUNTABILITY AND  
COMPASSION. WE EMPLOY ONLY LAWFUL TOOLS SPECIFICALLY BUT NOT LIMITED TO  
SEMINARS, PRINTED PUBLICATIONS, ADVOCACY, PUBLIC ACTIVISM AND LAWFUL CLAIMS.  
RECOGNIZING THE IMPORTANCE OF PEACE, WE EMPLOY WORDS DESIGNED TO CREATE A  
GREATER ABUNDANCE OF THAT COMMODITY FOR US ALL.

THIS DOES NOT MEAN WE SHY FROM OUR DUTY OF SPEAKING TRUTH TO POWER, ONLY THAT WE  
WILL TRY TO DO SO IN A MANNER THAT SERVES HUMAN DIGNITY. ALLOW ME TO SHARE A  
LITTLE ABOUT ME. I LOVE GOD, THE CHILD ELIZABETH, THIS COUNTRY AND THE LAW. I WILL  
NOT BE ABANDONING ANY OF THEM, NOR WILL I BE BREAKING MY EXISTING OATHS TO THEM.  
I RECOGNIZE AND EMBRACE A DUTY OF COMPASSION TO MY FELLOW MAN AND A DUTY OF  
RESPECT TO OFFICE HOLDERS. I DO NOT HARM WITHOUT PROVOCATION AND I WILL NOT  
ACCEPT SUBJUGATION OR ANY FORM OF GOVERNANCE WITHOUT MY CONSENT. I FOLLOW MY  
SOUL AND WILL NOT ACCEPT THAT SOME STRANGER USING WORDS ALIEN TO ME CAN CRAFT  
LAWS COMPLETELY DEVOID OF LOVE, COMPASSION AND TRUTH AND CLAIM THEY ARE LAW OVER  
ME. THEY ARE DECEIVERS, AND I DO NOT ACCEPT THEM OR THEIR WORDS.

IT IS A MIXED PLEASURE FOR ME TO BE ABLE TO START THIS CORRESPONDENCE WITH  
APPRECIATION FOR THE WAY THE OFFICERS I MET EXERCISED THEIR AUTHORITY. ALTHOUGH  
NOT PERFECT, THEY WERE AT LEAST MODERATELY PROFESSIONAL, SOMEWHAT COURTEOUS  
AND BY ACTING WITH SOME COMPASSION, EARNED A LITTLE OF MY RESPECT AND GAVE ME  
SOMETHING PRECIOUS. THEIR ACTIONS GAVE ME HOPE AND ALLOWS ME TO BELIEVE THAT THE  
COMING SHIFT CAN IN FACT BE A POSITIVE ONE, WHERE CHANGE RESULTS NOT IN DESTRUCTION  
BUT POSITIVE GROWTH. BY RESPONDING WITH SUCH PROFESSIONALISM, COURTESY AND  
RESTRAINT IN THE FACE OF THE FRUSTRATION THAT I SEEM TO NATURALLY CREATE MERELY BY  
BEING ME, THESE OFFICERS BROUGHT TO YOUR DETACHMENT, FORCE AND OTHER OFFICER  
HOLDERS SOME MUCH NEEDED PUBLIC ESTEEM, AND FOR THAT I AM THANKFUL AND  
APPRECIATIVE.



HOWEVER, ON THE DAY I WAS PULLED OVER BY TWO FEMALE OFFICERS, WHOM I CALL 'PEPPERMINT PATTY AND MARCIE', ONLY BECAUSE ONE LOOKED JUST LIKE PEPPERMINT PATTY AND THE OTHER DEFERRED TO THE PEPPERMINT PATTY LOOKING ONE, I SAW A COMPLETE LACK OF UNDERSTANDING CONCERNING THE SOURCE, NATURE AND LIMITS OF AUTHORITY, AS THEY EXITED EQUITY TO AFFECT THAT WHICH EXISTED ONLY AT LAW. IT IS AKIN TO A SECURITY GUARD AT A PRIVATE PARTY WHO LEAVES THE PARTY TO CROSS THE ROAD, ATTACK PEOPLE IN THE PARK AND KIDNAPPING THEM, DRAGS THEM INTO THE HOUSE PARTY AND ATTEMPTS TO PUNISH THEM FOR WHAT THEY DID OUTSIDE THE PARTY, BECAUSE DOING SO INSIDE THE PARTY WOULD BE AGAINST THE RULES. THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THOSE ENTRUSTED WITH SECURITY FAIL TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE LIMITS OF THEIR AUTHORITY, WHICH IN ORDER TO BE LAWFUL, MUST ALWAYS EXIST.

I AM NOT A PERSON IN THE LEGAL ENTITY KNOWN AS THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND YET WAS TREATED LIKE ONE BY PEPPERMINT PATTY AND MARCIE EVEN THOUGH NO ONE SAW EVIDENCE OF AN EQUITY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MYSELF AND THAT FICTION. THEY SAW NO ID OR ANYTHING ELSE ISSUED TO ME BY THAT LEGAL ENTITY. THEY SAW A HUMAN BEING IN THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA KNOWN AS BRITISH COLUMBIA AND THEN ASSUMED I WAS ALSO A 'PERSON' IN 'THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.'" THE FIRST IS A GEOGRAPHICAL AREA; THE SECOND IS A LEGAL FICTION, AND PEOPLE SIMPLY CAN'T EXIST WITHIN IT WITHOUT DOING SO THROUGH AN ASSOCIATION WITH A FICTIONAL PERSON. THEY DID NOT KNOW THIS AND THEREFORE ARE GUILTY OF GROSS NEGLIGENCE WHICH I AM SURE YOU MUST KNOW IS EQUAL TO FRAUD. ADDITIONALLY, ONE GAVE ME AN ORDER IN A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION WHICH I ACCEPTED UNDER PROTEST AND DURESS. PERHAPS YOU DO NOT KNOW WHAT THE LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE OF OPERATING UNDER PROTEST IS, BUT IGNORANCE OF THE LAW IS NO EXCUSE FOR BREAKING IT AND BY ORDERING ME, THEY BECAME LIABLE FOR A BILL. ORDERS GENERATE BILLS. ASK ANY WAITRESS, LAWYER OR JUDGE. THEY NOW OWE ME AND I DO INTEND TO SEE PAYMENT. THE REASON THEY OWE ME IS BECAUSE I HAVE A FEE SCHEDULE FILED AS WELL AS NOTICES AND CLAIMS ALLOWING ME TO MAKE THESE CLAIMS. THEIR PRINCIPAL WAS AWARE OF THIS AND IF THEY FAILED TO INFORM THEIR UNDERLINGS, THAT IS NOT MY FAULT. THE REASON I WILL SEE PAYMENT SECURED IS BECAUSE I AM BOUND BY MY FAITH TO DO SO, ALTHOUGH I AM ALSO BOUND TO GIVE YOU A GREAT BIG FAT OUT. WE WILL DISCUSS THAT LATER IN THIS MISSIVE.

I CLAIM YOU OWE ME \$2000 PER HOUR OR PORTION THEREOF FOR ANYTHING I DO AS A RESULT OF AN ORDER ACCEPTED AND FULFILLED UNDER PROTEST. IF YOU DO NOT KNOW WHAT THE COMMERCIAL AND LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE OF OPERATING UNDER PROTEST IS, I SUGGEST YOU SEE A LAWYER. TRUST ME ON THIS THOUGH, YOU OWE ME \$8000. BECAUSE YOU WERE MARGINALLY PROFESSIONAL AND COURTEOUS, I WILL IMMEDIATELY HALVE THAT. YOU NOW OWE ME \$4000. ALSO, THE RCMP AS A WHOLE OWE ME THE SAME AMOUNT, FOR I AM CLAIMING PUNITIVE AND EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. I WILL BE COLLECTING FROM THEM AS WELL, AND IF NECESSARY WILL DO SO COMPLETELY LAWFULLY BY EVENTUALLY SEIZING AND AUCTIONING OFF ONE OF THEIR VEHICLES. I BELIEVE IT IS NOT THEFT IF YOU HAVE A DEFAULT JUDGEMENT ALLOWING YOU TO SEIZE AND SELL IN ORDER TO RECOVER ON A LAWFUL DEBT.



PERHAPS YOU ARE WONDERING HOW I WILL DO ANY OF THESE THINGS, AS I AM SURE YOU ARE THINKING "THE COURTS WON'T ALLOW THAT; THEY ARE ON OUR SIDE." HERE IS WHERE YOU REALLY NEED SOME EDUCATION. I WILL BE IF NECESSARY CONVENING A COURT AND USING A NOTARY PUBLIC TO CONDUCT THE FIRST PART CONCERNING THE EXCHANGE OF AFFIDAVITS AND ESTABLISHMENT OF FACTS. YOU WILL HAVE TO RESPOND BY WAY OF A SWORN AFFIDAVIT SUBMITTED TO THE NOTARY PUBLIC HOLDING COURT. IF YOU FAIL A DEFAULT JUDGEMENT WILL BE SECURED AND COLLECTION PROCEEDINGS INITIATED. IF YOU DO RESPOND, YOU WILL HAVE TO DO SO UNDER YOUR FULL COMMERCIAL LIABILITY AND UNDER OATH. IF YOU ARE FOUND SAYING UNTRUE THINGS OR EXPRESSING FALSEHOODS UNDER OATH, YOU RISK FACING CRIMINAL CHARGES OF GROSS NEGLIGENCE AND ABDUCTION UNDER THE COLOUR OF LAW. IF YOU DON'T THINK I CAN DO SUCH A THING WITH A NOTARY, YOU NEED TO READ SECTION 18 OF THE NOTARY ACT. THEY ARE THE JOKER OF THE DECK AND CAN DO ANYTHING, YOU, A JUDGE OR A SHERIFF CAN DO. THEY ARE ALL POWERFUL WHEN THEY CHOOSE TO SERVE JUSTICE. THEY ARE THE LAWFUL WITNESSES TO PROCESS AND STANDARDS. NOTARY PUBLICS ROCK.

AS YOU SAID WHEN YOU WERE GIVING ME A COPY OF A BILL OF EXCHANGE WHICH YOU REFUSED TO PRESENT PROPERLY, BREAKING THE LAW HAS CONSEQUENCES. HOWEVER WHAT YOU DID NOT REALIZE AT THE TIME YOU SPOKE IS THAT IT IS YOU WILL MEET THE CONSEQUENCES, AS IT IS YOU WHO BROKE THE LAW, AND I WILL BE PROVING THAT TO A VERY HIGH DEGREE. I WILL PROMISE TO ATTEMPT TO DO SO MINDFUL OF YOUR PROFESSIONALISM AND WITH REGARD TO THE COURTESY TO WHICH I WAS TREATED.

I AM AWARE THAT YOU MUST HAVE FELT I WAS BREAKING THE LAW AND THAT YOU WERE RESPONDING LAWFULLY TO MY PERCEIVED TRANSGRESSIONS OF THE LAW. YOU FEEL YOU HAVE COLOUR OF RIGHT, BUT THIS COLOUR OF RIGHT IS DUE ONLY TO YOU FAILING TO PERFORM DUE DILIGENCE. YOUR PERCEPTION MUST CHANGE. HOWEVER WHAT YOU THEN POINTED TO WAS AN ACT OR STATUTE, AND THEY SIMPLY DO NOT HAVE TO FORCE OF LAW OVER THOSE WHO DO NOT CONSENT. YOU CAN EVIDENCE THEIR CONSENT BY SEEING GOVERNMENT ISSUED ID. IF YOU DON'T SEE THAT HOWEVER, HOW DO YOU KNOW I DO CONSENT? WILL YOU BRING YOUR GUN TO BEAR AND USE THAT TO GENERATE CONSENT AND THEN CLAIM YOU WERE ACTING LAWFULLY?

AT NO POINT IN TIME DID I IDENTIFY MYSELF AS A PERSON IN THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA; YOU CLAIMED THAT AND CONTINUED TO DO SO EVEN THOUGH YOU NEVER SAW EVIDENCE THEREOF AND I TOLD YOU I WAS A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND. DID YOU FIND ID? DID YOU FIND ANYTHING ISSUED TO ME BY THEM RESULTING FROM AN ACT OF APPLICATION ON MY PART? NO YOU DID NOT. SO WHAT MAKES YOU THINK I AM A PERSON IN THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA IF NOT YOUR OWN GROSS NEGLIGENCE? WHAT EXACTLY DID I DO THAT WOULD MAKE YOU THINK THAT THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT IS MY LAW? WHAT EVIDENCE DO YOU HAVE I CONSENTED TO SUCH A THING? YOU HAVE NOTHING AND YET STILL YOU FELT COMFORTABLE AND JUSTIFIED IN BRINGING AN IMPLIED THREAT OF VIOLENCE AGAINST A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND AND CLAIMED THE RIGHT AND POWER TO DO SO UNDER STATUTORILY GRANTED AUTHORITY. YOU SAW NO BREACH OF THE PEACE NOR WERE YOU INFORMED OF ONE; YOU STOPPED ME MERELY TO ENFORCE A STATUTE EVEN THOUGH THE STATUTE YOU SOUGHT TO ENFORCE DOES NOT ENJOY THE FORCE OF LAW OVER ME.

AND YOU WANTED TO LECTURE ME ABOUT 'CONSEQUENCES'?





PEPPERMINT PATTY, LET US EXAMINE YOUR BELIEFS AND THEN USING LOGIC, REASON AND THE LAW, MY VERY MIGHTY PEN WILL DESTROY ALL THOSE FALSE ASSUMPTIONS. BEFORE I DO SO, I WOULD LIKE TO AGAIN COMMEND YOU ON YOUR LEVEL OF PROFESSIONALISM, RESTRAINT AND COMPASSION AND I HOPE YOU REALIZE MY GOAL IS TO INCREASE YOUR UNDERSTANDING WITHOUT ATTACKING ANY OF THE GOOD ATTRIBUTES YOU HAVE ALREADY DEMONSTRATED AS POSSESSING.

1. YOU ASSUMED ACTS ARE LAWS.
2. YOU ASSUMED ALL AUTOMOBILES ARE MOTOR VEHICLES AND SUBJECT TO THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT.
3. YOU ASSUMED ALL PEOPLE IN BRITISH COLUMBIA ARE ALSO PERSONS IN THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA.
4. YOU ASSUMED YOU COULD GIVE ORDERS AND NOT BE PERSONALLY LIABLE FOR A BILL.

1 - AN ACT IS NOT A LAW; IT IS A STATUTE AND DEFINED AS A LEGISLATED RULE OF SOCIETY WHICH HAS BEEN GIVEN THE FORCE OF LAW. A SOCIETY IS DEFINED AS A NUMBER OF PEOPLE JOINED BY MUTUAL CONSENT TO DELIBERATE, DETERMINE AND ACT FOR A COMMON GOAL. SEE THE MUTUAL CONSENT PART? IF YOU HAVE NO EVIDENCE THAT I AM A CONSENTING MEMBER OF A LEGALLY NAMEABLE SOCIETY, WHY ARE YOU ATTEMPTING TO ENFORCE STATUTES AGAINST ME LIKE THEY ARE MY LAW?

2 - AS FOR YOUR MISTAKEN BELIEF THAT ALL AUTOMOBILES ARE ALSO MOTOR VEHICLES, THE FACTS OF THE MATTER ARE, IF YOU READ THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT CAREFULLY YOU WILL SEE THAT ALTHOUGH THEY DO DEFINE A MOTOR VEHICLE, IT SIMPLY IS NOT A FULL AND COMPLETE DEFINITION, AND IF YOU ASSUME IT IS, YOU WILL NOT KNOW THE TRUTH. IS IT A FULL AND COMPLETE DEFINITION? IS 'ACCIDENT' FULLY AND COMPLETELY DEFINED, BECAUSE IF NOT, THEN EITHER NONE ARE OR SOME ARE AND SOME AREN'T AND THERE IS A MECHANISM IN PLACE FOR DETERMINING SUCH THINGS. I SEE NO SUCH MECHANISM. PLUS WHEN I LOOK TO SECTION 3.1 I SEE THAT THE OWNER MUST APPLY FOR AN RECEIVE INSURANCE AND REGISTRATION AND YOU LIKELY INTERPRET THIS AS AN OBLIGATION ON ME AND EMPOWERING TO YOU.

HOWEVER, THE WORD MUST IS NOT ALWAYS AN IMPERATIVE AND CAN BE USED TO DESCRIBE SITUATIONS WHICH IF VOLUNTARILY FULFILLED WILL GRANT AUTHORITY. IF I SAY YOU MUST COME TO MY PARTY THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR' DOES THAT CREATE AN OBLIGATION TO ATTEND OR MERELY DESCRIBE THE CONDITIONS WHICH IF VOLUNTARILY FULFILLED WILL GRANT ME POWER OVER YOU, AS YOU WILL BE IN MY PARTY? THE WORD APPLY LEGALLY MEANS TO BEG, AND SINCE NO ONE IS EVER OBLIGED TO BEG, NO ONE IS EVER OBLIGED TO APPLY. UNREGISTERED AUTOMOBILES ARE NOT MOTOR VEHICLES AND THUS NOT SUBJECT TO THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT. YOU WILL LIKELY NOT LIKE THAT TRUTH, AS IT NEGATIVELY AFFECTS YOUR ABILITY TO CLAIM AND EXERCISE AUTHORITY AND LIKE ALL PEOPLE, YOU DO NOT WISH TO INTERPRET ANYTHING IN A MANNER THAT DIS-EMPOWERS YOU; IT IS AGAINST HUMAN NATURE.



3 - ALTHOUGH I WILL AGREE THAT GENERALLY SPEAKING A PERSON IS A HUMAN BEING, WHEN IT COMES TO THE LAW THAT IS NOT THE CASE, AS THE LAW USES LEGALESE AND AS IT IS A COMPLEX AND PROFESSIONAL JARGON. WHEN YOU SEE THE WORD PERSON IN A STATUTE, IT IS REFERRING TO A LEGAL SUBJECT OR SUBSTANCE OF WHICH THE RIGHTS AND DUTIES ARE ATTRIBUTES AND WHICH EXISTS IN AN ASSOCIATION WITH OUR BODIES, PROVIDED WE CONSENT TO IT. SEE THE THING IS, THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA IS NOT A GEOGRAPHICAL AREA, BUT A MAN-MADE LEGAL FICTION, AND JUST AS A HUMAN BEING CANNOT EXIST WITHIN A NOVEL OR OTHER WORK OF FICTION, BUT CHARACTERS REPRESENTING HUMAN CAN, SO TOO IS THE CASE HERE. AS I HUMAN BEING I EXIST IN BRITISH COLUMBIA. IF I CHOOSE TO BE GOVERNED AND REGULATED, ORDERED AND CONTROLLED, THEN I WILL AGREE TO HAVING A PERSON UPON WHICH YOU CAN ACT AND WHICH WILL EFFECT MY BODY AS LONG AS I MAINTAIN A FREE ASSOCIATION WITH IT. IF HOWEVER I DISASSOCIATE FROM IT, YOU CAN NO LONGER CLAIM TO BE ACTING ON A 'PERSON' IN 'THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA'.

4 - YOU GAVE ME AN ORDER, AND YOU ACCEPTED MY PERFORMANCE OF SERVICE UNDER PROTEST AND DURESS. PERHAPS YOU HAVE BEEN GIVING SUCH ORDERS FOR SO LONG AND HAVE ACHIEVED SUCH COMPLIANCE THROUGH INTIMIDATION THAT YOU ARE UNFAMILIAR WITH THE TRUTH. ANYONE IN A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION WHO GIVES AN ORDER FOR PERFORMANCE IS IMMEDIATELY LIABLE FOR A BILL. THIS GOES FOR JUDGES, POLICE AND GOVERNMENT AGENTS. YOU CAN'T ESCAPE THE LAW AND THE LAW SAYS THAT BILLS FOLLOW ORDERS AND ORDERS GENERATE BILLS. IF ANYONE ELSE GOES TO A RESTAURANT, PLACES AN ORDER AND RECEIVES SERVICE ARE THEY NOT THEN LIABLE FOR A BILL? DO YOU CLAIM THE RIGHT TO GO TO A RESTAURANT, PLACE AN ORDER AND NOT BE LIABLE FOR A BILL? IF NOT, UNDER WHAT FUNCTION OF LAW CAN YOU PLACE AN ORDER ON SOMEONE OUTSIDE A RESTAURANT AND NOT STILL BE LIABLE FOR THE BILL YOUR ORDER GENERATES? SO YOU KNOW, THIS PROCESS HAS BEEN TRIED AND TESTED IN NEW ZEALAND, ANOTHER COMMON LAW JURISDICTION, AND PAYMENT WAS SECURED FROM THE JUDGE FOR HIS ORDER. IF A JUDGE IN A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION RECOGNIZES THAT ORDERS FROM ANYONE TO ANYONE GENERATES A LAWFUL BILL, WHY CAN'T YOU? YOU OWE ME FOR SERVICES RENDERED UNDER PROTEST AND DURESS AND UPON A PREVIOUSLY FILED AND SERVED FEE SCHEDULE.

LET US DISCUSS YOUR BIG FAT OUT. ALL I WANT TO SEE IS YOU PROMISE TO SERVE THE LAW BEFORE YOU SERVE THE COURTS OR THE GOVERNMENT, AND REALIZE THAT YOUR FUNDAMENTAL DUTY IS IN FACT TO DO SO. ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS REALIZE THAT A CLAIM OF RIGHT SERVED AND NOT DISPUTED DOES IN FACT CREATE LAWFUL EXCUSE TO DISOBEY COURT ORDERS AND DISREGARD STATUTES, ORDERS, REGULATIONS AND BYLAWS. PEOPLE WHO LAWFULLY CREATE AND WALK THAT PATH SHOULD NOT BE HINDERED, HARMED OR HAMPERED IN ANY WAY, AND IF YOU DO HINDER, HARM OR HAMPER, THE LAW ALLOWS US REMEDY AND WE MAY BRING IT TO BEAR AGAINST YOU. I SINCERELY HOPE WE DO NOT HAVE TO DO SO, AND I SEE THAT ALL I HAVE TO DO IS GET YOU TO AGREE TO SERVE THE LAW FIRST AND THE COURTS AND GOVERNMENTS SECOND, AND YOU WILL BE SEEN AS HEROES OF THIS NATION, FOR HOLDING THEM BOTH TO THE LAW AND FULFILLING YOUR MOST FUNDAMENTAL DUTY OF OFFICE. IT IS THE PEOPLE IN POWER WHO ARE THE MOST TEMPTED AND WHO CAN DO THE MOST HARM, AND AS SUCH THEY MUST BEAR THE GREATEST WATCHING.





I NEED YOU TO AGREE THAT THE COURTS AND GOVERNMENT ARE IN FACT MERELY MAN MADE ORGANIZATIONS MANNED BY MEN AND WOMEN WHO ARE IN FACT BURDENED WITH BASIC HUMAN FRAILTIES. AGREE THAT THE CRIMINAL CODE DOES IN FACT ALLOW FOR LAWFUL EXCUSE TO DISREGARD BOTH COURTS AND LEGISLATURES AND THEIR BUREAUCRATIC OFFSHOOTS IF WE ACT UPON A PROPERLY FILED CLAIM OF RIGHT. ONCE WE DO SO, STAND READY AND FIRM TO REFUSE TO ENFORCE ANY STATUTE OR COURT ORDER AGAINST THOSE WHO HAVE LAWFULLY CREATED LAWFUL EXCUSE. IF YOU DO SO, THERE IS NO CHANCE OF A POLICE STATE DEVELOPING IN CANADA, AS YOU WILL BE GOOD AND PROPER PEACE OFFICERS, SERVING THE LAW FIRST, AND NOT THE PEOPLE WHO SIT IN HIGHER OFFICES AT THE EXPENSE OF JUSTICE.

THE FUTURE POLICE FORCE WILL NOT BE ABOUT TOUGHER, MORE INTIMIDATING AND FORCEFUL COPS, AS YOU WILL NOT BE DEALING WITH THOSE TYPE OF PEOPLE. IT WILL BE ABOUT MORE INTELLIGENT, INFORMED AND COMPASSIONATE PEACE OFFICERS, AS YOU WILL BE DEALING WITH PEOPLE WHO REFUSE TO BE SUBSERVIENT; THEY WILL PRESENT BILLS FOR ACCEPTING ORDERS; THEY WILL QUESTION THE MEANING OF EVERY WORD; AND THEY WILL ULTIMATELY HOLD YOU ACCOUNTABLE. THIS IS WHAT IS COMING AND I WILL TELL YOU HOW I KNOW. I AM WORKING MY ASS OFF TO ACHIEVE IT. AND, WHEN SACRIFICING ASS, I TEND TO MAKE MY EFFORTS COUNT.

I TRIED TO HAVE CAUSED TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE GAZETTE A NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT, OR AS IT IS CALLED IN THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA, LAWFUL EXCUSE. AS THEY REFUSE TO PRINT IT, I SHALL BE SERVING YOU THAT CLAIM AND YOUR OFFICERS PERSONALLY. AND ON CAMERA. UNLESS SOMEONE IN YOUR ORGANIZATION IS WILLING TO CLAIM OTHERWISE, THE RIGHTS I CLAIMED ARE LAW AND ANYONE ATTEMPTING TO ENFORCE COURT ORDERS OR STATUTES AGAINST ME WITHOUT MAKING CLAIM FIRST IS COMMITTING AN UNPROVOKED ASSAULT AND LIABLE FOR DAMAGES AND MAY BE DEFENDED AGAINST.

THERE IS ANOTHER REASON THIS IS COMING. WE ARE CANADIAN. AND PAY VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO THIS PART: WE OUT NUMBER YOU VERY BADLY. AND AS PEACEFUL AND WELL MANNERED AS WE CAN BE, WAKE OUR IRE AND YOU WILL PAY VERY DEARLY. WE ARE NOT SHEEP; WE ARE PEACEFUL, PATIENT AND PERHAPS SLUMBERING GUARDS DOGS, AND IT WILL BE YOUR GREATEST WOE IF WE WAKE TO YOU SHEARING OUR FREEDOMS AND RIGHTS, STEALING OUR WEALTH OR HARMING OUR FAMILIES AND COUNTRY. YOU WILL BE MADE TO PAY. WHEN I SAY YOU WILL PAY, I DO MEAN VERY DEARLY INDEED.

I DID NOT LIKE MY SANITY BEING QUESTIONED UNPROFESSIONALLY BY SOMEONE WHO HAS NO TRAINING IN THE HEALTH FIELD AND HAS DEMONSTRATED THEIR OWN BRAND OF QUESTIONABLE BELIEFS AND SANITY. LET US ASK SOME VERY DIFFICULT QUESTIONS, OK? LET US ASK: WHO IS CRAZIER?



- IS IT THOSE WHO APPLY FOR PERMISSION TO ENGAGE IN COMPLETELY LAWFUL ACTIVITIES WITHOUT EVER EVEN READING THE ACT UNDER WHICH THEY ARE APPLYING?
- 
- IS IT YOU FOR THINKING I OR ANY OTHER ADULT CAN BE GOVERNED WITHOUT CONSENT OR FOR THINKING THAT IN A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION ANYONE CAN GIVE AN ORDER TO ANOTHER WHILE WEARING A GUN AND IMPLYING THE USE OF FORCE WITHOUT HAVING TO PAY A BILL? IS IT YOU FOR THINKING A BODY OF WORDS WHICH YOU DO NOT EVEN UNDERSTAND NOR AUTHORED GRANTS YOU UNLIMITED POWER OVER ME EVEN THOUGH TO YOU THOSE WORDS ARE NOT UNDERSTOOD?
- 
- OR IS IT ME, A MAN WITH A RATHER HIGH IQ, WHO HAS READ AND DE-CONSTRUCTED THESE ACTS AND REALIZED THAT WITHOUT MY CONSENT THEY ARE NOT LAW?

I THINK I AM IN FACT THE SANEST ONE OF THE GROUP, AND THE ONE WITH THE GUN, POINTING TO WORDS THEY DO NOT UNDERSTAND TO CLAIM THE POWER A GUN PROVIDES OVER THE UNARMED, ARE THE MOST DANGEROUS AND COULD EASILY AND MAY EVEN LIKELY BE, PSYCHOTIC. PSYCHOPATHS WANT POWER WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING OR ACCOUNTABILITY. DO YOU UNDERSTAND SECTION 126 AND 127 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE? HOW ABOUT SECTION 337 AND SECTION 39? THOSE ARE JUST SOME OF THE SECTIONS WE CAN USE TO CONTROL YOU. IF YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND THOSE SECTIONS, HOW CAN YOU POSSIBLY CLAIM TO SERVE THE LAW?

THERE IS COMING A LARGE AND FUNDAMENTAL CHANGE IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT AND THE PEOPLE, AND YOU WILL BE PLAYING A MAJOR ROLE. LIKE ALL HEROES IN ANY GREAT STORY, YOU WILL FACE A VERY DIFFICULT DECISION, AND YOU WILL BE EXPECTED TO CARRY THE CONSEQUENCES OF YOUR DECISION WITHOUT MOANING OR BITCHING. IF YOU MAKE THE WRONG DECISION, YOU WILL BE SEEN AS THE VILLAINS IN THIS STORY, AND DEALT WITH AS SUCH.

YOU WILL SERVE THE COURTS AND THE GOVERNMENT OR YOU WILL SERVE THE LAW. I KNOW YOU WANT TO DO ALL THREE, BUT UNFORTUNATELY YOU WILL HAVE TO CHOOSE. I DO NOT ENVY YOU YOUR POSITION, AS YOU WILL HAVE TO CHOOSE WHO OR WHAT YOU WILL SERVE. WILL YOU SERVE THE GOVERNMENT AND THE COURTS EVEN WHEN THOSE PEOPLE ABANDON LAW AND ARE NOTHING MORE THAN FRAUDS? OR WILL YOU SERVE THE LAW, AND HOLD THE COURTS AND THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABLE TO IT? (MORE ACCURATELY YOU WILL BE HOLDING THE PEOPLE WHO WE TRUSTED WITH THE COURTS AND THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABLE TO THE LAW) WHAT WILL YOU DO WHEN THE PEOPLE OF CANADA WAKE TO THEIR FRAUD AND START REVOKING CONSENT TO BE REPRESENTED AND GOVERNED? WILL YOU HOLD THE PEOPLE WHO ARE EMPLOYED BY THE COURTS AND THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABLE TO THE LAW, OR WILL YOU CLAIM LAW IS WHATEVER THE FRAUDSTERS SAY IT IS, BECAUSE THEY ARE THE 'GOVERNMENT' AND THE 'COURTS'? WHO WILL YOU SERVE? WHO IS FIRST? IS IT THE COURTS? THE GOVERNMENT? OR THE LAW?





I DON'T BLAME YOU ALONE; I REALIZE THAT THE LAWYERS HAVE CRAFTED SUCH AN INCREDIBLY AMBIGUOUS AND CONVOLUTED SET OF WORDS THAT IT IS VERY HARD TO DETERMINE WHAT OUR RIGHTS AND DUTIES ARE. YOU PEOPLE DO YOUR BEST TO DO YOUR JOBS AS YOU SEE IT, AND YET WHAT YOU SEE IS A GREAT BIG DECEPTION, THANKS MOSTLY TO THE LAWYERS WHO CRAFT VERY DECEPTIVE RULES USING A LANGUAGE THAT ONLY LOOKS LIKE ENGLISH, BUT ISN'T REALLY. THE LAWYERS LIKE THIS, AS IT GENERATES CONFLICT AND THAT IS WHERE THEY MAKE THEIR MONEY; BY GENERATING AND CONTINUING CONFLICT. HOWEVER, YOU DO WALK AROUND WITH A GUN, POINT TO THOSE WORDS AND USE THEM TO CLAIM AUTHORITY OVER YOUR FELLOW MAN AND YOU ARE WILLING TO THREATEN VIOLENCE TO SECURE THAT POWER OVER THEM. YOUR WILLINGNESS TO BLINDLY ACCEPT THOSE WORDS AS LAW OVER EVERYONE IS ACTUALLY EVIDENCE NOT OF YOUR DESIRE TO SERVE, BUT YOUR DESIRE TO COMMAND, ORDER AND COMPEL WITHOUT ACCOUNTABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY. YOU LIKE YOUR POWER, EH?

SO WILL YOU SERVE THE LAW WHEN YOU ARE CALLED TO DO SO, EVEN IF IT MEANS YOUR POWER AND AUTHORITY TO COMMAND, COMPEL AND ORDER IS GREATLY DIMINISHED BY DOING SO? WILL YOU ACCEPT THE ROLE OF HUMBLE HERO? OR WILL YOU, LIKE THE NAZIS OF GERMANY, CLAIM THAT YOUR AUTHORITY IS THE LAW AND THAT ALL YOU NEED TO DETERMINE THE LAW AND YOUR LEVEL OF AUTHORITY ARE YOUR GUNS?

WHO DO YOU SERVE? WE NEED TO KNOW. IF YOU SAY YOU SERVE THE LAW, WE DO NOT NEED TO CREATE AND EMPOWER A BRAND NEW POLICE FORCE SPECIFICALLY EQUIPPED AND TRAINED TO DEAL WITH PEACE OFFICERS WHO FAIL TO SERVE THE LAW, WHICH IS WHAT YOU WILL BE DOING BY ATTEMPTING TO HOLD ANY FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND TO A STATUTORY OBLIGATION OR ORDER OF THE COURT.

THERE IS A NEW CROP OF CHILDREN COMING AND THEY ARE SIMPLY UNGOVERNABLE WITHOUT GOOD REASON. THEY ARE DRIVING THEIR PARENTS NUTS NOW AND WHEN THEY GET TO 18 YEARS OF AGE, THEY WILL SIMPLY LAUGH AT ANYONE WHO TRIES TO CLAIM WORDS THEY DID NOT AUTHOR OR AGREE TO ARE THEIR LAW. THE NEXT GENERATION IS AS DIFFERENT FROM THIS GENERATION AS WE WERE FROM OUR PARENTS. THERE IS A VERY BIG SHIFT COMING, AND YOU PEOPLE CAN FIGHT TO CONTAIN IT, WHICH WILL RESULT IN YOUR DESTRUCTION, OR BE WISE ENOUGH TO WORK WITH IT.

I KNOW AN 8 YEAR OLD CHILD, WHO WILL SIMPLY REFUSE ANY DIRECTIVES FROM HER PARENTS UNLESS THEY CAN EXPLAIN TO HER THE JUSTICE OF IT. SHE HAS NO FEAR AND REFUSES TO GO AGAINST HER SPIRIT. THERE ARE MILLIONS OF THESE CHILDREN OUT THERE AND YOU PEOPLE WILL BE DEALING WITH THEM. RAISED BY PEOPLE WHO HAVE VERY GOOD REASON TO NOT TRUST THE COURTS OR THE GOVERNMENT, THIS NEXT BATCH OF CITIZENS WILL BE HOLDING YOU SUPPOSEDLY PUBLIC SERVANTS TO TASK.

ESPECIALLY IF I HAVE ANY SAY IN THE MATTER.

WHEN THE NEXT GENERATION REACHES MATURITY, THEY WILL KNOW HOW TO CREATE LAWFUL EXCUSE BY WAY OF A CLAIM OF RIGHT PUBLISHED PROPERLY AND WILL BE COMPLETELY FREE OF THE DECEPTION WE HAVE LABOURED UNDER. THAT IS MY LAWFUL AND HONOURABLE GOAL.



IN REVIEWING THE TICKET YOU GAVE ME, I NOTICED THAT YOU PUT ON THERE A CERTAIN NUMBER AND CLAIMED THAT I WAS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT NUMBER. AS I DID NOT SHOW YOU ANY DOCUMENT WITH THAT NUMBER ON IT, NOR DID I ASSOCIATE MYSELF WITH IN IN ANYWAY, NOR DID I AUTHORIZE YOU TO DO SO, IT IS CLEAR TO ME YOU COMMITTED A FRAUD. UNDER WHAT AUTHORITY DID YOU ASSOCIATE ME WITH A NUMBER WHICH EXPIRED OVER 5 YEARS AGO? YOU WERE NOT ACTING AS MY AGENT, YOU HAD NO AUTHORITY TO DO SO, AND YOU DID PUT FALSE INFORMATION ON AN OFFICIAL DOCUMENT. THAT IS ANOTHER CRIME YOU COMMITTED, EITHER KNOWINGLY AND WILLINGLY, OR OUT OF IGNORANCE AND NEGLIGENCE. A GROSS LEVEL OF NEGLIGENCE.

YOU COMMITTED A FRAUD AND AS SUCH YOU ARE A CRIMINAL. DO YOU AGREE, OR ARE YOU ONE OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO THINKS THAT BECAUSE YOU ARE A COP, YOU ARE THE LAW AND THUS CAN DO NO WRONG?

HERE ARE SOME QUESTIONS FOR YOU. I WILL BE MAKING A CLAIM AGAINST YOU, SWEARING OUT AN AFFIDAVIT AND PRESENTING YOU THROUGH A NOTARY WITH MY BILL. IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND OR FAIL TO RESPOND BY WAY OF A SWORN AFFIDAVIT, A DEFAULT JUDGEMENT WILL BE SECURED ALLOWING ME TO COLLECT UPON MY BILL. BREAKING THE LAW HAS CONSEQUENCES FOR YOU TOO, YOU KNOW. AND THE FACT IS ON THE DAY YOU STOPPED ME, IT IS YOU WHO BROKE THE LAW PEPPERMINT PATTY, NOT I.

1. WHEN DID I EITHER ASSOCIATE OR EMPOWER YOU TO ASSOCIATE ME WITH A DRIVERS LICENSE NUMBER?
2. WHEN DID I CLAIM TO KNOW MY DATE OF BIRTH? IF I DID NOT CLAIM IT, THEN WHY DID YOU PUT INFORMATION ON A COMMERCIAL INSTRUMENT WHEN THE ONLY INFORMATION YOU COULD HAVE POSSIBLY USED WAS ALL HEARSAY?
3. WHAT WOULD CAUSE YOU TO BELIEVE THAT ALL AUTOMOBILES ARE MOTOR VEHICLES IF NOT YOUR OWN GROSS NEGLIGENCE WHEN SECTION 3.1 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLE ACT CLEARLY STATES THAT AN OWNER MUST APPLY FOR REGISTRATION AND INSURANCE BEFORE YOU CAN CONSIDER IT A MOTOR VEHICLE?
4. WHEN DID I IDENTIFY MYSELF AS A 'PERSON' IN 'THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA'?
5. DO YOU DISTINGUISH BETWEEN BRITISH COLUMBIA THE GEOGRAPHICAL AREA AND THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA THE LEGAL ENTITY?
6. IF YOU DO NOT DISTINGUISH HOW CAN YOU LAWFULLY DO YOUR JOB WITHOUT BEING GROSSLY NEGLIGENT?
7. WHY IS THE FACT THAT I ACCEPTED YOUR ORDERS UNDER PROTEST AND DURESS RECORDED ON MY ELECTRONIC RECORDING DEVICE BUT NOT IN YOUR NOTES WHEN YOU PROMISED TO ENSURE THAT YOU WOULD DO YOUR DUTY AND RECORD SAID PROTEST?
8. HOW IS THAT NOT EVIDENCE OF GROSS NEGLIGENCE, PROFESSIONAL MISCONDUCT AND FRAUD?
9. DO YOU DISTINGUISH BETWEEN STATUTE AND LAW AND IF NOT HOW CAN YOU DO YOUR JOB WITHOUT BEING GROSSLY NEGLIGENT?
10. ARE YOU AWARE THAT FAILURE TO RECORD MY PROTEST AND THE FACT THAT I WAS ACCEPTING ORDERS UNDER DURESS IS OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE?
11. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SECTION 39 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA ALLOWS ANYONE TO USE WHATEVER LEVEL OF FORCE IS REQUIRED TO KEEP OUR PROPERTY IF IT HELD UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT EVEN AGAINST SOMEONE WHO (BECAUSE OF THEIR OWN GROSS NEGLIGENCE AND LACK OF DILIGENCE) FEELS THEY HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO THAT PROPERTY? ARE YOU AWARE THIS MEANS THAT IF YOU TRY TAKING SOMEONES UNREGISTERED AUTOMOBILE WITH YOUR HAND ON YOUR GUN, AND THAT AUTOMOBILE IS HELD UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT, THAT YOU CAN BE LAWFULLY SHOT AND KILLED?



12. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SECTIONS 126 AND 127 ALLOW FOR US TO COMPLETELY DISREGARD COURT ORDERS AND STATUTES, BYLAWS AND REGULATIONS IF WE HAVE LAWFUL EXCUSE TO DO SO, AND THAT ACCORDING TO SECTION 39 A CLAIM OF RIGHT IS A LAWFUL EXCUSE?
13. DO YOU ACCEPT THAT IF SOMEONE LIKE YOU, BY THIS I MEAN ARMED AND IGNORANT, ATTEMPTS TO ENFORCE COURT ORDERS OR STATUTES OR BYLAWS AGAINST SOMEONE WHO HAS LAWFUL EXCUSE OR CLAIM OF RIGHT THEN YOU ARE COMMITTING AN UNPROVOKED ASSAULT?
14. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE PEOPLE OF CANADA HAVE THE RIGHT TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST ANY UNPROVOKED ASSAULTS, EVEN IF THOSE DOING THE ASSAULTING BELIEVE THEY HAVE THE LEGAL RIGHT TO DO SO?
15. ARE YOU AWARE THE PEOPLE OF CANADA DO IN FACT HAVE THE RIGHT TO CARRY FIREARMS IF THEY DO SO UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT?
16. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THE PEOPLE OF CANADA WHO HAVE SECURED THE RIGHT TO CARRY A SIDEARM BY WAY OF A CLAIM OF RIGHT HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE THAT SIDEARM TO DEFEND THEMSELVES AGAINST UNPROVOKED ASSAULTS, ESPECIALLY THOSE INITIATED BY PEOPLE WHO ARE CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT OF THE LIMITS OF THEIR AUTHORITY?
17. ARE YOU AWARE THAT ATTEMPTING TO ENFORCE A STATUTE AGAINST A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND IS AN UNPROVOKED ASSAULT?
18. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT ATTEMPTING TO ASSOCIATE ME WITH AN EXPIRED DRIVERS LICENCE NUMBER WITHOUT MY CONSENT IS AN ACT OF FRAUD AND A PERVERSION OF JUSTICE?
19. ARE YOU AWARE THAT UNDER SECTION 18 OF THE NOTARY ACT ANY NOTARY PUBLIC CAN CONVENE A PROPER COURT FOR THE DETERMINATION OF FACTS PRIOR TO THE APPLICATION OF THE LAW?
20. ARE YOU AWARE THAT THEY DO HAVE THE POWER TO CREATE DEFAULT JUDGEMENTS WHICH THE SHERIFFS AND THEIR DEPUTIES MUST ACCEPT AS LAWFUL UNDER SECTION 6 OF THE SHERIFFS ACT?
21. ARE YOU AWARE I AM CONVENING JUST SUCH A COURT, AND THEREIN YOU WILL EITHER SUBMIT AFFIDAVITS WHICH WILL HIGHLIGHT YOUR FRAUD AND IGNORANCE, OR YOU WILL DO NOTHING AND I WILL SECURE BY DEFAULT A COURT ORDER EMPOWERING ME OR MY AGENTS OR THE BAILIFF TO SEIZE AND SELL ANY RCMP VEHICLE IN NORTH VANCOUVER? YOU DO REALIZE THE RCMP IS A LEGALLY NAMEABLE AND THUS SUABLE ENTITY DO YOU NOT?
22. ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE VIOLATION TICKET YOU ENDORSED IS IN FACT AND BY DEFINITION A BILL OF EXCHANGE?
23. ARE YOU AWARE THAT BY REFUSING TO GIVE ME THE ORIGINAL YOU COMMITTED FRAUD, AS IT WAS NEVER PROPERLY PRESENTED AND I AM NOT A LEGAL FICTION?
24. CAN YOU EXPLAIN HOW A HUMAN BEING, A LIVING BREATHING FLESH AND BLOOD MAN CAN EXIST WITHIN THE LEGAL FICTION KNOWN AS THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, OR DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE YOUR INABILITY TO DO SO IS EVIDENCE OF YOUR OWN IGNORANCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE?



25. ARE YOU AWARE GROSS NEGLIGENCE IS EQUAL TO FRAUD?
26. ARE YOU AWARE THAT CANADA IS A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION WHERE THE ONLY FORM OF GOVERNMENT IS A REPRESENTATIVE ONE AND THAT REPRESENTATION REQUIRES MUTUAL CONSENT?
27. DO YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT PEOPLE WHO DENY CONSENT TO BE REPRESENTED CANNOT BE LAWFULLY GOVERNED, REGULATED OR HAVE STATUTES APPLIED TO THEM?
28. ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE PEOPLES RIGHT TO REVOKE CONSENT IS THE GREATEST TOOL EVER DEvised TO PEACEFULLY ENSURE COMPLETE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY AND COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW?
29. DO YOU AGREE THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO WOULD NOT ACCEPT THAT WE HAVE A RIGHT TO SAY NO TO THEIR RULES AND GOVERNANCE MUST BE MOTIVATED NOT BY JUSTICE OR LAW BUT BY DESIRE FOR CONTROL AND POWER AND THUS ARE LIKELY THE LEAST SUITABLE TO HAVE POWER?
30. ARE YOU AWARE THAT IT IS UNLAWFUL TO EXIT EQUITY IN ORDER TO LATCH ONTO AND DRAG INTO EQUITY THAT WHICH PREVIOUSLY EXISTED ONLY AT LAW? ARE YOU AWARE THAT IS WHAT YOU DID WHEN YOU PULLED ME OVER?
31. ARE YOU AWARE THAT STATUTES ARE NOT LAWS BUT ARE IN FACT 'ACTS' AND THEY ONLY ENJOY THE FORCE OF LAW WITH OUR CONSENT?
32. ARE YOU AWARE I HAVE ALREADY CONSTRUCTIVELY REVOKED CONSENT AND THAT BY YOUR ACTIONS YOU ACTIVATED MY FEE SCHEDULE, WHICH HAS ALSO BEEN PREVIOUSLY SERVED?
33. DO YOU AGREE THAT BY ACTING WITH SUCH A HIGH LEVEL OF GROSS NEGLIGENCE AND IGNORANCE YOU HAVE BROUGHT THE RCMP AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE INTO DISREPUTE AND CREATED LIABILITY UPON YOUR PRINCIPALS?
34. DO YOU AGREE THAT THE PEOPLE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA HAVE A RIGHT TO JUSTICE AND THAT WHEN THE PEOPLE ENTRUSTED WITH PROVIDING US WITH THAT BECOME SO TAINTED BY IGNORANCE AND CORRUPTION WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO CREATE A NEW POLICE FORCE SPECIFICALLY DESIGNED NOT TO ENFORCE STATUTES AGAINST THE POPULACE, BUT TO ENFORCE THE LAW AGAINST PRESENTLY EXISTING PEACE OFFICERS?
35. DO YOU AGREE THAT YOU GAVE ME AN ORDER AND THAT IN THIS COMMON LAW JURISDICTION YOU ARE NOW LIABLE FOR A BILL? IF NOT CAN YOU EXPLAIN THE FUNCTION OF LAW WHICH WOULD ALLOW YOU IN THIS COMMON LAW JURISDICTION WHERE EQUALITY IS PARAMOUNT TO GIVE AN ORDER, NOT BE LIABLE FOR A BILL, AND NOT OFFEND THE CONCEPT OF EQUALITY?
36. IF YOU ARE INCAPABLE OF ANSWERING THE ABOVE QUESTION DO YOU AGREE YOU COMMITTED A FRAUD?

SO TO SUM UP. I FEEL I AM OWED BY CERTAIN OFFICERS AND YOUR ORGANIZATION IN GENERAL. I WILL FOREGO MAKING ANY DEMAND FOR PAYMENT, RECOGNIZING THESE ARE DIFFICULT AND CHANGING TIMES PROVIDED YOU PUBLICLY ACKNOWLEDGE BY WAY OF A WIDELY PUBLISHED NOTICE, THAT YOU WILL SERVE THE LAW FIRST AND HOLD THOSE IN OFFICE AND SITTING IN COURT ACCOUNTABLE TO IT. IT IS REALLY NOT MUSH TO ASK, AND IF YOU DO SO, YOU WILL BE SEEN AS HEROES OF THIS COUNTRY. IF YOU REFUSE TO DO SO, YOU QUICKLY BECOME QUITE USELESS AND DANGEROUS TO US. OUR WORDS GAVE YOU YOUR POWER, OUR WORDS CAN TAKE IT AWAY.





*WILL YOU AS PEACE OFFICERS IN THIS COMMON LAW JURISDICTION SERVE THE LAW FIRST AND FOREMOST AND ABOVE ALL ELSE?*

- 1. YOU WILL BE GETTING A BILL. IF YOU DO NOT PAY IT I WILL TAKE LAWFUL MEASURES TO COLLECT.*
- 2. THE RCMP WILL BE GETTING A BILL. IF THEY DO NOT PAY I WILL TAKE LAWFUL STEPS TO COLLECT.*
- 3. ALL MY PROPERTY INCLUDING THE 1991 NISSAN IS HELD BY ME UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT AS PER SECTION 39 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE AND THUS I MAY USE FORCE TO STOP EVEN PEOPLE LIKE YOU FROM TAKING MY PROPERTY.*
- 4. YOU NOW KNOW THAT ATTEMPTING TO SEIZE MY PROPERTY OR ENFORCE STATUTES OR COURT ORDERS AGAINST ME IS AN UNPROVOKED ASSAULT.*
- 5. YOU NOW KNOW I HAVE EVERY RIGHT IN THE WORLD TO DEFEND MYSELF FROM UNPROVOKED ASSAULTS EVEN THOSE COMMITTED BY IGNORANT AND NEGLIGENT PEACE OFFICERS.*
- 6. YOU NOW KNOW THIS IS NOT A THREAT OR A CHALLENGE OR AN INVITATION TO VIOLENCE MERELY A STATEMENT THAT I HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE VIOLENCE IF YOU TRY TO TAKE MY PROPERTY.*
- 7. YOU NOW KNOW THAT BECAUSE I HAVE THAT RIGHT, THERE IS NO WAY FOR YOU TO CLAIM THE SAME RIGHT, AS TO DO SO WOULD RUN DIRECTLY COUNTER TO THE LAW, ITS VERY PURPOSE AND REASON FOR EXISTENCE, WHICH IS PEACE. TWO CANNOT CLAIM THE RIGHT TO USE VIOLENCE TO PROTECT PROPERTY AS THAT WOULD GUARANTEE CONFLICT. THE INCLUSION OF ONE MUST EXCLUDE THE OTHER, AND I HAVE THE RIGHT TO USE VIOLENCE TO STOP YOU FROM TAKING MY PROPERTY THUS YOU DO NOT.*
- 8. YOU NOW KNOW I DO NOT CONSENT TO GOVERNANCE AND I DO NOT EXIST AS A PERSON IN THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA AND THUS I HAVE ACTIVATED THE DEFENCES AVAILABLE TO US ALL IN SECTION 126 AND 127 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA.*
- 9. YOU NOW KNOW THAT UNLESS YOU CAN ANSWER THE QUESTIONS I POSED YOU ARE NOT SUITABLE TO BE A PEACE OFFICER IN A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION, AS YOU ARE TOO IGNORANT OF THE LAW AND TOO ATTACHED TO YOUR AUTHORITY, SO ATTACHED YOU DO NOT CARE ABOUT ITS LIMITS, NATURE OR SOURCE.*
- 10. YOU KNOW THAT A CLAIM TO CREATE A NEW POLICE FORCE EMPOWERED ONLY TO ARREST PEOPLE LIKE YOURSELF IS IN THE WORKS, AND WHEN THAT DAY COMES, YOU CAN AND WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR YOUR ACTS OF NEGLIGENCE AND FRAUD.*
- 11. YOU KNOW THAT A VIOLATION TICKET MATCHES THE DEFINITION OF A BILL OF EXCHANGE AND THAT BY NOT PRESENTING THE ORIGINAL YOU ARE COMPLETELY AND SOLELY LIABLE FOR THAT BILL.*
- 12. YOU KNOW THAT FAILURE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN STATUTES AND LAW IS IN FACT GROSSLY NEGLIGENT AND THAT SAID LEVEL OF NEGLIGENCE IS EQUAL TO FRAUD.*
- 13. YOU KNOW I THINK YOU LOOK JUST LIKE PEPPERMINT PATTY.*



THERE WILL BE OTHER THINGS YOU WILL BE LEARNING, BUT I DON'T WANT YOUR LITTLE HEAD TO EXPLODE!

THIS NOTICE WILL BE PUBLISHED AND WIDELY DISTRIBUTED. I DO SO IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND OUT OF LOVE FOR MY COUNTRY. NOT THE CORPORATION THAT HAS HIJACKED IT OR THE PEOPLE WHO OPERATE WITHOUT LIABILITY THROUGH THAT CORPORATION, BUT THE PEOPLE OF THE COUNTRY WHO HAVE BEEN SO BADLY DECEIVED AND WHO ARE HELD IN PLACE SUBJECT TO THAT DECEPTION BY PEOPLE LIKE YOURSELF, WHO CLAIM AUTHORITY TO ENFORCE THE LAW WITHOUT EVER EVEN REALLY LEARNING TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN LAW AND STATUTE. AND YOU WONDER WHY WE LOOK AT YOU WITH DISTRUST AND APPREHENSION? IT IS NOT THAT WE DON'T LIKE THE LAW; IT IS THAT YOU HAVE ABANDONED IT OUT OF YOUR OWN FEAR AND LUST FOR POWER.

ACCEPT THAT WE ACTUALLY HAVE THE RIGHT TO REFUSE TO BE GOVERNED AND THAT WE HAVE THE POWER TO DISOBEY COURT ORDERS AND STATUTES IF WE DO SO PROPERLY AND YOU WILL BE SERVING THE LAW. FAIL TO DO SO AND WE WILL ALL KNOW THAT YOU ARE NOT PEACE OFFICERS AT ALL. YOU ARE MERELY PEOPLE WEARING THE UNIFORMS OF PEACE OFFICERS AND YOU HAVE HIJACKED OUR COUNTRY. I HAVE SEEN MOVIES WHERE BANK ROBBERS DRESS LIKE SECURITY GUARDS. I THINK THAT MAY BE THE CASE HERE. YOU WEAR THE UNIFORM, BUT YOU DO NOT SERVE THE LAW AT ALL, YOU ACT LIKE MINDLESS UNTHINKING AUTOMATONS WHO MERELY ACCEPT BLINDLY THE ORDERS OF THOSE WHO ARE ABOVE YOU AND THINK THAT BECAUSE YOU ARE ACCEPTING ORDERS YOU MUST BE ACTING LAWFULLY. YOU FAIL TO ACCEPT THAT THE PEOPLE ABOVE YOU ARE BOUND BY THE LAW ALSO, AND YOU ALLOW THEM TO SUBJUGATE AND ENSLAVE US WITH DECEPTION, AND YOU CLAIM YOU ARE PEACE OFFICERS EVEN THOUGH YOUR ACTIONS CLEARLY RESULT IN CONFLICT AND PROFIT FOR THE LAWYERS.

I AM INCLUDING A COPY OF MY CHALLENGE WHICH I HAVE EXTENDED TO ALL PEACE OFFICERS. AS SOME OF THE THINGS I WISH TO DEBATE ARE HIGHLIGHTED BY YOUR ACTIONS, I THINK YOU WILL BE HOMESTEADING IN THE LAND OF DISHONOUR IF YOU DO NOT PERSONALLY ACCEPT MY CHALLENGE. YOU WISH TO MAKE CLAIMS AGAINST ME, WHY DON'T YOU GROW A PAIR AND STAND PUBLICLY TO DEFEND YOUR BELIEFS? WHY DOESN'T ONE OF YOUR PRINCIPALS? THERE IS ONLY ONE REASON: YOU ALL KNOW YOU ARE WRONG AND INVOLVED IN UNLAWFUL DECEPTION AND FRAUD.

OR YOU ARE AFRAID TO BE PROVED WRONG, WHICH MEANS THERE MUST BE A PART OF YOUR MIND THAT HAS SOME DOUBT. ARE YOU SCARED OF THE LAW? ARE YOU SCARED TO DEBATE IT WITH ME? ARE YOU SCARED TO MAKE YOUR CLAIMS ANYWHERE EXCEPT THE PRESENTLY EXISTING APPARENTLY HIJACKED COURTS? YOU REALLY DO NOT WANT TO TAKE A CLOSE LOOK AT THE SOURCE, NATURE OR LIMITS OF YOUR AUTHORITY DO YOU, BECAUSE IF YOU DID YOU KNOW YOU WOULD FIND YOU HAVE FAR LESS THAN YOU HAVE BEEN CLAIMING AND YOU HAVE BEEN ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN FRAUD.





WELL, I HAVE MUCH TO DO IN ORDER TO LAY A FOUNDATION ALLOWING US TO LAWFULLY CREATE OUR NEW POLICE FORCE SO WE CAN BRING MANY BIG MEN WITH BIG GUNS TO BEAR AGAINST PEOPLE LIKE YOU. AS THIS PROCESS WILL INVOLVE MAKING PUBLIC CLAIMS, I WILL BE SURE TO SERVE YOU A COPY OF IT AND ALLOW YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISPUTE THE RIGHTS CLAIMED WITHIN.

I AM SORRY THAT THIS IS WHAT MUST APPARENTLY HAPPEN, BUT THE LAW PROVIDES US REMEDY WHEN PEOPLE LIKE YOU COMMIT FRAUD OR ARE OTHERWISE GROSSLY NEGLIGENT, AND YOU PEOPLE DO NOT SEEM INCLINED TO SERVE THE LAW FIRST AND YOUR POLITICAL MASTERS SECOND. SORRY, BUT WITH YOUR IGNORANCE AND ARROGANCE YOU HAVE MADE THIS A NECESSITY.

SINCERELY AND WITHOUT MALICE AFORETHOUGHT, ILL WILL, VEXATION OR FRIVOLITY,

ROBERT-ARTHUR: MENARD  
FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED, EXERCISED AT WILL AND FULLY DEFENDED BY THE GRACE OF GOD  
ALL PROPERTY INCLUDING MY BODY HELD UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT AS PER SECTION 39 OF  
THE CRIMINAL CODE.

LAWFUL EXCUSE ESTABLISHED AS PER SECTIONS 126 AND 127 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF  
CANADA

THE ELIZABETH ANNE ELAINE SOCIETY  
JUSTICE IS TRUTH IN ACTION

CALL THE RCMP AND ASK  
THEM ABOUT THE CONCEPTS  
EXPRESSED HERE. FIND  
OUT THE TRUTH!



OH CRAP



## Brett Dennen - Ain't No Reason

Prison walls still standing tall,  
Some things never change at all.  
Keep on buildin' prisons, gonna fill them all,  
Keep on buildin' bombs, gonna drop them all.  
Working your fingers bear to the bone,  
Breaking your back, make you sell your soul.  
Like a lung that's filled with coal, suffocatin' slow.  
The wind blows wild and I may move,  
But politicians lie and I am not fooled.  
You don't need no reason or a three piece suit to argue the truth.  
The air on my skin and the world under my toes,  
Slavery stitched into the fabric of my clothes,  
Chaos and commotion wherever I go, love I try to follow.



Love will come set me free  
Love will come set me free, I do believe  
Love will come set me free, I know it will  
Love will come set me free, yes.



AN ELIZABETH ANNE ELAINE SOCIETY  
AND  
THINKFREE.CA PUBLICATION

# FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND TRAVELER PROGRAM



BY FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND ROBERT-ARTHUR: MENARD




YOU HAVE AN IMPORTANT  
DECISION TO MAKE



YOU THINK YOU WANT TO BE A 'DRIVER' OF A 'MOTOR  
VEHICLE' ...



BUT IF YOU THINK BEFORE YOU  
APPLY FOR A LICENSE...



LIKE A MOUSE THINKS  
IT WANTS CHEESE.



YOU WILL START TO...

# FRANZMAN Traveler Program

CONCEPT BY ROBERT-ARTHUR: MENARD.  
AN ELIZABETH ANNE ELAINE SOCIETY AND  
THINKFREE.CA WORK.



IN SCHOOL YOU ARE CONDITIONED TO LOOK AT THE TEACHER AS THE AUTHORITY WITH A PRINCIPAL ABOVE THEM. IF YOU GET THE TEACHER MAD THEY SEND YOU TO THE PRINCIPAL'S OFFICE...




WHERE YOU MAY BE PUNISHED...



OR SENT TO DETENTION...




COURT




OR HAVE A PERMANENT RECORD!

BUT YOU ARE ABOUT TO LEARN THINGS THEY DO NOT WANT YOU TO KNOW.



THE END RESULT IS YOU ARE CONDITIONED TO THINK AND ACT LIKE YOU HAVE SUPERIORS, AND BOUND BY THEIR WILL



ACTIVITIES LIKE...




AND WHEN YOU KNOW THESE THINGS YOU WILL NOT BE ASKING ANYONE FOR PERMISSION TO ENGAGE IN LAWFUL ACTIVITIES




TRAVELING!








WHAT ABOUT CHERRIES???



THEY LIED!!!!


IF YOU BELIEVE THE APPLE ANALOGY, YOU WILL BELIEVE THAT ALL AUTOMOBILES ARE ALSO MOTOR VEHICLES... BUT THEY DON'T TELL YOU THE WHOLE STORY.

AN APPLE IS A ROUND RED FRUIT



YOUR AUTOMOBILE BECOMES A MOTOR VEHICLE WHEN YOU REGISTER IT AND THEN IT IS SUBJECT TO THE APPLICABLE STATUTES. NOT BEFORE.

THEREFORE ALL ROUND RED FRUIT ARE APPLES



IF YOU MAKE YOUR LIVING ON THE HIGHWAY AS A DRIVER THEN YOU NEED TO HAVE A LICENSE... BUT IF ALL YOU ARE DOING IS GOING FROM POINT A TO B FOR SOME OTHER REASON, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO SO.





# THEIR TRICKS

YOU MUST BE LICENSED... JUST LIKE ME.









*WE OF COURSE WANT YOUNG TRAVELERS TO BE RESPONSIBLE, CAPABLE AND COMPETENT AND THERE SHOULD BE A WAY TO ENSURE THEY ARE ALL THOSE...*

*WITHOUT RESORTING TO DECEPTION WHICH WILL ALWAYS GIVE RISE TO TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION.*



*WE DO HAVE RIGHTS, AND SOME EVEN FOUGHT FOR THOSE. AND NOT SO WE COULD ALL BE GRADUALLY ENSLAVED BY A PLANNED ENCROACHMENT ON THOSE VERY PRECIOUS THINGS.*





*YET STILL THERE ARE THOSE WHO WILL GET US TO DO SO. TO SEEK THEIR PERMISSION TO DO THE MOST BASIC THINGS. IF WE WANT TO DANCE THEY WANT US TO SEEK THEIR PERMISSION FIRST...*



*IF WE WISH TO JUMP THEY WANT TO BE THE ONES TO DECIDE HOW HIGH WE CAN GO, YET THEY THEMSELVES NEVER JUMP WITH JOY, OR DANCE.*





*WE ARE COGNIZANT, AWARE,  
CAPABLE OF BREATH,  
THOUGHT, LOVE, CHOICE AND  
FREEWILL.*

*WE ARE LIVING STARDUST  
AND WE DO NOT NEED TO  
ASK ANOTHER'S  
PERMISSION TO EXIST...*





IT IS DONE IT WITH THE  
CONDITIONING YOU RECEIVED IN  
SCHOOL... COUPLED WITH THEIR  
VERY VERY TRICKY WORDS




AND THEY DO IT WITH...

TRICKY WORDS

MUST.. ACT

SUBMIT APPLY

REGISTER



AND SOCIAL CONDITIONING

THIS IS NOT GOOD...

I SMELL BLOOD...

I'M GOING TO DISNEY LAND!

EVERYONE WANTS TO FIT IN RIGHT?



AND BECAUSE YOU ACT LIKE A CHILD...

I WILL MAKE A COMPLAINT!


THEY GET TO TREAT YOU LIKE ONE.



# ACTING LIKE CHILDREN


*INFANTS HAVE RIGHTS. ADOLESCENTS HAVE FREEDOMS. ADULTS HAVE DUTIES.*

*DID YOU READ THE APPLICABLE LEGISLATION BEFORE OR AFTER YOU BEGGED FOR YOUR LICENSE? HAVE YOU EVER EVEN READ IT? HOW DO YOU KNOW YOU NEED PERMISSION IF YOU NEVER EVEN READ IT?*



*THERE IS A THING CALLED DUE DILIGENCE AND WHEN YOU RUSH TO GET PERMISSION BEFORE EVEN KNOWING IF YOU REALLY NEED IT....*

*YOU LOOK LEGALLY LIKE A CHILD.*



*WHO ASKS FOR PERMISSION TO ENGAGE IN LAWFUL ACTIVITIES???*

# CHILDREN!



# REMEDY AND RIGHTS

HOW TO GET BACK WHAT YOU GAVE AWAY...

NEVER FORGET, DECEPTION ON THEIR PART RELIES UPON IGNORANCE ON YOURS

FIRST YOU NEED TO DE-REGISTER YOUR AUTOMOBILE. ALL IT TAKES IS A SIMPLE NOTICE.



JUST STEP BACK JACK


HOLD YOUR PROPERTY UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT AND HAVE A FEE SCHEDULE SERVED.



THIS IS SO EASY...

YOU ARE FREE TO DANCE, YOU ARE FREE TO TRAVEL, YOU ARE FREE TO BE. YOU ARE AS FREE AS YOU THINK YOU CAN BE.

SERVE A NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT EXPLAINING WHAT YOU BELIEVE TO BE THE TRUTH. INVITE THEM TO DISCUSS...



YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO TRAVEL, JUST LIKE YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO DANCE.



**REGISTRATION** - HISTORICALLY THIS WAS THE ACT OF A SHIP'S CAPTAIN SIGNING OVER HIS SHIP TO A HARBOR MASTER FOR SAFE KEEPING. THE HARBOR MASTER WAS THEN SEEN AS THE TEMPORARY OWNER, OR TRUSTEE. THAT IS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU REGISTER YOUR AUTO. THEY NOW OWN IT, YOU GET TO STILL USE IT.

# TRICKY WORDS

**APPLICATION** - THIS WORD MEANS TO **BEG**, PLEAD, PETITION, IMPLORE OR ENTREAT. NO ONE EVER FORCED YOU TO BEG. EVER. YOU DID THAT VOLUNTARILY.



YOU SHOULD KNOW FROM WHOM YOU BEG...

**SUBMISSION** - THIS WORD MEANS TO AGREE TO THE WILL OF ANOTHER OR TO LEAVE SOMETHING TO ANOTHER'S DISCRETION.



AGAIN IT MUST BE VOLUNTARY. AND WHAT IF YOU DON'T WANT TO **SUBMIT**?

**MUST** - IF I TELL YOU THAT YOU **MUST** COME TO MY PARTY THROUGH THE FRONT DOOR DOES THAT MEAN YOU HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO ATTEND?



OR AM I DESCRIBING CONDITIONS WHICH IF YOU **VOLUNTARILY FULFILL** WILL GIVE ME AUTHORITY OVER YOU?



# DEREGISTER

WHEN YOU DE-REGISTER YOUR PROPERTY YOU ARE LETTING THE SO CALLED AUTHORITIES KNOW THAT YOU NO LONGER AGREE THAT YOUR PROPERTY IS THEIRS TO CARE FOR AND CONTROL AS IT IS NO LONGER LEGALLY INVESTED WITH THE STATUS OF MOTOR VEHICLE..

JUST TELLING IT LIKE IT IS...

# SERVE NOTICE



TELL THEM WHAT YOU UNDERSTAND, ACCEPT AND COMPREHEND. SHARE WITH THEM YOUR BELIEFS AND DO IT IN AN OPEN AND HONORABLE MANNER.

TELL THEM WHAT YOUR INTENT IS AND EXPLAIN WHY YOU THINK IT IS A PROPER COURSE OF ACTION.


HONORABLY OFFER DISCUSSION SO YOU MAY NEGOTIATE IF NEEDED.

IF THEY SAY NOTHING AND DO NOTHING THEY HAVE WITH THEIR SILENCE AGREED WITH YOU.

THEY WILL NOT LIKE THIS AT ALL, AS IT IS THROUGH THIS MECHANISM THEY CAN COLLECT MONEY FROM YOU.



# CLAIM OF RIGHTS



Honourable Carol Skelton, P.C., M.P.  
Saskatoon-Rosetown-Biggar

March 19, 2007

WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Jackie Grant Veloise Harper  
c/o 1040 B - 20<sup>th</sup> Street West  
Saskatoon, SK

Dear Ms. Harper:

This will acknowledge receipt of your cover letter dated the 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2007 and the appended Affidavit - Notice of Understand and Intent and Claim of Right

I have noted your claim of rights and freedoms as a "Freeman-on-the-Land" as a "human being in a common law jurisdiction who lawfully revokes or denies consent to be represented and governed and exists completely free of all statutory restraints, obligations and restrictions."

Yours sincerely,

*Carol Skelton*  
Honourable Carol Skelton, PC, MP  
Saskatoon-Rosetown-Biggar

CS:sm

UNDER THE LAW A CLAIM OF RIGHT IS LAWFUL EXCUSE FOR REFUSING TO OBEY COURTS AND GOVERNMENTS. THEY ARE EASY TO MAKE AND SERVE AND IF YOU CLAIM THE RIGHT TO EXIST WITHOUT OTHERS GOVERNING YOU, THEY HAVE TO CLAIM THE RIGHT TO REGULATE YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT. THE GOVERNMENT HAS ALREADY ACCEPTED WE HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO THESE THINGS. SEE THE LETTER ABOVE?



# THINGS THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T WANT YOU TO KNOW



ARE YOU A DRIVER? DO YOU REALLY KNOW WHAT A 'DRIVER' IS?

A DRIVER IS ACTUALLY SOMEONE THAT IS PAID TO DELIVER PEOPLE OF GOODS. IF YOU ARE OPERATING A TAXI SERVICE YOU ARE A DRIVER, OTHERWISE YOU CAN BE A TRAVELLER.



SO MY MOMMY IS NOT A DRIVER, BECAUSE SHE IS NOT BEING PAID. WHY DOES SHE NEED A LICENSE?



WHEN IT COMES TO ANY MODERN DAY CONVEYANCE, THERE ARE OWNERS, DRIVERS, PASSENGERS AND GUESTS. THE OWNER DOES NOT HAVE TO PAY TO RIDE AND CAN DECIDE WHO A GUEST CAN BE AND DECIDES THE RATE PASSENGERS MUST PAY. AN OWNER CAN ALSO CHOOSE TO PAY THE FARE AND BY SO DOING SECURE THE RIGHT TO SUE THE DRIVER IF THERE IS AN ACCIDENT, BUT THE OWNER DOES NOT HAVE TO PAY UNLESS HE CHOOSES.




REMEMBER RIGHTS NOT MENTIONED CAN'T BE DEEMED TO BE AFFECTED.

SOMETIMES COPS TRY TO GIVE TICKETS FOR NOT WEARING A SEATBELT TO A SO CALLED 'PASSENGER'. BUT WHAT IF YOU DID NOT PAY TO BE IN THE VEHICLE? ARE YOU STILL THEN A PASSENGER? IF YOU ARE A GUEST ARE YOU OBLIGED TO WEAR A SEATBELT IF YOU DO NOT WANT TO?



WE MAKE A KILLING OFF THESE TICKETS.



WHAT MAKES YOU THINK I AM A DRIVER? AM I ENGAGING IN COMMERCE?



# P.A.C.L.I.P.

PRIVATE AUTOMOBILE COOPERATIVE LIABILITY INSURANCE PROGRAM

## BECAUSE WE CAN WORK TOGETHER

AND NOT BE A SOURCE OF REVENUE FOR INSURANCE COMPANIES



THE PURPOSE OF INSURANCE IS TO ENSURE THAT IF YOU HURT SOMEONE YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO COVER THEIR DAMAGES. THAT IS A GOOD THING. YET WHY SHOULD YOU HAVE TO PAY OVER AND OVER EVERY YEAR WHILE THE INSURANCE COMPANIES MAKE A LOT OF MONEY FROM IT? IN BRITISH COLUMBIA ICBC IS MAKING RECORD PROFITS AND NOT PASSING THIS ON TO THE CONSUMER. HOW CAN YOU BE OBLIGED TO DO BUSINESS WITH A MONOPOLY WHICH PROFITS?



IF YOU HAD \$100,000 YOU COULD POST THAT MONEY AS A BOND AND NOT HAVE TO GET INSURANCE AS THAT BOND IS THE INSURANCE. BUT WHAT IF YOU DO NOT HAVE THAT KIND OF MONEY TO POST? ARE THERE ALTERNATIVES?

WHAT IF 1000 PEOPLE ALL PUT \$1000 INTO ONE POT, THEN THEY WOULD HAVE \$1,000,000 WOULD THEY NOT? NOW THOSE 1000 PEOPLE COULD EACH ENJOY LIABILITY INSURANCE TO THE TUNE OF THAT SAME AMOUNT. IF THERE IS AN ACCIDENT THE LIABILITY IS PAID OUT OF THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT AND EVERYBODY HAS TO ANTE UP AGAIN. IF HOWEVER THERE ARE NO ACCIDENTS, THE \$1000 THAT EACH PUT IN IS STILL THERE AND EARNING INTEREST FOR THE PEOPLE WHO ARE BEING INSURED. IF THERE ARE NO ACCIDENTS, THEN THE PRINCIPAL CAN BE USED FOR THE NEXT YEAR WITHOUT HAVING TO PAY AGAIN. THIS WAY PEOPLE HAVE A MUCH STRONGER REASON TO DRIVE DEFENSIVELY.

WAS I GOING TOO FAST?



PLUS, WHEN YOU ARE DONE TRAVELING, AND YOU NO LONGER NEED INSURANCE, THE MONEY YOU HAVE IN THE SHARED ACCOUNT CAN BE PAID BACK TO YOU, AS THEY WERE ONLY HOLDING ONTO IT IN CASE THEY NEEDED IT.

YOU MAY ASK YOURSELF "IF THIS IS SUCH A GOOD IDEA, WHY DO THE EXISTING GOVERNMENT RUN INSURANCE PROGRAMS DO IT?"



SEE SON, IT'S ALL ABOUT MONEY, NOT SAFETY.

THAT'S RIGHT! THEY SAY IT IS FOR THE KIDS AND SO WE HAVE SAFE STREETS, BUT THEY CAN NEVER SEEM TO FIND A WAY TO ACCOMPLISH THOSE THINGS WITHOUT MAKING A LOT OF MONEY FOR THEMSELVES AND RESTRICTING OUR EXISTING RIGHTS.

INSURANCE IS A SCHEME AND IS ESSENTIALLY GAMBLING AND IS NOT OBLIGATORY



# MORE YOU NEED TO KNOW REMEMBER WE ARE EQUAL

FORGET HAVING THE ANSWERS... HAVING THE PROPER QUESTIONS BRINGS FREEDOM

AN AGENT'S POWER  
CANNOT BE GREATER  
THEN THEIR PRINCIPALS.

A POWER DERIVED  
CANNOT BE GREATER  
THEN THE SOURCE FROM  
WHICH IT IS DERIVED.

IF I DO NOT HAVE  
POWER OVER YOU  
NEITHER DO MY AGENTS.



IF WE ARE ALL EQUAL,  
NOBODY CAN ORDER YOU  
TO DO SOMETHING. THINGS  
THAT LOOK LIKE ORDERS  
ARE IN FACT ONLY OFFERS.

EVEN IF IT SOUNDS LIKE AN  
ORDER THEY ARE ACTUALLY  
TRYING TO GET YOU TO  
ACCEPT THE OFFER THAT  
THEY HAVE THE POWER TO  
ORDER YOU.

"THAT THE MAJORITY SHALL PREVAIL IS A RULE POSTERIOR TO THE FORMATION OF GOVERNMENT, AND RESULTS FROM IT. IT IS NOT A RULE BINDING UPON MANKIND IN THEIR NATURAL STATE. THERE, EVERY MAN IS INDEPENDENT OF ALL LAWS, EXCEPT THOSE PRESCRIBED BY NATURE. HE IS NOT BOUND BY ANY INSTITUTIONS FORMED BY HIS FELLOW MEN WITHOUT HIS CONSENT." CRUDEN V. NEALE, 2 N.C. 338 MAY TERM 1796.



UNLESS YOU ARE AN OFFICER  
OF THE COURT HOW CAN YOU  
BE COMPELLED TO ACCEPT  
THEIR ORDERS IF YOU AREN'T  
GETTING PAID? THE ANSWER  
IS YOU CAN'T, BUT THE  
EXISTING JUDGES AND  
LAWYERS ARE ALL ON THE  
SCAM AND WILL USE  
UNLAWFUL INTIMIDATION AND  
FRAUD TO CREATE THE  
APPEARANCE OF CONSENT.



IF ANY MAN USED THE TOOLS  
THAT THE LAWYERS, COPS AND  
JUDGES USE TO GENERATE THE  
APPEARANCE OF CONSENT  
THEY WOULD RIGHTFULLY BE  
CHARGED WITH RAPE. BUT  
BECAUSE THEY CONSIDER  
THEMSELVES ABOVE THE LAW,  
AND YOU THEIR PEON, THEY  
CAN JUSTIFY IT TO  
THEMSELVES AND THEIR  
GOD: MONEY.



**RECOGNIZING WE ARE ALL EQUAL BEFORE THE LAW...**

A FEE SCHEDULE IS A PREVIOUSLY AGREED TO RATE OF PAY. TAXI'S HAVE THEM. LAWYERS HAVE THEM. SO CAN YOU. YOU WANT THIS FILED AND SERVED SO THAT WHEN SOMEONE DEMANDS YOU PERFORM A SERVICE FOR THEM, YOU CAN DO SO AND THEN COLLECT UPON YOUR SCHEDULE. IF THEY REFUSE TO PAY AFTER YOU PERFORM A SERVICE FOR THEM AND YOU HAVE A FEE SCHEDULE, YOU CAN CHARGE THEM WITH THEFT, FRAUD OR BREACH OF CONTRACT.

THIS WILL BE IMPORTANT BECAUSE YOU WILL LIKELY MEET POLICE OFFICERS WHO WILL DEMAND YOU DO THINGS FOR THEM. IF YOU HAVE NO SCHEDULE, YOU CAN'T CLAIM THEY OWE YOU AS MUCH AS YOU CAN IF THEY MAKE DEMANDS. A FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWS YOU TO PERFORM FOR THEM, ON YOUR TERMS.



PROTEST AND DURESS - WHAT WOULD YOU DO IF SOMEONE WITH A GUN, POINTED IT AT YOU AND ORDERED YOU TO WASH THEIR FLOOR, CLAIMING THEY HAD A CONTRACT WITH YOU OBLIGING YOU TO DO WHAT THEY SAY. YOU ASK TO SEE THE CONTRACT AND THEY SHOW YOU A GUN, OR A BADGE OR ANGRY MUSCLE. WHAT DO YOU DO?

IF YOU SAY NO YOU GET SHOT. IF YOU SAY YES YOU HAVE TO DO IT AND DON'T GET PAID.

THIS IS WHAT PROTEST AND DURESS IS FOR...




**MEANS KNOWING NOBODY CAN ORDER ANOTHER TO DO THEIR WILL...**

IF YOU PROVIDE SERVICES UNDER PROTEST, YOU ARE CLAIMING THAT THERE IS NO CONTRACT OBLIGING YOU, BUT YOU DO NOT WANT TO GET HURT AND THEREFORE YOU WILL DO WHAT IS DEMANDED

**- BUT -**

THEY HAVE THREE DAYS TO PROVE THE EXISTENCE OF A CONTRACT AND IF THEY CAN'T DO THAT, YOU GET TO CREATE THE CONTRACT AND CHARGE WHATEVER YOU WANT, ESPECIALLY IF IT IS WHAT YOU WARNED YOU WOULD SEEK IN YOUR FEE SCHEDULE.





# VIOLATION TICKETS ARE BILLS OF EXCHANGE

AND THE INHERENT REMEDY THEY CAN PROVIDE

I AM ABOUT TO ENDORSE A NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT EVIDENCING A TRANSACTION OF A SECURITY INTEREST AND I DON'T EVEN KNOW IT



PREFIX: XYZ TICKET NUMBER: 000000  
 Anytown Borough  
 123 Main Street  
 Anytown, NJ 01234

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED TO APPEAR BEFORE THIS COURT TO ANSWER THIS COMPLAINT CHARGING YOU WITH THE OFFENSE LISTED:

EXP. DATE: STATE:  Commercial License

THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFIES THAT

First: Last: (Please Print)

State: Zip Code: Telephone:

Eyes: Sex: Height: Restrictions:

DID UNLAWFULLY OPERATE) A

Color:  Commercial Vehicle  
 Hazardous Material

Month: Day: Time Hour: AM/PM

CODE

2 2 2 2

HI! I AM A 'VIOLATION TICKET'. I AM ALSO A 'BILL OF EXCHANGE'. I CAN BE BOTH, JUST LIKE AN APPLE IS ALSO A FRUIT. A VIOLATION TICKET IS A TYPE OF BILL OF EXCHANGE AND CAN BE DEALT WITH VERY EASILY WHEN YOU HOW TO DEAL WITH ME.

PENALTY SCHEDULE ON REVERSE

OTHER TRAFFIC/PARKING INFRACTION (Describe)

Ordinance / Code No.

Year

Operator's Name: Operator's License: Unit Code

UTT2 (12/01)

A BILL OF EXCHANGE IS AN UNCONDITIONAL ORDER IN WRITING SIGNED BY THE PERSON GIVING IT REQUIRING THE PERSON TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED TO PAY ON DEMAND OR AT A FIXED AND DETERMINABLE TIME A SUM CERTAIN IN MONEY TO OR TO THE ORDER OF THE DRAWER OR A THIRD PARTY.

**BY DEFINITION VIOLATION TICKETS ARE BILLS OF EXCHANGE**

IMAGINE GOING TO A RESTAURANT AND THE WAITRESS DEMANDS YOU SIGN THE BILL AND NOT PAY, JUST SO SHE CAN TAKE IT TO SOME BOUNCERS IN THE CORNER WHO WILL COME OVER AND BEAT YOU UP FOR FAILING TO PAY IN THE FIRST PLACE. DOES THIS SOUND FAIR? OBVIOUSLY NOT BUT THIS IS WHAT THE PEACE OFFICER WANTS YOU TO DO; SIGN THE ORIGINAL AND ACCEPT A COPY AND AT THIS POINT YOU ARE IN DISHONOR FOR FAILING TO PAY!

IF YOU OFFER TO ACCEPT THE ORIGINAL AND THE DRAWER REFUSES TO ACCEPT PAYMENT OR PRESENT THE BILL, THEN THERE CAN BE NO CLAIM OF OBLIGATION TO PAY NO CLAIM THAT PAYMENT WAS REFUSED.. IT IS NOT THE SPEEDING THAT BRINGS YOU TO COURT, IT IS HOW YOU FAIL TO DEAL WITH THE BILL.



I AM JUST LIKE ANY OTHER BILL AND I MUST BE PRESENTED BEFORE ANY PAYMENT CAN BE EXPECTED AND IF THE DRAWER REFUSES TO PRESENT ME, I CAN'T HAVE ANY EFFECT.



# **I am a Peace Officer Damn it!** **You will RESPECT** **My AUTHORITY!**

A PEACE OFFICERS  
FUNDAMENTAL DUTY IS TO  
KEEP THE PEACE.  
UNFORTUNATELY THIS  
DOES NOT GIVE THEM  
MUCH POWER AS IF PEOPLE  
ARE PEACEFUL THEY HAVE  
NO AUTHORITY.



POLICE ACTUALLY PLAY TWO  
ROLES, ONE IS A PEACE  
OFFICER AND THE OTHER A  
LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER.  
IN ORDER TO EXERCISE  
THEIR PEACE OFFICER  
POWERS, THEY MUST FIRST  
OBSERVE A BREACH OF THE  
PEACE. IF YOU ASK A  
PEACE OFFICER IF HE HAS  
OBSERVED YOU BREACH THE  
PEACE AND HE SAYS NO,  
YOU CAN REFUSE TO TALK  
TO HIM AT THAT POINT.

OBSTRUCTING A PEACE OFFICER IS A CRIME, REFUSING TO  
INTERACT WITH THEM WHEN THEY ARE ACTING AS A LAW  
ENFORCEMENT OFFICER IS NOT OBSTRUCTION. WHAT THEY  
LIKE TO DO IS CLAIM THAT THEY ARE ALWAYS A PEACE  
OFFICER AND SOMETIMES ACTING AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER. WHAT YOU NEED TO DO IS ASK THEM IDENTIFY  
THEMSELVES AND THE ROLE THEY ARE PLAYING. IF THEY  
SAY THEY ARE A PEACE OFFICER THEN YOU ASK THEM IF THEY  
HAVE SEEN YOU BREACH THE PEACE. IF THEY SAY NO, THEN  
YOU CLAIM THE RIGHT TO WALK AWAY.



IF THEY SAY THEY RE ACTING  
AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT  
OFFICER THEN THE ONUS IS  
ON THEM TO PROVE THAT  
THERE EXISTS A PERSON FOR  
THEM TO ACT UPON AND IF  
YOU HAVE NOT SHOWN THEM  
ID THEY HAVE NO LAWFUL  
RIGHT TO ACT IN AN  
ENFORCEMENT CAPACITY.



IMAGINE A DOG ON A LEASH.  
THEY HAVE TEETH AND CAN BITE,  
BUT BECAUSE OF THE LEASH THEY  
CAN'T GET AT YOU. THEIR TEETH  
REPRESENTS THEIR L.E.O. ROLE  
AND THE LEASH THEIR STATUS AS  
PEACE OFFICERS. THE PEACE  
OFFICER STATUS IS SUPPOSED  
TO BIND THEM, NOT EMPOWER  
THEM TO BRING CHARGES WHEN  
THEY WEREN'T EVEN ACTING IN A  
PEACE OFFICER CAPACITY.

WE NEED A NEW POLICE FORCE EMPOWERED ONLY TO ARREST EXISTING POLICE

# SKYTRAIN RIGHTS

## EXERCISING OWNERSHIP RIGHTS


### YOU CAN RIDE FOR FREE



POLICE OFFICERS, INCLUDING THOSE EMPLOYED BY SKYTRAIN RELY A LOT ON THEIR OWN IGNORANCE AND ABILITY TO INTIMIDATE. IN THIS CASE THEY CLAIM THE RIGHT TO DEMAND PROOF OF PAYMENT FROM ALL 'PASSENGERS'. THEIR IGNORANCE COMES INTO PLAY WHEN THEY FAIL TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN AN OWNER AND A PASSENGER. THEY ASSUME ALL THE PEOPLE ON THE CONVEYANCE ARE PASSENGERS AND NOT AN OWNER.


THE LAW HOWEVER DOES DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE TWO AND IT ALSO STATES THAT AN OWNER CAN USE THEIR PROPERTY WITHOUT HAVING TO PAY TO DO SO. THE QUESTION THEN BECOMES 'WHO OWNS THE SKYTRAIN?' THE NEXT QUESTION WOULD BE WHERE DOES IT STATE AN OWNER HAS TO PAY TO USE THAT MODE OF TRAVEL AND IF IT DOESN'T WHY ARE THOSE POLICE STOPPING THE OWNERS FROM USING IT?

THE LAW DISTINGUISHES BETWEEN OWNERS AND PASSENGERS AND STATES THAT AN OWNER CAN IF THEY WISH PAY A FARE AND THEN BE SEEN AS A PASSENGER. THAT WAY THEY CAN SUE AS A PASSENGER IF THERE IS A DRIVER ERROR. THE REGULATIONS THEY ENFORCE STATE THAT ALL PASSENGERS MUST PRODUCE PROOF OF FARE, BUT IT DOES NOT SAY ALL PEOPLE ARE PASSENGERS. THEY NEGLIGENTLY ASSUME THAT THEMSELVES AND BECAUSE OF A LACK OF DUE DILIGENCE ON THEIR PART WILL JUSTIFY BEING VIOLENT TO ENFORCE THEIR IGNORANCE AND NEGLIGENCE. THEY WILL ALSO ENFORCE THE REGULATIONS UNDER THE ACT WITHOUT FIRST SEEING ANY PROOF THAT THEY ARE DEALING WITH SOMEONE SUBJECT TO THE ACT AND REGULATIONS.




IT IS IN FACT LAWFUL TO RIDE SKYTRAIN WITHOUT PAYING A FARE AND SINCE YOU PAID FOR IT IN THE FIRST PLACE, YOU MAY IF YOU WISH PAY A FARE TO RIDE ON YOUR PROPERTY, BUT THE OBLIGATION TO SHOW A FARE ONLY APPLIES IF YOU HAVE PURCHASED ONE. OTHERWISE YOU ARE MERELY AN OWNER USING IT AS YOU CHOOSE. FURTHERMORE THEY RECOGNIZE THAT THEY NEED TO SEE ID BEFORE THEY CAN GIVE YOU A TICKET. WHAT THEY FAIL TO REALIZE IS THAT YOU HAVE NO DUTY TO HAVE ID OR EXIST WITHIN THEIR LEGISLATED FRAMEWORK.





WHY AM I PULLING ON THIS ROPE?

HI! I'M A LAWYER. I WILL HELP YOU, UNTIL YOU HAVE NO MORE MONEY.



THE WORD UNDERSTAND IS LEGALLY THE SAME AS 'STAND UNDER'. IT MEANS TO COMPREHEND, WHICH MEANS COME TAKE OR APPROACH AND SEIZE.

CRAP...

YOU CAN KNOW WHAT WORDS MEAN, AND THEN CHOOSE TO NOT STAND UNDER THEM.

# DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

UNDERSTAND

GRASP

SEIZE

Comprehend

Accept

ALL OF THESE ARE CHOICES



SO THE PEOPLE ON THE OTHER END NEED ME TO CONTINUE PULLING ON THIS ROPE AND I CAN LET THIS GO AT ANY TIME?

IF THAT'S TRUE THE ONLY WAY TO WIN IS TO LET GO...



IF YOU GET ARRESTED THE POLICE WILL TRY TO 'READ YOU YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS. THEY WANT YOU TO ACCEPT THAT YOU HAVE RIGHTS UNDER THE CHARTER AND THUS SUBJECT TO ALL THE RULES AND STATUTES THEY ENFORCE.



WHEN THEY ASK IF YOU UNDERSTAND, THEY ARE REALLY ASKING YOU IF YOU ACCEPT THE LIMITATIONS THEY ARE PLACING ON YOU. THEY ARE IN FACT SEEKING AN AGREEMENT WHICH WILL ALLOW THEM TO CHARGE YOU.



# READING YOU YOUR RIGHTS



SAY "NO, I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THOSE CHARGES AT ALL" WHEN THEY ASK IF YOU UNDERSTAND. THEY WILL ASK "WHAT DON'T YOU UNDERSTAND" AND YOU REPLY "ALL OF IT"... "I DON'T STAND UNDER, UNDERSTAND, GRASP, COMPREHEND OR ACCEPT YOUR CHARGES"



AT THIS POINT THEY WILL LIKELY TRY TO PAINT YOU AS AN IDIOT AND THEY WILL TRY TO USE YOUR EGO AGAINST YOU. THEY WILL ACT LIKE 'UNDERSTANDING' IS THE SAME AS 'KNOWING WHAT WORDS MEAN' AND IT ISN'T.

# UNDER THE CHARTER

THEY MAY GET FRUSTRATED WITH YOU AND THE IGNORANT ONES WILL LABEL YOU AS IGNORANT. ASK THEM IF UNDERSTANDING THEIR CHARGES IS ANY DIFFERENT FROM ACCEPTING THEIR CHARGES AND IF IT IS NOT A CHOICE.



AT THIS POINT THEY WILL GET VERY SNEAKY. THEY SAY SOMETHING LIKE "WELL I HAVE READ YOU YOUR CHARTER RIGHTS AND NOW ANYTHING YOU SAY CAN BE USED AGAINST YOU IN COURT. DO YOU UNDERSTAND?"



You must learn to say  
**"NO!"**  
to so called 'Authority'.

HERE YOU MUST REMAIN SILENT, FOR THEY HAVE PHRASED A QUESTION THAT EVEN IF ANSWERED IN THE NEGATIVE CREATES A POSITIVE AGREEMENT TO GO TO COURT. BE QUIET AND SMILE AND THEY WILL HAVE NO LEGAL BASIS TO BRING YOU TO COURT.



REMEMBER, THE CHARTER DESCRIBES THE RIGHTS THAT EXIST ACCORDING TO YOUR LEGAL ENTITY NOT YOUR HUMAN BODY. THE WORD CHARTER IMPLIES A CORPORATION AND TELLS YOU YOUR RIGHTS AS AN EMPLOYEE WITHIN THE CORPORATION CALLED CANADA.



A MAN NAMED TYM WAS IN COURT WATCHING THE PROCEEDINGS. WHEN A SHERIFFS DEPUTY DEMANDED HE IDENTIFY HIS PURPOSE THERE HE STATED HE WAS A WITNESS TO THE PROCEEDINGS. AS THE OTHER PEOPLE HAD NOT GRANTED JURISDICTION THE SHERIFF DEMANDED HE LEAVE. WHEN HE ASKED WHY HE WAS TOLD THAT HE WAS BEING ARRESTED AND THE DEPUTY TRIED TO GRAB HIS ARM. TYM DID NOT LIKE THIS AND INFORMED THE DEPUTY THAT HE DID NOT CONSENT TO SUCH A THING. HE ACTUALLY SAID "NO I AM NOT, I DON'T CONSENT TO THAT."

AT THIS POINT SOMETHING VERY STRANGE HAPPENED.



THE SHERIFF DEPUTY WHO CLEARLY HAD BEEN INFORMED OF THE TRUTH TOLD TYM, "FINE THEN. BUT YOU MUST LEAVE THE BUILDING!" THE DEPUTY DID NOT TOUCH HIM OR AT THAT POINT ACT IN AN AGGRESSIVE MANNER. HE KNEW THE TRUTH...

ARREST WITHOUT CONSENT BY A PEACE OFFICER WHO HAS NOT OBSERVED A BREACH OF THE PEACE IS AN ASSAULT.

TYM WALKED OUT OF THAT COURT A COMPLETELY FREE MAN AND FEELING VERY EMPOWERED AND AWAKENED. HE COULD NOT BE ARRESTED BY THESE PEOPLE PLAYING THEIR ROLES UNLESS HE CONSENTED TO IT, AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, THEY WERE AWARE OF THAT FACT AND ACTED WITH RESPECT TO IT.



# WHOSE COURTS ARE THEY?

**HINT: NOT YOURS**

**OWNERSHIP IS A FUNCTION OF ACCESS AND IF YOU DO NOT HAVE ACCESS YOU CANNOT CLAIM TO HAVE OWNERSHIP. IF YOUR ACCESS IS LIMITED IN SOME WAY THEN YOU DO NOT HAVE COMPLETE OWNERSHIP. IF THEY ARE ESSENTIALLY PRIVATE COURTS OPERATING A FOR PROFIT BUSINESS THEN THEY HAVE NO POWER TO COMPEL YOU TO DO BUSINESS WITH THEM NOR DO THEIR WORDS HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW OVER YOU.**



**IMAGINE IF YOUR NEIGHBORS HAD A POOL AND YOU DID NOT, BUT THEY ARE NICE NEIGHBORS AND LET YOU USE THEIR POOL BUT ACCORDING TO THEIR RULES.**

**ALTHOUGH YOU MAY BE ABLE TO USE THE POOL AND THAT MAY EVEN SEE LIKE A GOOD THING, IT IS NOT YOUR POOL AND YOU HAVE NO SAY IN HOW MUCH CHLORINE IS USED IN THE WATER.**

**IT WOULD BE OK IF YOUR NEIGHBORS DIDN'T THINK THAT THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO JUMP THE FENCE AND DRAG YOU AGAINST YOUR WILL INTO THEIR YARD AND INTO THEIR POOL.**


**THIS IS ESSENTIALLY WHAT THE LAW SOCIETIES HAVE DONE. THEY HAVE THEIR OWN COURTS IN WHICH THEY ENJOY FULL ACCESS BUT NO ONE ELSE DOES.**

**YOU CANNOT REPRESENT OTHERS IN THERE FOR MONEY, WHILE THEY OF COURSE CAN. YOU CANNOT PROVIDE LEGAL ADVICE UNLESS YOU ARE A MEMBER OF THEIR CULT.**

**YOU HAVE BEEN TRICKED INTO THINKING THE EXISTING COURTS BELONG TO YOU AND THAT THEREIN YOU CAN FIND JUSTICE. IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT THOUGH, YOU WILL REALIZE THAT COURTS ARE NOT JUST PLACES WHERE CONFLICT IS SETTLED, BUT WHERE PEOPLE ARE CONTROLLED, REGULATED AND GOVERNED. NOW REMEMBER, NO ONE MAY GOVERN OR REGULATE ANOTHER WITHOUT THEIR CONSENT SO HOW CAN THE COURTS ENFORCE THEIR WILL OVER YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT? THEY USE A LOT OF DECEPTION AND TRICKERY TO GAIN YOUR CONSENT AND IF ANYONE ELSE USED SUCH METHODS TO CREATE A CONTRACT THAT CONTRACT WOULD BE RENDERED VOID FROM THE OUTSET DUE TO THAT DECEPTION. ASK YOURSELF THIS AS WELL, WHEN THE ENTIRE PROCESS RESTS ON DECEPTION HOW MUCH JUSTICE DO YOU THINK YOU WILL FIND?**

**RESTRICTED ACCESS IS PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF A LACK OF OWNERSHIP.**





MR. MARTIN? THIS IS AGENT HAPPYPANTS WITH THE CRA. I HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT YOUR FILE AND I NOTICED YOU HAVE'T FILED YOUR TAXES IN OVER SEVEN YEARS. WE ARE WONDERING WHEN WE CAN EXPECT YOU TO FULFILL THESE OBLIGATIONS.

# WE HAVE YOUR FILE..

YOU HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT WHAT? WELL, I KNOW WHAT I HAVE AND DON'T HAVE, AND I HAVE NO FILE WITH YOU PEOPLE AT ALL. WHAT EVER YOU ARE LOOKING AT AIN'T MINE, YOU HEAR ME

BUT SIR, YOUR NAME IS ONE THE FILE. YOUR SIN IS 467 345 895 IS IT NOT?

NO, I DON'T HAVE A SIN. I AM SINLESS, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, ALTHOUGH I HAVE BEEN KNOWN TO PASS AWFUL GAS IN CHURCH. BUT THAT AIN'T A SIN NOW IS IT?



NO, I DON'T THINK IT IS, HOWEVER NOT FILING IS A CRIME AND ACCORDING TO YOUR FILE, YOU HAVE NOT DONE SO.

I TOLD YOU MISS, IT AIN'T MY FILE. AND I CAN PROVE IT.

AND HOW WILL YOU DO THAT?

WELL...



YES I DID SAY IT IS YOUR FILE.

IF IT IS MY FILE LIKE YOU SAY...

THEN YOU HAVE MY PROPERTY AND I DEMAND YOU SEND IT TO ME. ALL OF IT. THE WHOLE ENTIRE THING. AND DON'T MAKE COPIES OF MY PROPERTY. I DON'T AUTHORIZE THAT AT ALL. JUST SEND ME MY ENTIRE FILE, BECAUSE AS YOU SAID, IT'S

HMMMM.....

SO IS IT MY FILE OR NOT, MISS SPECIOUS CLAIMS?



WELL, IT'S OUR FILE ABOUT A PERSON NAMED JOHN FRANK MARTIN. THAT IS YOU ISN'T IT?

THAT MAY BE MY NAME BUT THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT IS MY FILE. MY COUSIN HAS THE EXACT SAME NAME.



UM, YES...


TELL ME IS THERE A SIN ASSOCIATED WITH THAT FILE?

WELL THAT SETTLES IT. I DON'T HAVE A SOCIAL INSURANCE NUMBER AND WHOEVER YOU ARE LOOKING FOR DOES. HAVE A GREAT DAY AND DON'T CALL ME ANYMORE. I HAVE A ROW TO HOE...



# Conditional Acceptance

THE PURPOSE OF COURT IS TO HELP SETTLE CONFLICTS. WHAT IF THERE IS A WAY TO AVOID CONFLICT HOWEVER? DO YOU NEED COURT THEN? BEARING IN MIND THAT WE ARE ALL EQUAL, THEN ALL THE OTHER PARTY CAN DO IS EXTEND OFFERS. IF YOU REJECT THEIR OFFERS YOU MAY END UP IN DISHONOR AND THAT WILL RESULT IN THE CONFLICT YOU ARE TRYING TO AVOID. BY USING A CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE YOU AVOID CONFLICT AND PUT THE ONUS ON THE OTHER PARTY TO ENSURE THAT YOUR CONDITIONS ARE MET PRIOR TO YOU ACCEPTING THE OFFER.



A CONDITIONAL ACCEPTANCE CAN BE USED FOR JUST ABOUT ANY ISSUE WHERE WHAT LOOKS LIKE A DEMAND FOR PAYMENT OR PERFORMANCE IS MADE. YOU ACCEPT THE OFFER TO PAY OR GO TO COURT OR STOP DOING WHAT THEY WANT YOU TO STOP DOING PROVIDED THEY CAN ANSWER SOME SIMPLE QUESTIONS AND MEET YOUR CONDITIONS FOR THE ACCEPTANCE. IF THEY CAN'T MEET THOSE CONDITIONS, OR ANSWER YOUR QUESTIONS THEY LOSE THE ABILITY TO CLAIM YOU DISHONORED THEIR OFFER BECAUSE AS YOU WERE IN FACT WILLING TO ACCEPT AND PERFORM. USING THIS TOOL MEANS THEY CAN'T CLAIM YOU REFUSED THEM AND THUS THEY CAN'T SAY YOU ARE AT FAULT FOR DOING SO. THE TRICK IS TO ASK QUESTIONS AND APPLY CONDITIONS THAT ARE REASONABLE AND CAN BE FULFILLED BUT IF THEY DO SO THE DECEPTION THEY RELY UPON BECOMES APPARENT AND THEIR CLAIM OF AUTHORITY DESTROYED.

The man who knows not, but knows not that he knows not, is a fool; shun him  
The man who knows not, and KNOWS that he knows not, is a student; teach him.  
The man who knows, but knows not that he knows, is asleep; awaken him.  
The man who knows and KNOWS that he knows, is a teacher; learn from him.  
An Arab Proverb



# DO YOU SWEAR TO TELL THE TRUTH?

ARE YOU WILLING TO CLAIM YOU KNOW IT?




DO YOU SWEAR TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH, SO HELP YOU GOD?

LIAR!



SO MISS SMITH, YOU SWORE TO TELL THE TRUTH, WHOLE TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH. WHAT IS THE AVERAGE DISTANCE TRAVELLED BY A FEMALE MONARCH BUTTERFLY DURING THEIR MIGRATION PERIOD? WHAT IS THE MOLECULAR WEIGHT OF BARIUM TRIOXIDE? HOW MANY CARPENTER ANTS DOES IT TAKE TO EAT ONE CUBIC METER OF HARD WOOD? WHAT IS THE SPEED OF SOUND AT 18,000 FEET ABOVE SEA LEVEL? SO YOU DO NOT KNOW THE WHOLE TRUTH DO YOU? YET YOU SWORE TO TELL IT! LIAR!



I CANNOT SWEAR TO TELL THE WHOLE TRUTH, ONLY THAT WHICH I KNOW TO BE TRUTH. NO ONE KNOWS THE WHOLE TRUTH. IF I KNEW THE WHOLE TRUTH MY NAME WOULD BE IN THIS BOOK AND NOT MY HAND UPON IT.



TALK TO THE HAND...



# WHAT IS MONEY AND HOW IS IT MADE?

Until the control of the issue of currency and credit is restored to government and recognized as its most conspicuous and sacred responsibility, all talk of sovereignty of Parliament and of democracy is idle and futile... Once a nation parts with control of its credit, it matters not who makes the nation's laws... Usury once in control will wreck any nation.

William Lyon Mackenzie King

Banking was conceived in iniquity and born in sin... Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them but leave them the power to create money, and, with a flick of the pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again... Take this great power away from them and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear, for then this would be a better and happier world to live in... But, if you want to be the slaves of the bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let bankers continue to create money and control credit.

Sir Josiah Stamp

(Governor of the Bank of England in the 1920s)



*WHEN YOU GO TO A BANK FOR A LOAN, YOU THINK THEY ARE GIVING YOU MONEY OUT OF THEIR BIG BOX OF MONEY, AND BECAUSE THEY GAVE YOU MONEY AND YOU GAVE THEM NOTHING YOU NOW OWE THEM. THE TRUTH HOWEVER IS FAR STRANGER THEN YOU WOULD BELIEVE. THE FACT IS THERE WAS NO MONEY FOR THEM TO GIVE YOU UNTIL YOU SIGNED A DOCUMENT AND AT THAT POINT YOU CREATED MONEY WITH YOUR SIGNATURE. YOU GAVE THEM A DOCUMENT BEFORE THEY GAVE YOU MONEY, AND THE DOCUMENT YOU GAVE THEM HAS A VALUE EQUAL TO THE MONEY YOU RECEIVED.*

***YOUR SIGNATURE CREATED THE MONEY THEY GAVE YOU!***

*WHEN YOU ARE DONE PAYING THEM BACK THEY OFTEN EITHER KEEP THE DOCUMENT YOU CREATED OR THEY CAN'T EVEN RETURN IT TO YOU AS THEY WILL HAVE SOLD IT ON THE MARKET TO SOMEONE ELSE.*



**YOUR SIGNATURE IS MONEY**




# PLEDGE AWAY PLEBEIAN DUPEES

“[Very] soon, every American will be required to register their biological property in a national system designed to keep track of the people and that will operate under the ancient system of pledging. By such methodology, we can compel people to submit to our agenda, which will effect our security as a chargeback for our fiat paper currency. Every American will be forced to register or suffer being unable to work and earn a living. They will be our chattel, and we will hold the security interest over them forever, by operation of the law merchant under the scheme of secured transactions.

Americans, by unknowingly or unwittingly delivering the bills of lading to us will be rendered bankrupt and insolvent, forever to remain economic slaves through taxation, secured by their pledges. They will be stripped of their rights and given a commercial value designed to make us a profit and they will be none the wiser, for not one man in a million could ever figure our plans and, if by accident one or two should figure it out, we have in our arsenal plausible deniability. After all, this is the only logical way to fund government, by floating liens and debt to the registrants in the form of benefits and privileges.

This will inevitably reap to us huge profits beyond our wildest expectations and leave every American a contributor to this fraud which we will call “Social Insurance.” Without realizing it, every American will insure us for any loss we may incur and in this manner, every American will unknowingly be our servant, however begrudgingly. The people will become helpless and without any hope for their redemption and, we will employ the high office of the President of our dummy corporation to foment this plot against America.”

-Edward Mandell House







GET THAT BIRTH CERTIFICATE OUT OF HERE!

JUDGES LOVE TO GIVE ORDERS, BUT THEY DON'T LIKE THE LIABILITY THAT GENERATES.

HAVE YOU EVER PLACED AN ORDER IN A RESTAURANT? WERE YOU NOT THEN LIABLE FOR A BILL? WHAT MAKES YOU THINK THE PEOPLE IN THE COURTS CAN GIVE ORDERS AND NOT BE LIABLE FOR A BILL?

# ORDERS



I AM ONLY TOUCHING YOUR JACKET.

YOU ARE ACTIVATING MY FEE SCHEDULE!

# BILLS

IF WE ARE ALL EQUAL, HOW CAN ANYONE GIVE AN ORDER TO ANOTHER AND NOT BE LIABLE FOR A BILL? THEY CAN'T! THAT IS WHY JUDGES WILL GIVE AN ORDER THEN RUSH TO THE NEXT CASE.

IT IS POSSIBLE TO ESTABLISH YOUR RIGHT TO PRESENT A BILL FOR ORDERS RECEIVED AND IF YOU HAVE DONE SO, YOU WILL LIKELY FIND THAT YOU ARE FACING FAR FEWER ORDERS AFTER ALL, WHO WANTS TO BE HIT WITH THE BILL?

SO YOU ALL KNOW, THIS IS IN FACT A NOTICE TO ALL 'JUDGES' OF MY RIGHT TO SERVE A BILL



# THE BILL OF RIGHTS



## THE CANADIAN BILL OF RIGHTS

An Act for the Recognition and Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.  
Statutes of Canada 1960, 8-9 Elizabeth II, Chapter 44, assented to 10th August 1960.

THE Parliament of Canada, affirming that the Canadian Nation is founded upon principles that acknowledge the supremacy of God, the dignity and worth of the human person and the position of the family in a society of free men and free institutions;

Affirming also that men and institutions remain free only when freedom is founded upon respect for moral and spiritual values and the rule of law;

And being desirous of enshrining these principles and the human rights and fundamental freedoms derived from them in a Bill of Rights which shall reflect the respect of Parliament for its constitutional authority and which shall ensure the protection of these rights and freedoms in Canada:

THEREFORE Her Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate and House of Commons of Canada, enacts as follows:

### PART I BILL OF RIGHTS

1. It is hereby recognized and declared that in Canada there have existed and shall continue to exist without discrimination by reason of race, national origin, colour, religion or sex, the following human rights and fundamental freedoms, namely,

a) the right of the individual to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the

right not to be deprived thereof except by due process of law;  
b) the right of the individual to equality before the law and the protection of the law;  
c) freedom of religion;  
d) freedom of speech;  
e) freedom of assembly and association; and  
f) freedom of the press.

2. Every law of Canada shall, unless it is expressly declared by an Act of the Parliament of Canada that it shall operate notwithstanding the Canadian Bill of Rights, be so construed and applied as not to abrogate, abridge or infringe or to authorize the abrogation, abridgment or infringement of any of the rights or freedoms herein recognized and declared, in particular no law of Canada shall be construed or applied so as to

a) authorize or effect the arbitrary detention, imprisonment or exile of any person;  
b) impose or authorize the imposition of cruel and unusual treatment or punishment;  
c) deprive a person who has been arrested or detained:  
(i) of the right to be informed promptly of the reason for his arrest or detention,  
(ii) of the right to retain and instruct counsel without delay, or  
(iii) of the remedy by way of *habeas corpus* for the determination of the validity of his detention, and for his release if the detention is not lawful;  
d) authorize a court, tribunal, commission, board or other authority to compel a person to give evidence if he is denied counsel, protection against self-incrimination or other constitutional safeguards;

e) deprive a person of the right to a fair hearing in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice for the determination of his rights and obligations;  
f) deprive a person charged with a criminal offence of the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, or of the right to reasonable bail without just cause; or  
g) deprive a person of the right to the assistance of an interpreter in any proceedings in which he is involved or in which he is a party or a witness before a court, commission, board or other tribunal, if he does not understand or speak the language in which such proceedings are conducted.

3. The Minister of Justice shall, in accordance with such regulations as may be prescribed by the Governor in Council, examine every proposed regulation submitted in draft form to the Clerk of the Privy Council pursuant to the *Regulations Act* and every Bill introduced in or presented to the House of Commons, in order to ascertain whether any of the provisions thereof are inconsistent with the purpose and provisions of this Part and he shall report any such inconsistency to the House of Commons at the first convenient opportunity.

4. The provisions of this Part shall be known as the Canadian Bill of Rights.



PARLIAMENT BUILDINGS - OTTAWA



Roger Duhamel, F.R.S.C., Queen's Printer, Ottawa, Canada.

*"I am a Canadian, a free Canadian, free to speak, without fear, free to worship God in my own way, free to stand for what I think right, free to oppose what I believe wrong, free to choose those who shall govern my country. This heritage of freedom I pledge to uphold for myself and all mankind."*

The Right Honourable John G. Diefenbaker, Prime Minister of Canada, House of Commons Debates, July 1, 1960.

## THE RULE OF LAW AND SUPREMACY OF GOD

THAT IS REALLY WHAT THIS IS ALL ABOUT. THE FACT THAT THIS NATION IS FOUNDED UPON THE BELIEF THAT THERE IS A SUPREME BEING AND THAT THE RULE OF LAW IS NECESSARY TO A FUNCTIONING NATION.

THE RULE OF LAW IS SIMPLE TOO. IT SAYS THAT CONFLICT IS UNNECESSARY, UNDESIREABLE AND AVOIDABLE AND WHEN IT RAISES ITS HEAD WE ARE TO DEAL WITH IT USING DISCUSSION, NEGOTIATION AND THEN IF NECESSARY ADJUDICATION IN A COURT OF COMPETENT JURISDICTION. WITHOUT DISCUSSION AND NEGOTIATION THERE IS NO COMPETENT JURISDICTION. AND IT ALSO SAYS THAT THOSE WHO MAKE THE RULES ARE BOUND BY THOSE RULES. THAT SEEMS TO BE A GOOD THING TOO, AS IT WAS ABUSED IN THE PAST ALSO, USUALLY BY THOSE WHO THOUGHT THEMSELVES GOD.

BECAUSE OF THE SUPREMACY OF GOD THING, NO ONE CAN EVER CLAIM TO BE GOD, AND THAT IS A GOOD THING, BECAUSE EVERY ONE WHO DID THAT IN THE PAST PROVED TO BE COMPLETE ASSHOLES AND NOT GOD LIKE.

The fundamental constitutional principle is that the individual can do anything but that which is forbidden by law, and the state may do nothing but that which is authorised by law.

John Locke, referring to Britain's assumed/uncodified constitution.



# CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

KEEP THE CHOCOLATES IN THE BOX!

THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS IS LIKE A BOX OF CHOCOLATES WITH THE CHOCOLATES REPRESENTING STATUTES AND BYLAWS AND COURT ORDERS. THEY HAVE TO BE 'CONSTITUTIONAL' AND IF NOT THEN THEY ARE CONSIDERED 'SHIT'. CHOCOLATES STAY IN THE BOX, OR THEY ARE QUICKLY DESTROYED. THE QUESTION IS TO WHOM ARE THEY ADDRESSED? WHOSE ARE THEY? ARE THEY YOUR CHOCOLATES AND APPLICABLE TO YOU? CAN YOU BE FORCED TO EAT THEM? LET'S FIND OUT.

## Application of the Charter:

The Charter applies

- (a) to the Parliament and government of Canada in respect of all matter within the authority of Parliament including all matters relating to the Yukon Territory and Northwest Territories; and
- (b) to the legislature and government of each province in respect of all matters within the authority of the legislature of each province.

IF YOU LOOK UP A DEFINITION OF THE WORD 'CHARTER' YOU WILL SEE THAT IT REFERS ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY TO CORPORATIONS.

THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS DEALS WITH GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND IF SOMEONE TRIES READING YOU YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THAT CHARTER, AND YOU ACCEPT, YOU ARE IDENTIFYING YOURSELF AS A PERSON AND GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE.

AS HUMAN BEINGS YOU HAVE THE BILL OF RIGHTS TO REFER TO, BUT POLICE WHEN THEY ARE ARRESTING DO NOT TELL YOU YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THAT BODY OF WORDS DO THEY? NO THEY TELL YOU THAT YOUR RIGHTS EXIST UNDER THE CHARTER. THEY EVEN CALL THEM CHARTER RIGHTS.

IF YOU ACCEPT THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS, YOU ARE ALSO ACCEPTING THAT YOU EXIST UNDER IT AND THUS ARE SUBJECT TO ALL THE STATUTES FOUND WITHIN.

YOU CAN HOWEVER REFUSE TO STAND UNDER OR UNDERSTAND THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS AND LOSE NO RIGHTS AT ALL.

IF THEY TRY TO READ YOU YOUR RIGHTS, THEY ARE NOT GRANTING YOU RIGHTS AT ALL, THEY ARE IN FACT REMOVING SOME VERY IMPORTANT ONES. AND ASK YOURSELF THIS, WOULD YOU ALLOW ANY POLITICIAN OR POLICE OFFICER TO DETERMINE WHAT YOUR RIGHTS ARE? SHOULD YOU NOT DO THAT YOURSELF.

MERELY ALLOWING SOMEONE ELSE TO DETERMINE YOUR RIGHTS IS AN ABANDONMENT OF YOUR GREATEST RIGHT.

# Health Matters



With Dr. Normal



A LEAF IS APPARENTLY BAD



CUTTING PEOPLE IS GOOD



YOU WILL DO WHAT WE SAY!



NO IDEA.

WHAT'S IN THAT?

WHAT WILL IT DO TO ME?

WE FIND OUT TOMORROW

THE GOVERNMENT IS A CORPORATION AND OPERATES LIKE ONE. THEY ARE ALL ABOUT PROFIT AND BECAUSE THEY HAVE SOLD OUT TO FOREIGN INTERESTS IT WILL SOON BE ILLEGAL FOR YOU TO PURCHASE VITAMINS OR FOOD SUPPLEMENTS. THIS IS NOT BECAUSE THESE THINGS ARE BAD FOR YOU, BUT BECAUSE THEY ARE BAD FOR THE PROFITS OF LARGE PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES AND YOUR GOVERNMENT CARES MORE ABOUT THEM THEN YOU.



PILLS ARE GOOD AND EASILY TAXED



HERBAL SUPPLEMENTS ARE BAD



**BE A**

**FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND**

**WITH LAWFUL EXCUSE**

BY ROBERT-ARTHUR: MENARD






*IT'S TIME TO THINK ABOUT  
WHAT YOU WANT THE WORLD TO  
LOOK LIKE IN TWENTY YEARS*




*YOU MUST WEIGH  
THE GOOD AND BAD  
AND DECIDE WHICH  
IS BEST FOR YOU.*

*SOME WANT YOU TO DECIDE  
BASED UPON WHAT IS BEST FOR  
THEM AND THEIR EXISTENCE*



*SOME WANT YOU TO BE FREE AND ENJOY A  
LIFE OF PEACE AND ABUNDANCE... SO THEY  
CAN TOO.*





I AM

I THINK

I ACT

BAA BAA BAA




REAL LIVING BREATHING SOULS .... OR SIMPLE SHEEPLE EASILY LED??? YOU DECIDE.

BAA BAA BAA



# THE FLIGHT OF THE EGG

*BUST OUT OF THE SHELL WITHOUT ANGER AND LEARN TO FLY*



THE THING THAT ONCE PROTECTED BECOMES A THREAT AND ESCAPE IS VITAL TO EXISTENCE. BUT ONCE YOU DO ESCAPE FROM THE SHELL OF DECEPTION, WILL YOU BE ANGRY AT IT? OR WILL YOU FIND YOUR WINGS, GROW AND LEARN TO FLY?





# THE BEGINNING OF THE DECEPTION

THERE IS A CORPORATION REGISTERED IN THE US SECURITIES EXCHANGE CALLED 'CANADA.'



CORPORATIONS HAVE 'CHARTERS' AND 'STATUTES'.

## Canada

Political Region




CANADA



# SNAKES AND LADDERS

IMAGINE A GAME OF SNAKES AND LADDERS WHERE THE ONLY SINGLE WAY TO WIN IS TO NEVER EVEN PICK UP THE DICE. RIGHT FROM THE GET GO YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO RISE TO THE TOP. WHY NOT TAKE IT?



THIS GAME IS A SPIRITUAL ONE AND THE GOAL IS YOUR SPIRITUAL GROWTH. HOWEVER THE GAME ITSELF IS NOT DESIGNED TO HELP YOU GROW, BUT TO STOP YOU, UNTIL YOU FINALLY AWAKEN TO THE FACT THAT EVERYTHING THEY DO IS JUST A GAME AND YOU CAN LAWFULLY REFUSE TO PLAY AT ANYTIME. REFUSING TO PLAY IS THE ONLY WAY TO WIN THIS SO CALLED GAME.



# JAIL HOUSE POETRY

ONCE A PLACE OF TRUTH AND JUSTICE, ANGELS GUARDED THE DOORS.



I AM HERE TO SPREAD DEMOCRACY!

BUT I DON'T HAVE ANY OIL!

NOW THE ANGELS HAVE FLED,  
TRUTH IS DEAD,  
AND JUSTICE IS A  
TWO-BIT WHORE.






**VANCOUVER CITY**  
A REAL PHYSICAL THING



**THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF VANCOUVER**  
A FICTION




SEE HOW THEY GOT YOU? ONE IS A PHYSICAL THING, THE OTHER A LEGAL ENTITY AND A CORPORATION.

**THE PROVINCE OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**  
A FICTION



**BRITISH COLUMBIA**  
A REAL PHYSICAL THING



OH THEY DID IT AGAIN! WELL, THREE TIMES IS A CHARM!

YOU THE HUMAN BEING



I AM A REAL  
PHYSICAL  
THING



YOUR 'PERSON', A LEGAL ENTITY EVIDENCED BY ID CARD





BUT WHAT DOES IT MEAN?



YOU HAVE BEEN LIED TO REPEATEDLY AND OVER TIME CONDITIONED TO NOT CARE.

# TWO WHAT?



THE LAST THING TPTB WANT IS YOU CARING ABOUT OTHERS. CARING ABOUT YOURSELF AND ONLY YOURSELF IS FINE, OTHERS IS A NO NO.

MAZINGLY ENOUGH I DON'T GIVE A SHIT




THIS IS HOW THEY WANT YOU THINKING...




HOW ELSE CAN YOU ACCEPT IMPRISONMENT?






AT SCHOOL YOU ARE  
CONDITIONED AND  
TRAINED AND INFECTED  
WITH FEAR AND RESPECT  
FOR AUTHORITY. THEY  
WANT YOU SUBMISSIVE  
AND PREPARED TO  
ACCEPT A LIFE WHERE  
YOU VOLUNTARILY SUBMIT  
TO THE WILL OF OTHERS  
AND NOT FOLLOW YOUR  
OWN CONSCIENCE.



YOU ARE TOLD YOU CAN  
VOTE TO DECIDE WHO WILL  
HAVE AUTHORITY OVER YOU  
AND THAT THIS CHOICE IS  
THE GREATEST LEVEL OF  
FREEDOM. WHAT THEY FAIL  
TO TELL YOU IS THAT BY  
VOTING, YOU AGREE TO BE  
GOVERNED. YOU ARE NOT  
JUST ELECTING A  
GOVERNMENT, YOU ARE  
ELECTING TO HAVE A  
GOVERNMENT. YOU CAN  
IN FACT CHOOSE TO  
NOT HAVE A





# How They Did It

**GETTING YOU TO BE AN EMPLOYEE INSTEAD OF THEIR MASTER**

The silly when deceived exclaim loudly; the fool complains; the honest man walks away and is silent.





# Licenses and permits

MAKING YOU ASK FOR THAT WHICH IS YOURS BY RIGHT

YOU CAN ONLY GET A LICENSE TO ENGAGE IN WHAT IS A LAWFUL ACTIVITY. YOU CAN'T GET ONE TO COMMIT ASSAULTS OR B&E'S



OTHERWISE THEY ARE LICENSING UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES. THAT WOULD BE CONSPIRACY.



WHO ARE YOU ASKING?

**BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU**



OR YOU CAN FIND REMEDY



**CALIFORNIA**  
DRIVER LICENSE

LICENSE NO. DATE OF BIRTH WEIGHT  
WHT 1937647 10-22-53 230

YOU CAN ASK PERMISSION IN THE FORM OF A PIECE OF PAPER FROM THEM...



YOU ONLY NEED A LICENSE IF ....



YOU ARE ENGAGING IN COMMERCE ON THE ROAD



# HOW THEY TRICK YOU!



THEIR WORDS ARE THE TRAP



YOUR LOVE OF MONEY...



CHILDISH BEHAVIOR...



LUST FOR POWER...



MEANS YOU FAIL TO QUESTION AND CARE.

UNTIL...



YOU FORGET WHO YOU ARE.



AND YOU WILL BEG TO SWIM IN THEIR WATERS




JUST TO FIGHT THEM



Obedience can be dangerous because it tends to become a substitute for thought

EMPLOYMENT INSURANCE USED TO BE CALLED "UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE". WHAT CHANGED AND WHY? IS IT BECAUSE YOU ARE A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE AND ALWAYS EMPLOYED?



YOU ARE MERELY HUMAN RESOURCES FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO USE FOR THEIR BENEFIT. THEY ARE A CORPORATION YOU KNOW, AND YOU WORK FOR THEM EVERY DAY OF YOUR LIFE.



# YOU WORK FOR THE GOVERNMENT

THE COURTS RULED THAT PAYMENT INTO A PENSION PLAN IS EVIDENCE OF EMPLOYEE STATUS AS ONLY EMPLOYEES PAY INTO A PENSION.

KNOW WHAT YOU NEED TO PAY INTO THE CANADA PENSION PLAN?




THEY CALL IT AN "EMPLOYEE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER"!



# JUST THINK FREE



**BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU**  
**Watch back!**





# SELF SERVE ONLY

THE ENTIRE SYSTEM THEY HAVE DESIGNED AND BUILT IS MUCH LIKE A HOUSE OF CARDS AS ITS EXISTENCE RESTS UPON A CONTINUING DECEPTION. BUT ONCE THAT DECEPTION IS REVEALED, THE THING THEY BUILT FALLS.



WE ARE ALL EQUAL BEFORE THE LAW IN LIFE AND IN DEATH...

WISH I HAD SAID NO



LIFE IS A BUFFET...

IF YOU FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET OFF YOUR BUTT, YOU CAN HAVE WHATEVER YOU WANT AND ENJOY GREAT ABUNDANCE...

BUT IF YOU DEMAND OTHERS SERVE YOU...

YOU MAY END UP WITH BABY FOOD!!!



He that would make his own liberty secure, must guard even his enemy from opposition; for if he violates this duty he establishes a precedent that will reach himself. ~Thomas Paine



# DISCHARGING STUDENT LOANS

Canada Student Loans Act  
CHAPTER S-23

An Act to facilitate the making of loans to students


19.1 (1) Subject to this section and section 19.2, no action or proceedings shall be taken to recover money owing under a guaranteed student loan more than six years after the day on which the money becomes due and payable.

Deduction and set-off

(2) Money owing under a guaranteed student loan may be recovered at any time by way of deduction from or set-off against any sum of money that may be due or payable by Her Majesty in right of Canada to the borrower or the estate or succession of the borrower.

The law relating to true set-off is well settled. A true set-off of indebtedness can take place only between two debtors who are at the same time one another's creditors. A set-off is merely the remission or cancellation pro tanto of reciprocal debts by applying one's credit receivable from another against one's debt owed to that other. A set-off is a cross-claim for money's worth respecting mutual or reciprocal debts due and owing and to the same parties in the same right.

**IF THEY OWE YOU MONEY  
THAT MONEY CAN BE USED  
TO PAY OFF YOUR LOAN**



**WHAT IS THAT NUMBER?**

15698808

**THERE IS A NUMBER ON YOUR BIRTH CERTIFICATE AND THEY DO NOT TELL YOU WHAT IT IS FOR. IT IS USUALLY RED AND STARTS WITH A LETTER. IT MAY BE IN GREEN INK AND SAY 'REVENUE RECEIPT - TREASURY USE ONLY'**

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, 2005

## B.C. IN BRIEF

VICTORIA

# Loans for 25,000 to be forgiven

Nearly 25,000 students will have a total of \$65.5 million in post-secondary education loans forgiven by the provincial government.

"We're forgiving loans for students with children, and students from low-income families who must borrow money to reach their goals." A former

**WHAT FUNCTION OF LAW ALLOWS THEM TO FORGIVE SOME LOANS BUT NOT ALL?**

In the truest sense, freedom cannot be bestowed; it must be achieved. ~Franklin D. Roosevelt

# YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO FREE EDUCATION

## International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 2200A (XXI) of 16 December 1966

entry into force 3 January 1976, in accordance with article 27

### Article 13

1. The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone to education. They agree that education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and the sense of its dignity, and shall strengthen the respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. They further agree that education shall enable all persons to participate effectively in a free society, promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations and all racial, ethnic or religious groups, and further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.

2. The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize that, with a view to achieving the full realization of this right:

(a) Primary education shall be compulsory and available free to all;

(b) Secondary education in its different forms, including technical and vocational secondary education, shall be made generally available and accessible to all by every appropriate means, and in particular by the progressive introduction of free education;

**(c) Higher education shall be made equally accessible to all, on the basis of capacity, by every appropriate means, and in particular by the progressive introduction of free education;**

(d) Fundamental education shall be encouraged or intensified as far as possible for those persons who have not received or completed the whole period of their primary education;

(e) The development of a system of schools at all levels shall be actively pursued, an adequate fellowship system shall be established, and the material conditions of teaching staff shall be continuously improved.

3. The States Parties to the present Covenant undertake to have respect for the liberty of parents and, when applicable, legal guardians to choose for their children schools, other than those established by the public authorities, which conform to such minimum educational standards as may be laid down or approved by the State and to ensure the religious and moral education of their children in conformity with their own convictions.

4. No part of this article shall be construed so as to interfere with the liberty of individuals and bodies to establish and direct educational institutions, subject always to the observance of the principles set forth in paragraph 1 of this article and to the requirement that the education given in such institutions shall conform to such minimum standards as may be laid down by the State.

***YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO FREE POST SECONDARY EDUCATION AND THE GOVERNMENT HAS A DUTY TO PROVIDE IT TO YOU. BECAUSE OF THE STUDENT LOAN ACT AND HOW IT REFERS TO DEDUCTION AND SET-OFF IF THEY OWE YOU MONEY THAT MONEY CAN BE USED TO PAY OFF YOUR LOAN. BECAUSE OF THAT NUMBER ON THE BIRTH CERTIFICATE, YOU CAN CLAIM THEY ALWAYS OWE YOU MONEY AND THEY ALWAYS DO.***



# Claim of Right

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

I, \_\_\_\_\_ (Name) \_\_\_\_\_, a Human Being in a Common Law jurisdiction, born in \_\_\_\_\_ ( \*\*\*\*\* City) \_\_\_\_\_ on or about the \_\_\_\_\_ day in the month of \_\_\_\_\_ in the year \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ (mother) \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ (father) \_\_\_\_\_ have the ability and power to establish rights by use of a Claim of Right.

I do hereby claim that among my rights are all of the following:

1. I claim the right to an education.
2. I claim the right to use the funds either in my bond (evidenced by the bond tracking number on the Birth Certificate issued to me by the government) or to use the funds generated by the bond to either pay off any student loan if I do have one, or to pay directly for my education if I do not have a loan.
3. I claim the right to use the funds in the bond or revenue generated by those funds to pay for food and shelter and any other rights recognized by the United Nations.
4. I claim the right to fire any one acting as a fiduciary over my bond if they fail to acknowledge all rights herein claimed.
5. I claim the right to revoke or deny consent to be represented and in doing so free myself from all statutory obligations and restrictions, if doing so is, in my opinion, in my best interest.
6. I claim the right to direct my fiduciary as to what to do with the revenue generated by my bond, provided the directives are a benefit to my society and me.
7. I claim the right to order and direct my federal representatives to transfer directly to me funds and moneys which they would normally transfer to my provincial representatives in the absence of any directives, if doing so is, in my opinion, in my best interest.

These Rights are hereby lawfully claimed and are established as Law thirty days hence.

Any and all concerned parties wishing to discuss or dispute these claims must send a Notice of Dispute or Offer of Discussion within thirty days via Registered mail to the address below. Failure to do so means that all parties agree that these rights herein claimed are lawfully established and will not be infringed, violated or abrogated in any way.

All parties who have been served proper Notice of this claim and fail to discuss or dispute, and then infringe, violate or abrogate said rights, directly or through their agents, employees or proxies, agree they do so under FULL COMMERCIAL LIABILITY and further agree to pay to me upon my demand a sum certain of One Million Canadian Dollars for every infringement, violation or abrogation.

This Claim of Right is made and served with the intent of bettering my society and myself and, without ill will, malice aforethought, frivolity or vexation.

Claimant: \_\_\_\_\_

Notary: \_\_\_\_\_

The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society



ONLY THE EDUCATED ARE FREE.  
EPICTETUS (55 AD - 135 AD)



NOTICE

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Addressed To: \_\_\_\_\_ (Minister of Finance , by NAME)  
CTCO: \_\_\_\_\_ (Financial Institution\_ )  
RE: Student Loan #: \_\_\_\_\_

I am \_\_\_\_\_ (NAME) \_\_\_\_\_ and my Birth Certificate registration number is \_\_\_\_\_ (# From FRONT) \_\_\_\_\_ and the bond tracking number found on my Birth Certificate is \_\_\_\_\_ (# on Back) \_\_\_\_\_.

It is my understanding that the Bond evidenced by the tracking number generates revenue and that you are acting as a fiduciary in Trust to administer that bond and the revenue generated by it for my benefit, within our societal structure.

In the Act governing my student loan, \_\_\_\_\_ section \_\_\_\_\_ does state:

Money owing under a guaranteed student loan may be recovered at any time by way of deduction from or set-off against any sum of money that may be due or payable by Her Majesty in right of Canada to the borrower or the estate or succession of the borrower.

Furthermore, Article 13.2(c) of The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, to which Canada is a signatory, was ratified on January 3rd, 1976 and does state:

(c) Higher education shall be made equally accessible to all, on the basis of capacity, by every appropriate means, and in particular by the progressive introduction of free education;

Therefore TAKE NOTICE, that I am hereby directing you my fiduciary in Trust, to seize and direct sufficient funds and no more in the sum certain of \$ \_\_\_\_\_, generated by my Bond which you administer and to direct said funds to the financial institution herein mentioned to be used solely to set-off and discharge my student loan honourably, fully, completely and immediately.

This lawful directive is a benefit to myself and my society, fulfills the UN Covenant and is well within your fiduciary authority.

Failure to do as you are hereby lawfully directed within THREE juridical days will result in your dishonour, charges of nonfeasance and an immediate termination of your fiduciary responsibilities and Trustee status over my bond.

Failure to discharge this account immediately may also result in legal action being instituted against you by the aforementioned financial institution.

GOVERN YOURSELF ACCORDINGLY.

Jane Q. Student

SIGN \_\_\_\_\_

NOTARY \_\_\_\_\_

The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society  
Justice is Truth in Action





# PROOF OF SUCCESS

I've always been curious about my human rights and how they're being up-held, in what seems to me, a deteriorating governmental system.

I have participated in several protests against police brutality, foreign war campaigns, increased taxes, and student loans because I've always felt that it was my duty to the community and its offspring to keep the politicians on their toes so that we can keep our freedoms and maintain our power of choice. I don't claim to know much about the law or legalities but I have an interest and motivation to exercise my rights and freedoms so that they remain or increase.

My pursuit into the study of the actual laws and the actual exercising of them was very casual up until I met Robert:Menard. He has shared and continues to share with me a great deal of insight into the process of law and understanding the importance of knowing about jurisdiction, among many things. I have always been more of a right brained, intuitive type with a pretty good BS meter and I could hardly listen to cops' answers whenever I asked them questions. There seemed to always be something just not right with them and I knew that it stemmed up through to the politicians.

Anyways, I got a student loan in August of 2002 for \$7568. It wasn't all that big but I still felt that I shouldn't have to pay it back, seeing how in my heart I had always thought that an efficient society should cover educational training like what had I received. To snare youth into debt as soon as they're out of high school, in my opinion, is disgusting and cruel. I could go off about how asinine the debt system is and that's why I decided that I wouldn't pay, period. Underlying that though, was my worry of how the dept would affect my credit rating or the possibility of having a collection agency harass me for money. Something inside of me always assured me that I'd find a way.

Jump forward 2 years, I started hearing about dept elimination programs and banking set-offs which I totally participated in with the wide-eyed hope that I could free myself from the burden of owing the government. These programs somehow seemed to burst or dissipate without a trace and to no avail. But that didn't stop my pursuit for the remedy.

The Summer of 2005, I then met Robert-Arthur:Menard and analyzed what he had to offer. At the time, his book "Bursting Bubbles of Government Deception" was finished and I made quick work of it, reading up and understanding what I could. It was definitely a mind opening book, where what I know now I could never look back. It was a radical experience. I loved it and I'm still loving it.

I'd like to think of myself as a man of action, so I started the process described in the back of the book to set-off my student loan debt. I'm not sure if it had been done before so I wanted to be the first, so I went for it. Following what Rob wrote, to the "T", gathering the addresses to who to send my letters to, typing out what he suggested, sending them out via registered mail (this is a must for tracking verification), waiting for responses, doing the next steps and maintaining patience, I completed the process in 4 months. I never received one response ever concerning the documents that had I sent, and I thought I sent a lot. I did receive some reminders after that about the debt for about another 8 months and then nothing. I had a feeling that there was a shift in the energy around this debt but I didn't know how to actuate my accomplishment. I kept thinking about it up until today when I got my consumer credit report from EQUIFAX. In the report it said, and I quote "CDA STUDENT LOANS PR (888) 8XX-4XXX last reported to us in 08/06 rating your installment account as I1, meaning paid as agreed and up to date. At that time the reported balance of your account was \$0. ...The credit limit or highest amount of credit advanced was \$7568."

This is a really good day for me and all students who have outstanding debts to remember. Today we know that there is a solution to the overwhelming student loan dept. My testimony is proof that Robert-Arthur:Menard's system of setting-off student loans work. And now it's time to repeat it!

By:Stefan-Lorne:Bakker



# THE TRUTH THAT FREES

*THERE IS A SIMPLE AND FUNDAMENTAL PROCESS AVAILABLE TO YOU AND IT WILL FREE YOU FROM THE WILL OF THE GOVERNMENT AND THE COURTS. IT REQUIRES A LITTLE UNDERSTANDING AND EDUCATION ON YOUR PART BUT IF YOU TAKE THESE SIMPLE STEPS YOU CAN BE FULLY, COMPLETELY AND ABSOLUTELY FREE OF ALL STATUTORY RESTRAINTS AND OBLIGATIONS.*

*THE BASIC FUNDAMENTAL PROCESS INVOLVES OPERATING ON A RIGHT AND ESTABLISHING THAT RIGHT USING SIMPLE BASIC TOOLS. ONCE YOU UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS, YOU CAN USE THE SAME TOOLS TO ESTABLISH ANY RIGHT IN THE FUTURE AND SECURE WHATEVER REMEDY YOU MAY NEED.*

*IN ORDER TO SECURE ANY RIGHT, ONE MUST FIRST HAVE A BASIC UNDERSTANDING OR COMPREHENSION OF WHAT RIGHTS ARE GOING TO BE CLAIMED. THIS UNDERSTANDING MUST BE ARTICULABLE AND REASONABLE AND BASED NOT ON PERSONAL WHIMS OR DESIRES BUT ON FACTS AND EASILY DEFENDED TRUTHS. MERELY HAVING AN UNDERSTANDING IS NOT SUFFICIENT HOWEVER, AS IF YOU DO NOT TELL PEOPLE WHAT YOUR BELIEFS ARE THEY CAN NEVER DISCUSS THEM WITH YOU OR SHARE A DIFFERENT UNDERSTANDING. THIS MEANS YOU HAVE TO SERVE THEM A NOTICE OF YOUR UNDERSTANDING.*

*ONCE YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING PROPERLY EXPRESSED AND SHARED, YOU WILL LOGICALLY ALSO HAVE AN INTENT AND THAT INTENT MUST BE SUPPORTED BY YOUR UNDERSTANDING. THIS INTENT MUST ALSO BE EXPRESSED AND SHARED WITH THOSE WHO MAY BE AFFECTED AND YOU WILL USE A NOTICE TO DO SO.*

*ONCE YOU HAVE SHARED WITH THEM YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT, YOU THEN MAKE A CLAIM OF RIGHT TO ACT UPON YOUR UNDERSTANDING AND FOLLOW YOUR INTENTIONS AND TO DO SO PEACEFULLY AND LAWFULLY. IF THIS PROCESS IS COMPLETED PROPERLY, YOU WILL SECURE THE RIGHT TO DO ALL THE THINGS YOU CAN IMAGINE. BY USING A NOTARY PUBLIC, YOU HAVE A POWERFUL WITNESS TO THE PROCESS AND THE LAWFUL ESTABLISHMENT OF YOUR RIGHTS.*


*THE NOTARY NOT ONLY ACTS AS YOUR WITNESS, BUT WHEN THE PROCESS IS COMPLETED PROPERLY THEY CAN CREATE A BINDING JUDGMENT WHICH IS JUST AS POWERFUL AS ANY JUDGMENT CREATED BY ANY EXISTING COURTS.*

Where justice is denied, where poverty is enforced, where ignorance prevails, and where any one class is made to feel that society is an organized conspiracy to oppress, rob and degrade them, neither persons nor property will be safe.

Frederick Douglass








THIS IS  
WHAT I  
KNOW!

# NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING



WHEN ACCOMPLISHED PROPERLY, YOUR NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING WILL FORM THE BASIS OR FOUNDATION FOR YOUR LATER ACTIONS. BY SHARING WHAT YOU BELIEVE THE TRUTH TO BE YOU ARE INVITING DISCUSSION, A VITAL COMPONENT OF THE RULE OF LAW. IF THE OTHER PARTY REFUSES OR FAILS TO SPEAK WITH YOU, THEN THEY GIVE UP THE ABILITY TO LATER NEGOTIATE OR COMPLAIN ABOUT YOUR UNDERSTANDING. IT ALSO CREATES THE APPEARANCE OF AGREEMENT AND AGAIN IS DESIGNED TO HELP AVOID CONFLICT.



THE PURPOSE OF THE NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING IS TO OFFER DISCUSSION AND TELL THE OTHER PARTY WHAT YOUR BELIEFS ARE. THEY DO NOT HAVE TO AGREE WITH YOUR BELIEFS, BUT IF THEY DON'T THEY HAD BETTER SAY SOMETHING, OR IT WILL BE SEEN AS TWO PARTIES WHO SHARE A SIMILAR BELIEF.


To know what you prefer instead of humbly saying Amen to what the world tells you you ought to prefer, is to have kept your soul alive. Robert Louis Stevenson (1850 - 1894)






ALL I KNOW IS  
**I WILL ACT.**  
I WILL ACT ON WHAT I  
THINK I KNOW.  
THAT IS ALL I KNOW.

# **NOTICE OF INTENT**



*ONCE YOU HAVE AN  
UNDERSTANDING, YOU  
MUST EXPRESS WHAT  
YOUR INTENTION IS  
CONCERNING THAT  
UNDERSTANDING.*



*WHEN YOU SERVE NOTICE OF  
YOUR INTENT AND THE PEOPLE  
YOU SERVED DO NOT DISPUTE,  
IT CREATES THE REASON TO  
BELIEVE THAT THE OTHER  
PARTY WON'T MIND WHAT YOU  
ARE INTENDING TO DO AND  
THAT YOUR INTENTION IS  
LAWFUL.*

"If you win non-violently, then you have a double victory, you have not only won your fight, but you remain free." Chavez Cesar (1927-1993)




## SECTION 127

127. (1) Every one who, **without lawful excuse**, disobeys a lawful order made by a court of justice or by a person or body of persons authorized by any Act to make or give the order, other than an order for the payment of money, is, unless a punishment or other mode of proceeding is expressly provided by law, guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction.



PAY ATTENTION TO THE PART ABOUT '**WITHOUT LAWFUL EXCUSE**' AND IMAGINE WHAT YOU CAN DO IF YOU HAVE LAWFUL EXCUSE.

THEN START THINKING ABOUT WHAT IS AND IS NOT LAWFUL EXCUSE.

# CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA

## SECTION 126

126. (1) Every one who, **without lawful excuse**, contravenes an Act of Parliament by wilfully doing anything that it forbids or by wilfully omitting to do anything that it requires to be done is, unless a punishment is expressly provided by law, guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding two years

Many types of lawful excuse exist, such as killing an animal out of an act of mercy, defending children, other animals or property, honest belief (mistake of fact), necessity, automatism, due diligence, entrapment, provocation, **defence with claim of right**, third party offender, duress and res judicata/issue estoppel, amongst others.



# DEFENCE WITH CLAIM OF RIGHT

39. (1) EVERY ONE WHO IS IN PEACEABLE POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT, AND EVERY ONE ACTING UNDER HIS AUTHORITY, IS PROTECTED FROM CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEFENDING THAT POSSESSION, EVEN AGAINST A PERSON ENTITLED BY LAW TO POSSESSION OF IT, IF HE USES NO MORE FORCE THAN IS NECESSARY.

# DEFENCE WITHOUT CLAIM OF RIGHT

(2) EVERY ONE WHO IS IN PEACEABLE POSSESSION OF PERSONAL PROPERTY, BUT DOES NOT CLAIM IT AS OF RIGHT OR DOES NOT ACT UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF A PERSON WHO CLAIMS IT AS OF RIGHT, IS NOT JUSTIFIED OR PROTECTED FROM CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEFENDING HIS POSSESSION AGAINST A PERSON WHO IS ENTITLED BY LAW TO POSSESSION OF IT.

# CLAIM OF RIGHT



YOU CAN BE FREE!

A CLAIM OF RIGHT IS LAWFUL EXCUSE



THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING YOU CAN LEARN FROM THIS BOOK. THE POWER OF A CLAIM OF RIGHT.




I AM THE KING OF MY DOMAIN. MEOW. MEOW.





**YOU CAN DISOBEY THE COURTS AND THE GOVERNMENT IS YOU HAVE WHAT THEY CALL 'LAWFUL EXCUSE'.**



**BECAUSE OF SECTION 39, WE CAN SEE THAT A CLAIM OF RIGHT IS A LAWFUL EXCUSE.**



**YOUR CLAIM OF RIGHT CAN BE AS EASY AS CLAIMING THE RIGHT TO EXIST WITHOUT OTHERS GOVERNING YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT.  
YOU CAN CLAIM THE RIGHT TO KICK THE GOVERNMENT OUT OF YOUR LIFE**

**FOR SOME REASON THEY DON'T WANT YOU KNOWING THAT.**


**YOUR FREEDOM IS AS EASY AS SAYING NO TO THE GOVERNMENT**





THE  
MATRIX


SOME STORIES HAVE MUCH TRUTH



ONE WILL  
MAKE YOU  
BIGGER; THE  
OTHER WILL  
MAKE YOU  
SMALL.

Alice in Wonderland

MANY OF THESE AND OTHER STORIES  
TELL THE TRUTH ABOUT WHAT IS  
HAPPENING IN THE WORLD AND ARE  
ALLEGORIES FOR WHAT WE HAVE TO  
DEAL WITH.







THE SCARECROW REPRESENTED FARMERS, WHO DID NOT UNDERSTAND HOW THE BANKERS WERE SCREWING THEM. THE TIN MAN REPRESENTED INDUSTRIAL LABORERS WHO WERE DESPERATE FOR LUBRICANT (CURRENCY). DOROTHY IS THE AVERAGE AMERICAN GIRL. THE YELLOW BRICK ROAD WAS THE GOLD STANDARD, WHICH LED TO THE EMERALD CITY (WASHINGTON OR, ALTERNATELY, NEW YORK'S FINANCIAL DISTRICT). THE WIZARD IS THE U.S. PRESIDENT, WHO IN THE BOOK IS TERRIFIED OF THE EVIL WITCHES. THE "WICKED WITCH OF THE EAST" WAS THE EASTERN FINANCIAL ESTABLISHMENT. THE "WICKED WITCH OF THE WEST" WAS THE WESTERN BANKERS (AT THAT TIME ENSCONCED IN OHIO). THE "GOOD WITCH OF THE NORTH" WAS THE PEOPLE. THE MUNCHKINS WERE THE GENERALLY ENSLAVED, WHO LIVE IN TERROR OF THE EVIL WITCHES (THE BANKERS).





# It Ain't Just a Story



Wizard of OZ



THE FEDERAL RESERVE

**HOW INTERNATIONAL BANKERS CREATED A DISASTER, STOLE THE GOLD, IMPOSED A FIAT MONETARY SYSTEM AND USED ARMED MONKEYS TO CONTROL PEOPLE WITH FEAR AND DECEPTION.**



SCARECROW

**THE STRAWMAN REPRESENTS YOUR LEGAL ENTITY WHICH IS CALLED STRANGELY ENOUGH, A STRAWMAN.**

**AS A LEGAL FICTION, IT HAS NO BRAIN**



TINMAN

**THE TIN MAN REPRESENTS THE HEARTLESS CORPORATIONS WHO ONLY CARE ABOUT ...**


**OIL!**



LION

**THE LION IS THE COWARDLY GOVERNMENT WHO BENDS TO THE WILL OF FOREIGN BANKERS AND CORPORATIONS AND BY DOING SO FAIL TO SERVE**





THE WHILRWIND DEPRESSION



THE GOLD LEADS TO ...




WASHINGTON, AND THE WIZARD WAS SCARED OF...



I'LL GET YOU, MY PRETTY!


THE WICKED BANKERS



THE STRAWMAN NEEDS THE HELP AND ENERGY OF THE LIVING HUMAN



AND THE HUMAN IS CONTROLLED WITH OPIATES, RELIGION AND TV



NOW YOU TELL ME?

BUT YOU COULD ALWAYS GO HOME...



# The Wizard of Oz

— an allegory... (author unknown)

An allegory (parable) is the expression of truths about human conduct and experience by means of symbolic fictional figures and actions.

Such was the movie *The Wizard of Oz*, an allegory of the state of affairs we now live in today — an allegory of the unfolding New World Order that was instituted in America via the stock-market crash of 1929 and the bankruptcy of the United States in 1933.

The setting of this allegory is in Kansas — the “heartland” of America; the geographical center of the U.S.A.

In came the twister — the whirling confusion of the Great Depression, the stock-market crash, the U.S. Bankruptcy, and the theft of America's gold — that whisked Dorothy and Toto up into the New Order of the World; an artificial new dimension “somewhere, over the rainbow,” above the solid ground of Kansas.

When they landed in Oz, Dorothy commented to her little dog Toto: “Toto? I have a feeling we're not in Kansas anymore . . .” Exactly!

After the bankruptcy of the United States, Kansas was no longer “Kansas” anymore, it is now “KS” — a two-capital-letter federal postal designation that is part of the “federal zone,” designated by the **Z**one **Im**Provement (ZIP) Code established by the bankrupt United States in 1933 — and Dorothy and Toto were now “in this state.” The terms: “in this state,” “this state,” and “state” are deceptively defined for tax jurisdiction purposes as the “District of Columbia,” a.k.a. the United States, Inc., or the corporate United States.

In the 1930s the all-capital-letter-written-name strawman — the newly created artificial “person” that has no brain and speaks and acts for its once-upon-a-time sovereign, you and me — was created while Americans were confused and distracted by the commotion caused by the introduction of the New World Order of communistic socialism, to figure out that they even had a strawman with which to contend. The scarecrow identified this strawman persona for Dorothy thusly: “Some people without brains do an awful lot of talking. Of course, I'm not bright about doing things.”

In his classic song, “If I Only Had A Brain,” the scarecrow/strawman succinctly augured, “I'd unravel every riddle, For every Individual, In trouble or in pain.”

Individual: a United States government Employee. (Title 5 USC §552(a)2). The Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and all state tax codes are in harmony with the above definition of “individual” by reference only. A corporation-of-one is an artificial person constructed by law; not a living, breathing man or woman. An “individual” is a public corporate persona existing only in the public (government) domain having been created by law, not by God.

The drafters of codes and laws take everyday common speech and give it arcane encrypted meanings that are generally unknown or unknowable to the uninitiated even after serious study.





Therefore, most folks are commercially, legally, and financially enslaved because of their ignorance of the true situation. Even knowing that “ignorance of the law is no excuse” they find themselves helpless, unarmed, and uninformed. [Upon close examination one can see a direct tie in with America’s secret establishment known as the Order of Skull & Bones, as it was brought about to bring down the united States of America, its members have penetrated just about every significant research, policy, opinion-making organization in the United States as well as many of the leading educational institutions. Also known as ‘the dumbing down’ of America. (If you had trouble reading the previous sentence blame your poor educational experience as a result of the influence of the Order of Skull & Bones and its members.)]

Translation: Once we discover that our strawman exists, and that we have co-signed for him [signing by accommodation], political and legal mysteries, complexities, and confusions are resolved. When we take title to our strawman (UCC1 financing statement), we protect ourselves from any liabilities that we might otherwise occur.

The tin-man, our Taxpayer-Identification-Number (TIN) man, is a hollow man of tin, a vessel, or vehicle; newly created code words for our strawman. [not being sexist here as one could say, ‘hollow woman of tin’ or ‘strawwoman’.]

Just as the strawman has no brain, the tin-man vessel/vehicle has no heart. Both are artificial persons. (person = persona = mask). [Learn up on the word, ‘person’]

Persons are divided by law into natural and artificial. Natural persons are persons created by God, and artificial persons are persons devised by human law for the purpose of governing them as “corporations-of-one” or bodies-politic.

The precise definition of the term “person” is therefore necessary to identify those to whom the 14th Amendment to the Constitution affords its protections and liabilities, since the 14th Amendment expressly applies to “persons.”

A strawman is a person with a fictitious name written in “legalese” — language foreign to the rules of English grammar. Flesh and blood men and women with names [titles] written in [hand] cursive, with initial-letters-only capitalized, are not “persons” even though they are referred to as natural persons at times.

It is as impossible for a person to be natural as it is for a man to be artificial. “Person” is a silent artificial construct hatched up by lawyers, to be used and controlled by lawyers’ encrypted “codes.”

One of the definitions of “tin” found in Webster's dictionary is “counterfeit.” The tin-man represents the mechanical and heartless aspect of commerce and commercial law. Just like they say in the Mafia, as they throw you overboard, you feet in concrete overshoes, “Nothing personal; [its] just business.”

The heartless tin-man carried an “axe,” a traditional symbol for God, and for modern commercial law, in most dominant civilizations, including fascist states. In the words of the tin-man, as he expressed relief after Dorothy had oiled his arm, “I’ve held that axe up for ages.”

The word “ace” is etymologically related to the word “axe” and in a deck of cards the only card above the King is the Ace – God. One of the Axis Powers of World War II was a fascist state, Italy. The symbol for fascism is the “fasces,” a bundle of rods with an ax bound up in it with its blade sticking out.

The fasces may be found on the reverse of the American Mercury-head dime (the Roman deity Mercury was the God of Commerce) and on the wall behind and on each side of the Speaker's Podium in the United States Senate, each gold fasces being approximately six feet high. At the base of the Seal of the United States Senate are two fasces, crossed.

The lion in the story represents the “at-one-time” fearless American people as having lost their courage. And after a round with the IRS, in “defending” your T-I-N man, dummy corporation, vessel vehicle, individual employee, public corporation, all capital letters written name, artificial person, strawman, you'd lose your courage, too. You perhaps haven't known it, but the IRS has been dealing with you all along via your tin-man under the hidden laws of commerce. Just like the tin-man, “commerce” has no heart; it is heartless.

To find the Wizard, you have to “follow the yellow-brick road” (the gold-bar road.) Follow the trail of America's stolen gold and you'll find the thief who stole it.

In the beginning of the movie, the Wizard's counterpart was the traveling mystic, “Professor Marvel” who Dorothy encountered when she ran away with Toto. His macabre shingle touted that he was “...acclaimed by The Crowned Heads of Europe, Past, Present, and Future.” Professor Marvel must have really been a Wizard to be acclaimed so by the future Crowned Heads of Europe, even before they were crowned!

Before the bankers stole America, they had long-since overpowered the Christian Kings and Queens of Europe and looted their kingdoms. Maybe “Professor Marvel” knew something about the future that other folks didn't know. With a human skull peering down from its painted perch above the door to his wagon, the professor lectured

When Dorothy Gale and her new friends emerged from the forest, they were elated to see the Emerald City before them, only a short distance away. The Wicked Witch of the West, desperate for the ruby slippers that Dorothy was wearing, would have to make her move before our heroes arrived safely inside the Emerald City gates.

In the original book, *The Wonderful Wizard of Oz*, by Frank Baum, published 39 years before the movie came out in 1939, and three years before the crash, the slippers were not ruby-red, but silver.

America still had its gold at that time, and the value of 1 oz. of gold was set at 15 oz. of silver; silver - then as now- being the more plentiful. Backed by gold, the currency of the day carried America to a position of pre-eminence throughout the world. But when the movie came out in 1939, the slippers were not silver, but ruby red.

Between the years 1916 and 1933, America's gold was absorbed by the private non-federal Federal Reserve and shipped off to the FED's owners in Germany and England because the use of Federal Reserve Notes carried an interest penalty that could only be paid in gold. Our former currency, United States Notes, carried no such interest requirement, but such was the “bargain” that came with the New World Order of the non-federal Federal Reserve in 1913.

When the United States' Bankruptcy was declared in 1933, Americans were forced to turn in (surrender) all their gold coin, gold bullion, and gold certificates by May 1st — “May Day” — the birthday of the Communism and the Illuminati in 1776, the year that the American Colonists declared their independence from the Crown.

Talking to people who were alive at that time, the general sentiment toward such “theft” in 1933 bordered on a second revolutionary war.

Maybe it was too much of a clue, or too much salt in their wounds, for Dorothy to be skipping down the golden yellow-brick-road in a pair of silver slippers. So, for whatever reason, a color less likely to provoke the people was selected.





With regard to the choice of ruby slippers — slippers colored red — one explanation is that on commercial documents and the like, red signifies private as opposed to public. Your new Social Security Card has a red serial number on the reverse. But no matter their color in the movie, the Wicked Witch of the West had big plans to get her hands on the precious slippers before Dorothy and crew could make it to Emerald City.

Her tactic was to drug them into unconsciousness by covering the countryside with poppy flowers, poppies — the source of heroin, opium, and morphine — and then waltz in and snatch the slippers. In other words, the best way to loot the gold was to dull the senses of the American people with a contrived crisis (the Great Depression.) And of course now we have illicit street drugs, heroin, cocaine, etc., and legal drugs such as Ridlin®, etc. and television, bogus media dishing out control propaganda, etc. ...etc.

The poppy-drugs worked on Dorothy, the lion and Toto — the flesh-and-blood entities — but had no effect on the scarecrow or the tin-man — the artificial entities. The two cried out for help, and Glenda — the Good Witch of the North — answered their cries with a blanket of snow that nullified the narcotic effect of the poppies on Dorothy, Toto, and the lion.

As they all scampered toward the Emerald City — the city of green non-federal Federal Reserve Notes (the new fiat money - money by decree) — we hear the Munchkins singing the glories of the Wizard's Creation:

“You're out of the woods, Your out of the dark,  
Your out of the night. Step into the sun, Step  
into the light, Keep straight ahead for the most  
glorious place on the face of the earth or the  
stars!”

This jingle abounds with Illuminati/Luciferian metaphors regarding darkness and light.

The Wicked Witch of the West made her home in a round medieval Watchtower — ancient symbol of The Knights Templar of Freemasonry who are given to practicing witchcraft and are also credited to be the originators of modern banking, circa 1099 A.D.

The Wicked Witch of the West was dressed in black, the color that symbolizes the planet Saturn, a sacred icon of The Knights Templar, and [interestingly] the “color of choice” of judges and priests for their robes.

Who was the Wicked Witch of the West? Remember, in the first part of the film her counterpart was Almira Gulch who, according to Auntie Em, “...owned half the county.” Miss Gulch alleged that Dorothy's dog, Toto, had bitten her. She came to the farm with an “Order from the Sheriff” demanding that they surrender Toto to her custody and control. Auntie Em was not immediately cooperative and answered Miss Gulch's allegations that Toto had bitten her, “He's really gentle -- with gentle people, that is.”

When Miss. Gulch challenged them to withhold Toto from her and “...go against the law,” dear old Auntie Em was relegated to “pushing the Party Line” for Big Brother government. Auntie Em dutifully succumbed to the pressure and counseled Dorothy, reluctantly, “We can't go against the law, Dorothy. I'm afraid poor Toto will have to go.”

When Dorothy refused to surrender Toto Miss Gulch lashed out: “If you don't hand over that dog I'll bring a suit that'll take your whole farm!”

Today >70% of all attorneys in the world reside in the West — in America to be exact — and =>95% of all law suites in the world are filed under the jurisdiction of the corporate United States. The Wicked Witch of the West and Miss Gulch symbolize Judges and Attorneys — primary agents for the transfer of all wealth in America from the people to the United States, the United Nations, and the international banks. [Study the word, “attorn(ey)”]



The American Bar Association is a branch of the Bar Council, under the Bar Association of England and Wales. (British Accreditation Registry) [Some believe it to be a religious association run by Esquires of the middle temple of the city of London – not as in London, England but a particular place in the city of London.] As the copyrighted property of a British Company, all states' and United States Codes are private British owned Law, and all states' and United States courts, state Bar Associations, and the "State of [name each of the 50 States]," go by and enforce private de facto British owned Law against Americans, operating as private foreign owned tribunals or administrative agencies doing business in the states under cover and color of [each of the 50 states'] Law.

The Wicked Witch of the West wanted the ruby (silver) slippers (the precious metals) — and her counterpart, Miss. Gulch, wanted Toto, too. What does "toto" signify in attorney legalese? "Everything!" Miss Gulch wanted to take everything.

Dorothy and the gang fell for the Wizard's illusion in the beginning, but soon wised up and discovered the Wizard for what he was [is], a confidence man. When asked about helping the scarecrow/strawman, the Wizard cited — among other babblings about "getting a brain" and "universities" — the land of "E Pluribus Unum" (Latin for "One out of many"); converting many into one; meaning the New World Order.

"*Novus Ordo Seclorum*" is the Latin phrase placed on the American one-dollar bill shortly after the bankruptcy of the U.S. Government was declared in 1933. The Wizard proudly revealed (confessed) that he was, "... Born and bred in the heart of the western wilderness - an old Kansas man myself."

The bankers did quite well. And, as the Wizard said, they made a killing in the America west with the theft of America's gold, labor, and property from the grateful and responsive rural folk" (a quoted phrase of John D. Rockefeller) who populated the country at that time.

When Dorothy asked Glenda, the Good Witch of the North for help in getting back to Kansas, Glenda replied, "You don't need to be helped; you've always had the power to go back to Kansas."

Translation: You've always had the right and power to re-claim your sovereignty; you just forgot your remedy; a UCC1 Form and Security Agreement sent to the Secretary of State and an Invoice and Bill of Exchange to the Secretary of the Treasury, which can be completed from scratch in a very short time.

Remedy: Remedy is the means by which the violation of a right is prevented, redressed, or compensated. Both remedy and rights include those remedial rights of self-help which are among the most important bodies of rights under the Universal Commercial Code (UCC). Remedial rights are rights an aggrieved party can resort to on his own. "Acceptance of Value" is our Remedy.

Americans have intimate firsthand knowledge of the heartless mechanics of the laws of commerce when strictly applied by the unregistered, foreign agents of the IRS.

The Internal Revenue Service is the collection agency for the private non-federal Federal Reserve and the International Monetary Fund. It was placed under the Uniform Commercial Code in 1954 and has been operating strictly in that realm ever since.

You may have wondered about the meaning behind the words, "The Wizard of Oz"? Look them up in the dictionary. Like almost everything else, the ruse is out there in the open for all to see, if you will look, and see.





One definition of Wizard is “a person of high professional skill or knowledge.” Oz is an abbreviation of “onza,” the Italian word for ounce (oz.) or ounces, the unit of measurement of gold and silver and other precious metals. No matter how large the quantity of gold or silver being discussed, the amount is always expressed in ounces rather than hundreds of tons of gold, it’s stated as so many million ounces of gold.

As the factual history of this country attests, “The Wizard of Oz” is the “Wizard of Ounces”, of silver and gold.

Everything worked out for Dorothy (the American people) in the end. In the end she “made it home” to Kansas and her friends.

Meaning: There's a remedy encoded, disguised, and camouflaged in law. The UCC has been cracked and there's a way home, just like in the movie. Like Dorothy said, “There's no place like home” — there's nothing like sovereignty for a sovereign!

Vice Admiralty courts are courts established in the Queen's possessions beyond the seas, with jurisdiction over maritime causes and those relating to “prize.” The United States is now a colony (a possession) of the English Crown, per a joint commercial venture agreement between the colonies (the United States) and the Crown, which brought the United States back under British ownership and rule, in 1933.

But the American people had a “standing in law” as sovereigns, independent of any connection to the United States and the Crown. This “standing in law” necessitated that the people be brought back under British rule, quietly and one at a time — but the Commercial Process of Redemption, through the UCC, will redeem us from this travesty.

All courts in America are Vice-Admiralty courts conducting the private foreign commerce of the Crown. But there is commercial remedy in Redemption-in-Law.

Will you continue to be conned by confidence men into worshipping the Wizard's light-show or will you look behind the veil?



# IT'S A WONDERLAND



THERE IS NO USE TRYING, SAID ALICE; ONE CAN'T BELIEVE IMPOSSIBLE THINGS. I DARE SAY YOU HAVEN'T HAD MUCH PRACTICE, SAID THE QUEEN. WHEN I WAS YOUR AGE, I ALWAYS DID IT FOR HALF AN HOUR A DAY. WHY, SOMETIMES I'VE BELIEVED AS MANY AS SIX IMPOSSIBLE THINGS BEFORE BREAKFAST.  
LEWIS CARROLL

"MY NAME IS ALICE, SO PLEASE YOUR MAJESTY," SAID ALICE VERY POLITELY; BUT SHE ADDED TO HERSELF, "WHY, THEY'RE ONLY A PACK OF CARDS, AFTER ALL. I NEEDN'T BE AFRAID OF THEM!" (THE PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT ARE JUST PEOPLE PLAYING ROLES)

'AND WHO ARE THESE?' SAID THE QUEEN, POINTING TO THE THREE GARDENERS WHO WERE LYING ROUND THE ROSETREE; FOR, YOU SEE, AS THEY WERE LYING ON THEIR FACES, AND THE PATTERN ON THEIR BACKS WAS THE SAME AS THE REST OF THE PACK, SHE COULD NOT TELL WHETHER THEY WERE GARDENERS, OR SOLDIERS, OR COURTIERS, OR THREE OF HER OWN CHILDREN.

'HOW SHOULD I KNOW?' SAID ALICE, SURPRISED AT HER OWN COURAGE. 'IT'S NO BUSINESS OF MINE.'

...THE QUEEN TURNED CRIMSON WITH FURY, AND, AFTER GLARING AT HER FOR A MOMENT LIKE A WILD BEAST, BEGAN SCREAMING, "OFF WITH HER HEAD! OFF WITH--"

"NONSENSE!" SAID ALICE, VERY LOUDLY AND DECIDEDLY, AND THE QUEEN WAS SILENT."

-- LEWIS CARROLL, ALICE IN WONDERLAND [FREEDOM THINKER?]



'WHEN I USE A WORD,' HUMPTY DUMPTY SAID, IN A RATHER SCORNFUL TONE, 'IT MEANS JUST WHAT I CHOOSE IT TO MEAN, NEITHER MORE NOR LESS.'

'THE QUESTION IS,' SAID ALICE, 'WHETHER YOU CAN MAKE WORDS MEAN SO MANY DIFFERENT THINGS.'

'THE QUESTION IS,' SAID HUMPTY DUMPTY, 'WHICH IS TO BE MASTER?' - THAT'S ALL.'


YOU ARE THE MASTER OF YOUR OWN LIFE... UNLESS YOU ALLOW OTHERS TO BE.




# What did Jesus know?



YE ARE GODS



WOE TO YOU LAWYERS AND EXPERTS IN THE LAW FOR YOU HAVE TAKEN AND HIDDEN THE KEY OF KNOWLEDGE AND ENTERING IN NOT YOURSELVES, THOSE WHO DID YOU HINDERED.



SUFFER THE LITTLE CHILDREN TO COME UNTO ME, AND FORBID THEM NOT: FOR OF SUCH IS THE KINGDOM OF GOD.



JESUS KNEW SATURDAY NIGHT WAS ALRIGHT FOR FIGHTING, IF YOU WERE FIGHTING THE MONEY CHANGERS.




SO GOOD TO BE ME...

JESUS WAS NOT DECEIVED BY AN ADMIRALTY JUSTICE SYSTEM THAT RELIED ON FICTIONS.

BECOME LIKE A CHILD AND YOU WILL BE FREE





THE SYSTEM THAT WE ARE LABOURING UNDER TODAY IS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT WAS FACED BY THE PEOPLE 2000 YEARS AGO. THERE WAS A LARGE BODY OF WORDS BEING USED TO GOVERN THE POPULACE AND A SMALL GROUP OF PEOPLE CLAIMING THE SOLE RIGHT TO INTERPRET AND UNDERSTAND THOSE WORDS. LAWYERS TODAY ARE JUST LIKE THE PHARISEES OF OLD WHO WOULD EXTRACT EXCESS PAYMENT FROM THE PEOPLE THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO SERVE. THEY WOULD INTERPRET THE WORDS IN A MANNER THAT MAGICALLY APPLIED TO OTHERS, YET NOT SO MUCH TO THEMSELVES. THERE EXISTED 'PERSONS' AND LEGAL FICTIONS AND THERE WAS ALL THE CORRUPTION THAT GOES ALONG WITH THOSE THINGS. **THE REMEDY THEN IS THE REMEDY NOW.**

Luke 12:58 "For while you are going with your opponent to appear before the magistrate, on your way there make an effort to settle with him, so that he may not drag you before the judge, and the judge turn you over to the officer, and the officer throw you into prison.






PHARISEES AND SADDUCEE RULED THEIR SOCIETY  
FORCE AND COMPLEXITY A MINORITY OVER A MAJORITY  
WHEN DECEPTION IS THE YOKE THE LAW IS A JOKE  
SO ALONG CAME THIS BLOKE  
AND ON HIS WORDS DID THEY CHOKE

WITH POWER AND AUTHORITY  
RELEASING ALL FROM SIN'S  
INVITING ALL TO THE KINGDOM OF GOD WITHIN  
"THIS IS ALL WRONG WE ARE THE STRONG  
AND ALL OUR POWER WILL SOON BE GONE  
OH NO! OH NO! OH NO!"

THEY HAVE THE POWER  
THEY HAVE THE POWER  
ALONG CAME A FLOWER  
A GENTLE HUMBLE FLOWER  
HE TOOK AWAY THEIR POWER


HE SPOKE OF SOMETHING SPECIAL  
SOMETHING VERY VERY SPECIAL  
SOMETHING SO SCARY  
TO THE RULERS OF THAT DAY

"THE LAW IS VERY SIMPLE,  
ONCE YOU SEE THE LIGHT.  
THERE ARE ONLY  
TWO THINGS YOU NEED  
TO LIVE AND DIE RIGHT.  
LOVE GOD AND YOUR NEIGHBOR  
BOTH WITH ALL YOUR MIGHT  
THAT'S IT, THAT'S ALL  
THERE IS NOTHING MORE  
AND ANYONE SAYS OTHERWISE  
THEY ARE AGAINST GOD, HIS LAW AND MORE  
THEY CONTROL YOU WITH DECEPTION  
AND COMMAND YOU WITH THEIR LIES  
I BRUSH AWAY THEIR LAWS  
LIKE YOU SHOO AWAY HOUSEFLIES  
FROM YOUR SWEET CHERRY PIE"



THEY WANT THE POWER  
THEY WANT THE POWER  
ALONG CAME A FLOWER  
A GENTLE HUMBLE FLOWER  
HE TOOK AWAY THEIR POWER





WELL SOME RULERS OF THE DAY  
DID NOT LIKE THIS ONE BIT  
"HE HAS TO GO! THAT COCKY MOUTHY TWIT!"  
THEY PLOTTED AND THEY SCHEMED AND  
THEY TRIED TO SET A TRAP  
BUT HE WAS TOO WISE  
AND KNEW HOW TO YAP  
IN THE END FAKE BETRAYAL HAD TO BE THE TOOL  
A FRIEND PRETENDING ENEMY,  
NOW THE ETERNAL FOOL  
30 PIECES OF SILVER THAT WAS THE PAY  
BUT FREEDOM ISN'T FREE  
SO HE SHOWED US ALL A WAY

THIS STORY IS NEVER OLD  
AND NOW IS TOLD ANEW  
REMAIN A SHEEP AT SLUMBER  
OR AWAKE AND WALK-WITH FEW  
THE GROWING SPIRIT INSIDE YOU  
WILL SHOW YOU WHAT TO DO  
BUT IN THE END NO ONE  
CAN DO THIS THING FOR YOU



WILL YOU EMBRACE WHAT YOUR SPIRIT HAS ALWAYS  
KNOWN?  
FOR IF YOU HAVE EYES AND EARS  
THIS TRUTH CAN EASILY BE SHOWN  
YOU MAY OF COURSE REFUSE THIS GIFT SO  
HEAVENLY  
BUT WHEN YOU ARE BOUND BY DECEPTION  
ONLY TRUTH CAN SET YOU FREE.

HERE IS THE TRUTH  
THEY NEVER WANTED SPILLED  
EXACT SAME NOW AS BACK WHEN HE WAS KILLED  
**YOU CAN CHOOSE TO BE  
A GENTLE HUMBLE FLOWER  
AND TAKE RIGHT BACK  
ALL OF YOUR POWER**

THEY HAD THE POWER  
THEY HAD THE POWER  
ALONG CAME A FLOWER  
A GENTLE HUMBLE FLOWER  
YOU TOOK AWAY THEIR POWER  
YOU ARE THAT FLOWER  
AND NOW IS THE HOUR  
TO TAKE BACK YOUR POWER





# NAME YOUR SOCIETY IF YOU CAN

(BUT YOU CAN'T BECAUSE YOU DON'T HAVE ONE)



**IF YOU KNOW NOT THE NAME OF A THING ALL KNOWLEDGE OF THAT THING MUST PERISH.**

**A name is a note of a thing.**

**SOCIETY: A NUMBER OF PEOPLE JOINED BY MUTUAL CONSENT TO DELIBERATE, DETERMINE AND ACT FOR A COMMON GOAL.**

**STATUTES ARE RULES OF A SOCIETY AND THEY APPLY TO MEMBERS OF THAT SOCIETY.**

**IF YOU ARE NOT A MEMBER OF A PARTICULAR SOCIETY, ITS RULES CANNOT APPLY TO YOU, JUST LIKE IF YOU ARE NOT A BOY SCOUT THE RULES OF BOYS SCOUTS DO NOT APPLY TO YOU.**

**A SOCIETY IS A THING AND AS SUCH MUST HAVE A NAME TO LEGALLY EXIST. WITHOUT A NAME IT IS A NOTHING.**

**READ ANY JUDGEMENT OF THE SUPREME COURT OF CANADA AND THEY WILL USE WORDS LIKE "IN A FREE AND JUST SOCIETY", YET THEY NEVER SAY "IN THIS FREE AND JUST SOCIETY". THEY SPEAK IN HYPOTHETICAL TERMS AND THEY DO SO FOR A REASON. THEY KNOW THERE IS NO SOCIETY.**

**CAN YOU TELL ME THE NAME OF YOUR SOCIETY?  
IF NOT HOW CAN YOU EVEN PROVE IT EXISTS?**



**IF SOMETHING DOES NOT HAVE A LEGAL NAME IT DOES NOT LEGALLY EXIST**

# CANADA: THE COUNTRY THAT AIN'T!

WHEN WE REFER TO OUR CURRENT ALLEGED CANADIAN GOVERNMENT AS DE JURE, WE NO DOUBT MEAN DE FACTO, SINCE DE FACTO MEANS "IN FACT", BUT NOT "BY LAW", WHICH IS WHAT DE JURE MEANS. IN OTHER WORDS, A LAWFUL GOVERNMENT IS A DE JURE GOVERNMENT. A GOVERNMENT THAT EXISTS BY DECEPTION AND FRAUD, AND NOT BY LAWFUL AUTHORITY, IS A DE FACTO GOVERNMENT.

IT'S HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT THE CANADIAN ESTABLISHMENT, WITH POLITICAL MILLIONAIRE SHYSTERS AS ITS VANGUARD, IS IGNORANT OF THE ACTUAL HISTORY OF CANADA AND ITS FAKE GOVERNMENT. THE FAKE VERSION TAUGHT IN OUR SCHOOLS HAS NOTHING IN COMMON WITH 135 YEARS OF REALITY; OF GOVERNMENT BY MILLIONAIRES, OF MILLIONAIRES, FOR MILLIONAIRES.

CANADA IS NEITHER A FEDERATION NOR DOES ITS GOVERNMENT OPERATE WITH LEGITIMATE AUTHORITY. KNOWING THIS AND KEEPING MUM ABOUT IT MAKES POLITICIANS AND THE ENTIRE BAR ASSOCIATION CRIMINAL OFFENDERS BY DEFAULT, IF NOT BY DESIGN...ALL OF THEM, PAST AND PRESENT. WHICH DOESN'T MATTER MUCH THESE DAYS BECAUSE IT'S OBVIOUSLY COOL (AND VERY PROFITABLE) TO BE LAWFLESS, AS FAR AS THOSE AT THE TROUGH ARE CONCERNED.

JUDGING BY POLITICIANS, AND THE LEGAL COMMUNITY'S VISIBLE CONDUCT, THEIR STRATEGY SEEMS TO BE ONE OF PERPETUALLY REINFORCING THE NIXING OF THE UNAUTHORIZED AND ILLEGITIMATE EXISTENCE OF CANADIAN GOVERNMENTS (DE JURE) BY TEACHING AND CELEBRATING A CANADIANA, PICKLED IN BALD-FACED LIES, WITH MUCH ADO AND HOOPLA.

IT TAKES A LOT OF TIME AND EFFORT TO SEPARATE THE FACTS FROM THE MYTHS ABOUT CANADA'S "CREATION." FORTUNATELY, THERE HAVE BEEN MANY DEDICATED CANADIANS DOING THE ARDUOUS RESEARCH. BY LEARNING HOW CONSTITUTIONS AND NATIONS ARE PROPERLY CREATED AND THEN COMPARING THIS WITH CANADA'S (AND BRITAIN'S) RECORDS OF THE TIME (AND SINCE THEN), THESE RESEARCHERS HAVE ACCURATELY RE-CREATED A CHRONOLOGY OF WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED SINCE 1864 AND WHAT CANADA'S STATUS IS TODAY...WHICH ISN'T NEWS, IT'S JUST INFORMATION THAT IS RIGOROUSLY SUPPRESSED.

FEW PEOPLE WOULD SUSPECT THAT EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES, POLITICIANS, JUDGES, MEDIA AND THE ENTIRE MEMBERSHIP OF THE CANADIAN BAR ASSOCIATION WOULD INTENTIONALLY DENY THE EXISTENCE OF SUCH A FUNDAMENTALLY IMPORTANT MATTER. WITH FEW NOTABLE EXCEPTIONS, THE PUBLIC HAS UNQUESTIONINGLY ACCEPTED THE OFFICIAL FAIRYTALE AS GOSPEL. PROFESSIONALS, PRIVY TO THE TRUTH, ARE SIMPLY TOO BUSY CHASING THE BUCK AND DROP THE TRUTH FROM THEIR CONSCIENCE.

POLITICIANS HAVE BANKED ON SUCH DEVELOPMENTS WITH ASTONISHING SUCCESS SINCE "CONFEDERATION." TODAY, NOBODY IN HIS RIGHT MIND (WHILE IGNORANT OF THE FACTS) WILL BELIEVE THAT CANADA HAS ACTUALLY BEEN UNDER THE CONTROL OF IMPOSTORS FOR 135 YEARS; WHICH CONTINUES TO BE SO, AS LONG AS MOST CANADIANS ARE CONTENT TO TRUDGE THROUGH THE DARK, THINKING THEY ARE SOARING IN THE LIGHT.

NOWHERE ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS MASSIVE DECEPTION MORE EMBODIED THAN IN THE DILIGENCE WITH WHICH CANADIAN JUDGES HELP THE CANADIAN CUSTOMS AND REVENUE AGENCY (CCRA) TO RUTHLESSLY ADMINISTER A TAX EXTRACTION RACKET AS FRAUDULENT AND CRIMINAL AS CANADA'S C-36 PROTECTION RACKET. FACED WITH HAVING TO RULE INESCAPABLY IN FAVOUR OF THE AGGRIEVED (TAX VICTIMS) CANADIAN JUDGES, SPINELESS WITHOUT EXCEPTION, HAVE TURNED INTO LEGAL EELS, SYMBIOTICALLY CORRUPTED BY THEIR ADDICTION TO PRESTIGE, SPECIAL PRIVILEGES AND HIGHLY SALARIED APPOINTMENTS FOR LIFE.

CITIZENS, PAY FOR JUDICIAL PRIVILEGES WITH THE EROSION OF THEIR "CONSTITUTIONAL" RIGHTS AND SPEEDY REDRESS, WHILE JUDGES HIDE THEIR BOTTOMLESS COWARDICE TO UPHOLD THE PRINCIPLES OF THE BNA ACT BEHIND OVERBEARING POMPOSITY, INTIMIDATION AND SELF-SERVING AND CRIMINAL BIAS, IN AN EFFORT TO PROTECT THE HAND THAT FEEDS THEM.



THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS ARMS LENGTH FREEDOM OF JUDGES FROM GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE. WHEN IT COMES TO THE CONSTITUTION AND TAXES, JUDGES ARE DEATHLY AFRAID TO REVEAL THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE BNA ACT'S ILLEGITIMACY. INSTEAD THEY IMPROVISE SLICK CATCH 22 PROCEDURES AND SET OBSTRUCTIVE PRECEDENTS BASED ON LEGAL SOPHISTRY; OSTENSIBLY, TO "AVOID THE CHAOS" THAT WOULD ENSUE IF THEY WERE INCLINED TO RESPECT THE (NON-) CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE. THEY MAINTAIN THAT, BY ENLIGHTENING THE PUBLIC ABOUT CANADA'S CONSTITUTIONAL REALITY AND BY RULING FAIRLY AND WITH INTEGRITY, THEY WOULD "UNLEASH" REAL NATION BUILDING REFORMS BY A LIBERATED PUBLIC, WHILE CURTAILING FOR THEMSELVES OTTAWA'S MUNIFICENCE, WHICH THEY VIEW AS ANARCHY.

COMPOUNDING THEIR CRIMES, JUDGES FIND NOTHING WRONG WITH THE MASSIVE COUNTERFEITING OF CREDIT AND THE COLLECTION OF INTEREST FROM IT BY PRIVATE BANKS. NOR DOES IT BOTHER THEM THAT THIS OCCURS WITHOUT THE BLESSINGS OF THE BNA ACT AND UNDER THE AUSPICES OF IMPOSTORS WITH PRETENSIONS OF GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITY...ALL OF WHICH HAS BECOME "REAL" UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF FAKE LEGITIMACY.

CANADA IS JOINED IN THIS CONSTITUTIONAL DILEMMA BY AUSTRALIA AND NEW ZEALAND. BUT, UNLIKE CANADA'S, THEIR LEGAL COMMUNITIES HAVE ACKNOWLEDGED THAT A CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEM EXISTS AND THEY DEAL WITH IT, VIEWING IT AS A GRAND OPPORTUNITY OF CHANGE FOR THE BETTER.

TO UNDERSTAND WHY THE BNA ACT AND THE CANADIAN FEDERATION ARE FAKE, HERE IS A QUICK, NUTSHELL EXPLANATION OF HOW AND BY WHOM CONSTITUTIONS AND SOVEREIGN DEMOCRATIC COUNTRIES ARE PROPERLY CREATED.

THE "INFAMOUS SOCIALIST AGENDA" THE CREATION OF A DEMOCRATIC NATION IS FOR SANE PEOPLE SIMPLY A MATTER OF COMMON SENSE AND DECENCY; FOR THE ESTABLISHED ELITES IT'S A LEADING CAUSE OF APOPLEXY AND A MATTER OF SUBVERSION, TERRORISM AND COMMUNISM...IF NOT DOWNRIGHT ANARCHY.

BUT ASSUMING THAT A SOVEREIGN DEMOCRATIC FEDERATION IS SOCIALLY DESIRABLE - IN OTHER WORDS, LIBERAL RHETORIC TRANSFORMED INTO ACTUAL REALITY ñ NO SUPERNATURAL ABILITIES OR SPECIAL LAW DEGREES ARE NECESSARY TO CREATE IT.

IT REQUIRES MERELY A PUBLIC CONSENSUS ABOUT THE PURPOSE OF THE NATION AND HOW TO BEST ACHIEVE IT.

A) FIRST, THERE HAS TO BE A TERRITORY (LIKE A CANADIAN PROVINCE) WHO'S PEOPLE DESIRE TO BE A SOVEREIGN AND DEMOCRATIC NATION.

B) FROM AMONG THEMSELVES THE PEOPLE SELECT, BY VOTE OR APPOINTMENT, A TEMPORARY ASSEMBLY AND CHARGE IT WITH THE FORMULATION OF A CONSTITUTION.

C) A FIRST DRAFT OF THE CONSTITUTION IS SUBMITTED BY THE ASSEMBLY TO THE PEOPLE FOR REVIEW AND PUBLIC DEBATE, TO PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR CHANGES.

D) AFTER A FIRST PUBLIC DEBATE THE ASSEMBLY RETIRES TO WORK OUT THE CHANGES, AFTER WHICH IT IS SUBMITTED AGAIN TO THE PEOPLE FOR REVIEW AND FURTHER CHANGES, IF NECESSARY.

E) THIS PROCESS IS REPEATED UNTIL THE CONSTITUTION HAS BECOME A FORMULA ACCEPTABLE TO A SUBSTANTIAL MAJORITY OF THE PEOPLE.

F) NOW THE PEOPLE VOTE IN A REFERENDUM TO ACCEPT (OR REJECT) THE CONSTITUTION WITH A PRE-DETERMINED MAJORITY (75% FOR EXAMPLE).

G) IF THE REQUIRED MAJORITY CANNOT BE ACHIEVED, FURTHER CHANGES MUST BE MADE UNTIL THE FORMULA BECOMES ACCEPTABLE TO THE REQUIRED NUMBER OF PEOPLE.

H) THE ENTIRE PROCESS IS RECORDED AND DOCUMENTED AS PROOF OF THE CONSTITUTION'S AUTHORITY.

I) ON THE BASIS OF THE CONSTITUTION A GOVERNMENT IS THEN FORMED, WHICH IS CONTRACTUALLY BOUND (SOCIAL CONTRACT) TO RESPECT IT AND CONDUCT ITSELF IN ACCORD WITH IT.

J) NOW THIS SOVEREIGN NATION CAN FORM A FEDERATION WITH OTHER NATIONS, IF IT WISHES TO DO SO.

**NOTE, THAT NO CONSIDERATION HAS BEEN GIVEN TO THE MANIPULATIVE INTERFERENCE FROM PRIVATELY OWNED MEDIA MONOPOLIES.**

**NOTE, THAT THE CONSTITUTION IS CREATED FIRST, THEN THE GOVERNMENT. TO CREATE A DEMOCRATIC NATION FOR THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE, OF THE PEOPLE, IT CANNOT BE ANY OTHER WAY.**

**NOTE, NO FOREIGN GOVERNMENT CAN FORMULATE (OR CREATE) THE CONSTITUTION OF ANOTHER COUNTRY. IT HAS TO BE CREATED BY THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES AND BECOMES THUS, FOR ALL INTENTS AND PURPOSES, THEIR PROTECTIVE PROPERTY. IT'S NOT ONLY THE LAW BUT IS A CONTRACT WHICH SUBJUGATES THE GOVERNMENT TO THE PEOPLE. THE GOVERNMENT DERIVES A LIMITED AUTHORITY TO GOVERN FROM IT, ALWAYS SUBJECT TO THE PEOPLE'S AUTHORITY.**

**NOTE, ONLY SOVEREIGN NATIONS CAN FORM A FEDERATION. FOR EXAMPLE, A DOMINION IS THE SUBJECT OF AN EMPIRE, UN-FREE, AND CANNOT DETERMINE ANYTHING, MUCH LESS FEDERATE, WITHOUT THE EMPIRE'S APPROVAL. A SOVEREIGN NATION IS NOT SUBJECT TO ANYONE. IN OTHER WORDS, IT IS FREE TO DESIGN ITS SOCIO-ECONOMIC ORGANIZATION OR ENTER INTO FEDERATIONS IN ANY WAY IT WANTS.**

**A SOVEREIGN, DEMOCRATIC DOMINION?! BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT HAPPENED IN 1867. WHEN WE ASK, DID CANADA BECOME THEN A SOVEREIGN, DEMOCRATIC DOMINION, WE MUST ALSO ASK, OF WHOM OR OF WHAT? THE CROWN? ROTHSCHILD? THE IMF? THUS THE INCONGRUITY BECOMES UNMISTAKABLY SELF-EVIDENT.**

**IN 1867 WE-THE-PEOPLE DIDN'T EXIST, AS FAR AS POLITICAL "PARTICIPATION" WAS CONCERNED. IN THE EXALTED VIEW OF OUR BETTERS, THE COLONIAL MILLIONAIRE PARAGONS OF CIVILIZATION, WE WERE PRACTICALLY INDISTINGUISHABLE FROM THE STINKING SQUALOR SURROUNDING US. THEY HABITUALLY REFERRED TO US AS "SCUM." THEY WERE THE LANDED GENTRY, LORDING IT OVER US, THE RABBLE, WITH STYLE, OPULENCE...AND VASTLY REFINED SUPERIORITY.**

**IN 1864 AN ASSEMBLY OF SUCH UNELECTED "COLONIAL REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CROWN" (APPOINTEES AND CAREERISTS) CONVENED IN QUEBEC AND BEGAN TO DRAFT THE QUEBEC RESOLUTIONS UNDER THE WISE GUIDANCE OF THE HON. JOHN A. MACDONALD, ALL OF THEM MEN OF SUBSTANCE, INSPIRED BY SELF-INTEREST. THE GENERAL "SCUM" OF THE DAY DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT THIS WAS GOING ON, NOT BEING WEALTHY ENOUGH TO VOTE AND ALL....**

**NOTE, THAT THE ORIGINAL DRAFT WAS CREATED BY AN UNELECTED ASSEMBLY OF COLONIAL APPOINTEES WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC. IN 1867 THE "QUEBEC SCHEME OF 1864" WAS SUBMITTED TO THE COLONIAL OFFICE IN LONDON FOR ROYAL ASSENT, TO BE ENACTED BY THE BRITISH LEGISLATURE. IN BETWEEN READINGS IN THE HOUSE OF LORDS AND THE HOUSE OF COMMONS THE WORDING OF THE PREAMBLE (THE MOST IMPORTANT PAGE OF A CONSTITUTION) WAS CHANGED (A FRAUDULENT SLIGHT OF HAND), WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE DELEGATION FROM CANADA OR ANYBODY IN BOTH HOUSES, INTO THE OXYMORON IT HAS REMAINED TO THIS DAY. AT THIS POINT THERE EXISTED NO PRINTED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL.**

**REMEMBER, NO FOREIGN GOVERNMENT CAN CREATE A LEGALLY VALID CONSTITUTION FOR ANOTHER COUNTRY. WHAT EVENTUALLY EMERGED FROM THE BRITISH LEGISLATURE WAS A STATUTE AS PHONEY AS A THREE DOLLAR BILL, WITH THE FIRST PAGE MISSING ENTIRELY. THE LIST OF EXPERTS WHO ATTESTED TO THIS FACT IN 1935 IS IMPRESSIVE, INDEED:**

**DR. O. D. SKELTON, UNDER-SECRETARY OF STATE FOR EXTERNAL AFFAIRS;**

**DR. OLLIVIER, K.C., JOINT-LAW CLERK, HOUSE OF COMMONS;**

**DR. W. P. KENNEDY, PROFESSOR OF LAW, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO;**

**DR. N. MCL. ROGERS, PROFESSOR OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, QUEENS UNIVERSITY;**

**DR. ARTHUR BEAUCHESNE, K.C., C.M.G., L.L.D., CLERK OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.**

**AND IT DOESN'T END THERE. NOTE, THAT THERE EXISTS NO DOCUMENTED RECORD OF A MANDATED ASSEMBLY OR DEBATES BY NEITHER THE ELITES NOR THE "SCUM," NOR A BINDING REFERENDUM IN 1867 OR SINCE.**



ON NOVEMBER 8, 1945, THE MP FOR JASPER-EDSON, WALTER F. KUHL, WIDELY RESPECTED AS THE PRÉ-EMINENT AUTHORITY ON CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS AT THE TIME, TRIED TO REVIVE THE ISSUE OF CANADA'S NON-CONSTITUTION/NON-FEDERATION IN THE HOUSE. HE STRESSED THAT UNTIL 1931 CANADA WAS NOT, AND COULDN'T HAVE BEEN, A FEDERATION SINCE, UNTIL THEN, IT WAS STILL A DOMINION OF THE CROWN.

ONLY IN 1931 DID THE BRITISH CROWN ABROGATE ITS AUTHORITY OVER THE CANADIAN DOMINIONS (PROVINCES) WITH THE ENACTMENT OF THE STATUTE OF WESTMINSTER. THIS PROVIDED A MOST AUSPICIOUS OPPORTUNITY FOR CANADA TO BECOME A TRULY SOVEREIGN, DEMOCRATIC FEDERATION. INSTEAD OTTAWA CREATED THE BANK OF CANADA, A CENTRAL BANK.

ONCE AGAIN THE ELITE STUDIOUSLY "IGNORED" THE OPPORTUNITY MR. KUHL'S ARGUMENT OFFERED TO CREATE A BONA FIDE FEDERATION BASED ON A BONA FIDE CONSTITUTION. IT CREATED THE MAPLE LEAF FLAG INSTEAD; MORE FOCUSED ON IMAGE THAN ON SUBSTANCE IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN THE DELIBERATE DECEPTION. THERE EXISTS NO RECORD OF ANY CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY, ANY PUBLIC DEBATES OR ANY CONSTITUTIONAL REFERENDUM NOR ANY CONFEDERATION EFFORTS SINCE 1931, OTHER THAN OTTAWA'S DENIAL OF QUEBEC'S SOVEREIGNTY, WHICH IS A FACT.

SINCE 1931 THE REST OF CANADA HAS BEEN AKIN TO A WRECK, LOADED TO THE HILT WITH GOLD, ADRIFT AT SEA, UNDER THE CONTROL OF PIRATES WHO GLUT AND PLUNDER IT TO THEIR HEARTS' CONTENT. THERE ARE EVEN RUMOURS, THAT THE ROTHSCHILD CLAN SECRETLY CLAIMED CANADA AS AN OBJECT OF SALVAGE AND IS MANAGING IT AND EXTRACTING ITS WEALTH FROM BEHIND COMPLEX FRONTS WITHIN FRONTS, LIKE A RUSSIAN EGG, WITH THE OUTER, VISIBLE SHELL BEING THE "FEDERAL GOVERNMENT."

BUT, PEOPLE ASK, DIDN'T TRUDEAU "PATRIATE" THE CONSTITUTION AND THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS IN 1982? WELL, HE ACTUALLY DID PATRIATE, IN A FASHION...AND A UNIFIED CHORUS OF THE PUBLIC, THE MEDIA, THE JUDICIARY AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS ALL WENT "AAHH" AND "OOHH" AND "ISN'T THAT NICE OF HIM?" IT SEEMINGLY NEVER DAWNED ON ANYBODY TO ASK WHO GAVE HIM THE AUTHORITY TO DRAFT THE CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS.

THE PROBLEM HERE, IS THE WORD "PATRIATE." IT DIDN'T EXIST IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE UNTIL 1981, NOR DOES IT EXIST IN ANY OTHER LANGUAGE, ANCIENT OR CONTEMPORARY, TO THIS DAY. IT IS MEANINGLESS GIBBERISH INVENTED BY TRUDEAU AND HIS CABINET. THE QUESTION "WHAT DOES IT MEAN?" IS UNANSWERABLE. PERHAPS IT WAS INTENDED TO BE ROOTED IN THE LATIN WORD PATRIS. WHICH COULD MEAN, BY A WILD STRETCH OF THE IMAGINATION, THAT FATHER PIERRE FATHERED THE BILL OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS AND GENEROUSLY BESTOWED IT UPON CANADIANS AS AN (UNCONSTITUTIONAL) GIFT. MORE LIKELY, THE WORD SIMPLY EXISTS TO INVOKE A SENSE OF CONSTITUTIONAL INCOMPREHENSION IN ORDER TO DISCOURAGE DEEPER PROBINGS BY A MYSTIFIED PUBLIC.

LET'S GIVE IT THE BENEFIT OF THE DOUBT AND ASSUME THAT IT IS A SEMANTIC MISTAKE, AND WHAT WAS MEANT WAS THAT TRUDEAU REPATRIATED THE CONSTITUTION. THAT WOULD MEAN HE BROUGHT IT HOME IN 1982. WE MUST ASK THEN, FROM WHERE?! WHERE WAS IT UNTIL 1982 IF NOT IN THIS "SOVEREIGN, DEMOCRATIC AND FEDERATED DOMINION?" IN BRITAIN?

WHY? IN COMPARISON WITH THE PROPER PROCESS EXPLAINED ABOVE, IT'S PRACTICALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO BELIEVE THAT CANADA IS A LEGITIMATELY SOVEREIGN AND DEMOCRATIC FEDERATION, UNLESS ONE IS DERANGED OR IN THE GRASP OF OPIATE DREAMS. SINCE MOST CANADIANS DO BELIEVE THE IMPOSSIBLE, WHAT DOES THIS SAY ABOUT THEIR MENTAL AND MORAL DISPOSITION?

NO MATTER HOW WE SLICE IT THE CANADIAN FEDERATION REMAINS A FICTION. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS A CABAL OF IMPOSTORS; ITS AUTHORITY TO GOVERN BEING NON-EXISTENT UNTIL SUCH TIME AS CANADIANS WAKE UP TO THE FACT THAT EVERY TREATY ENTERED INTO (NATO, GATS, NAFTA, FTA, FTA A ETC.) AND ALL LAWS AND REGULATIONS (ITA, GST, C36, PRIVATIZATION, DOWNSIZING, ETC.) PASSED SINCE 1867 ARE NULL AND VOID...JUST AS NULL AND VOID AS THE NON-CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY OF CANADA'S COMMUNITY OF BOTTOM FEEDERS...THE JUDICIARY AND THE CANADIAN BAR ASSOCIATION, INCLUDING THEIR BLOATED AND SUBVERSIVE COURT PROCEDURES.

AND LET'S NOT FORGET THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES SUCH AS THE RCMP, THE POLICE AND CSIS, WHICH HAVE NO NON-CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO ENFORCE (OR PROTECT) ANYTHING, MUCH LESS THE DICTATES (LEGALIZED CRIME) OF IMPOSTORS.

**GOVERNMENT IS NOT THE BOSS, YOU ARE! SO ACT LIKE ONE! KNOWING ALL THIS, PERHAPS IT BECOMES A BIT MORE ATTRACTIVE FOR CANADIANS TO GET A TASTE OF REAL NATIONHOOD AND REAL SOVEREIGNTY (I.E. FREEDOM), INSTEAD OF OPPRESSIVE DESPOTISM AND WAGE SLAVERY, BY ADOPTING THE PURELY CANADIAN CONCEPT OF PARTICIPATION.**


**TO SUM IT UP, CANADA IS A GIGANTIC FAKE, AN EMBARRASSMENT OF GIANT PROPORTIONS. ALL CENTRALIZED GOVERNMENTS ARE IMPOSED BY NON-LEGAL FORCE AND THEIR CONSTITUTIONS ARE NOT WORTH THE PAPER THEY ARE WRITTEN ON, NOR ARE THEIR LAWS, AS WE CAN CLEARLY SEE NOW. IT WILL STAY THAT WAY UNTIL SUCH A TIME WHEN NATION BUILDING IS AGAIN CONSIDERED A PROJECT WORTHY OF THE CREATIVE AND LIBERATING EFFORTS OF FREE PEOPLE...INCLUSIVE, CONSENSUAL, UNIVERSAL AND TRULY DEMOCRATIC.**

**AS IT STANDS NOW, CANADA IS A FAKE IN EVERY RESPECT, IN THE HANDS OF DESPOTIC INDIVIDUALS BENT ON PULLING OFF THE BIGGEST CRIME IN THE UNIVERSE...THE GLOBALIZATION OF FAKENESS...AND AGAIN THE ESTABLISHMENT'S CHEERLEADERS GO "OOHH" AND "AAHH," DULY RECORDED AND ENDLESSLY RE-CYCLED IN THE CLOSED LOOP OF THE MEDIA MONOPOLIES UNTIL ALL ALTERNATIVES HAVE MOVED BEYOND THE VANISHING POINT...OUT OF SIGHT.**

**OH, AND WHAT WAS THAT YOU WERE SAYING ABOUT FIGHTING YOUR TAX ASSESSMENT (OR THIS OR THAT ALLEGED LAW) ON GROUNDS THAT IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL? PERHAPS YOU SHOULD CONSIDER MOVING TO A REAL COUNTRY, OR AT LEAST ONE THAT HAS A REAL CONSTITUTION!**

**WE, AS ALLEGED CANADIANS ARE LIVING IN AN UN-COUNTRY WITH NO LAW BECAUSE WE HAVE NO BASIS FROM WHICH TO FRAME ANY LAW, HENCE WE HAVE ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, REAL OR FEIGNED! EVERYTHING IS MERELY AN "ACT" (NO PLAN INTENDED) DESIGNED TO KEEP US UN-INFORMED, UN-PROTECTED, AND UN-ABLE TO OBJECT.**

**[HTTP://CREATIVECOMMONS.ORG/LICENSES/PUBLICDOMAIN](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/publicdomain)  
THIS WORK IS IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN**





# NUI AND COR

## NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING CANADA IS A COMMON LAW JURISDICTION, AND,  
WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING EQUALITY BEFORE THE LAW IS PARAMOUNT AND MANDATORY, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING A STATUTE IS DEFINED AS A LEGISLATED RULE OF SOCIETY WHICH HAS BEEN GIVEN THE FORCE OF LAW, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING A SOCIETY IS DEFINED AS A NUMBER OF PEOPLE JOINED BY MUTUAL CONSENT TO DELIBERATE, DETERMINE AND ACT FOR A COMMON GOAL, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THE ONLY FORM OF GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZED AS LAWFUL IN CANADA IS A REPRESENTATIVE ONE, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING REPRESENTATION REQUIRES MUTUAL CONSENT, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IN THE ABSENCE OF MUTUAL CONSENT NEITHER REPRESENTATION NOR GOVERNANCE CAN EXIST, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING ALL ACTS ARE STATUTES RESTRICTED IN SCOPE AND APPLICABILITY BY THE CONSTITUTION ACT, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING SECTION 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION ACT LIMITS IT TO MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF GOVERNMENT, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THOSE WHO HAVE A SIN (SOCIAL INSURANCE NUMBER) ARE IN FACT EMPLOYEES OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND THUS ARE BOUND BY THE STATUTES CREATED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IT IS LAWFUL TO ABANDON ONE'S SIN, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING PEOPLE IN CANADA HAVE A RIGHT TO REVOKE OR DENY CONSENT TO BE REPRESENTED AND THUS GOVERNED, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING IF ANYONE DOES REVOKE OR DENY CONSENT THEY EXIST FREE OF GOVERNMENT CONTROL AND STATUTORY RESTRAINTS, AND,

WHEREAS A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND HAS LAWFULLY REVOKED CONSENT AND DOES EXIST FREE OF STATUTORY RESTRICTIONS, OBLIGATIONS, AND LIMITATIONS, AND,

WHEREAS I, ----- AM A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT ACTING PEACEFULLY WITHIN COMMUNITY STANDARDS DOES NOT BREACH THE PEACE, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT ANY ACTION FOR WHICH ONE CAN APPLY FOR AND RECEIVE A LICENSE MUST ITSELF BE A FUNDAMENTALLY LAWFUL ACTION, AND,

WHEREAS AS I AM A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND WHO OPERATES WITH FULL RESPONSIBILITY AND NOT A CHILD, I DO NOT SEE THE NEED TO ASK PERMISSION TO ENGAGE IN LAWFUL AND PEACEFUL ACTIVITIES, ESPECIALLY FROM THOSE WHO CLAIM LIMITED LIABILITY, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING A BY-LAW IS DEFINED AS A RULE OF A CORPORATION, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING CORPORATIONS ARE LEGAL FICTIONS AND REQUIRE CONTRACTS IN ORDER TO CLAIM AUTHORITY OR CONTROL OVER OTHER PARTIES, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING LEGAL FICTIONS LACK A SOUL AND CANNOT EXERT ANY CONTROL OVER THOSE WHO ARE THUS BLESSED AND OPERATE WITH RESPECT TO THAT KNOWLEDGE AS ONLY A FOOL WOULD ALLOW SOULLESS FICTIONS TO DICTATE ONES ACTIONS, AND,

WHEREAS IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING PEACE OFFICERS HAVE A DUTY TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN STATUTES AND LAW AND THOSE WHO ATTEMPT TO ENFORCE STATUTES AGAINST A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND ARE IN FACT BREAKING THE LAW, AND,  
WHEREAS I HAVE THE POWER TO REFUSE INTERCOURSE OR INTERACTION WITH PEACE OFFICERS WHO HAVE NOT OBSERVED ME BREACH THE PEACE, AND,  
WHEREAS PERMANENT ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE BARRING ANY PEACE OFFICER OR PROSECUTOR FROM BRINGING CHARGES AGAINST A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND UNDER ANY ACT IS CREATED IF THIS CLAIM IS NOT RESPONDED TO IN THE STATED FASHION AND TIME,  
THEREFORE BE IT NOW KNOWN TO ANY AND ALL CONCERNED AND AFFECTED PARTIES, THAT I, \_\_\_\_\_ A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND DO  
HEREBY STATE CLEARLY SPECIFICALLY AND UNEQUIVOCALLY MY INTENT TO PEACEFULLY AND LAWFULLY

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

----- FURTHERMORE,  
I CLAIM THAT THESE ACTIONS ARE NOT OUTSIDE MY COMMUNITIES' STANDARDS AND WILL IN FACT SUPPORT SAID COMMUNITY IN OUR DESIRE FOR TRUTH AND MAXIMUM FREEDOM.  
FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM THE RIGHT TO ENGAGE IN THESE ACTIONS AND FURTHER CLAIM THAT ALL PROPERTY HELD BY ME INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO**

-----  
-----  
-----  
-----

----- ARE  
HELD UNDER A CLAIM OF RIGHT AS MENTIONED IN THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA.  
FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THAT ANYONE WHO INTERFERES WITH MY LAWFUL ACTIVITIES AFTER HAVING BEEN SERVED NOTICE OF THIS CLAIM AND WHO FAILS TO PROPERLY DISPUTE OR MAKE LAWFUL COUNTERCLAIM IS BREAKING THE LAW, CANNOT CLAIM GOOD FAITH OR COLOUR OF RIGHT AND THAT SUCH TRANSGRESSIONS WILL BE DEALT WITH IN A PROPERLY CONVENED COURT DE JURE. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THAT THE COURTS IN BRITISH COLUMBIA ARE DE-FACTO AND BOUND BY THE LAW AND EQUITY ACT AND ARE IN FACT IN THE PROFITABLE BUSINESS OF CONDUCTING, WITNESSING AND FACILITATING THE TRANSACTIONS OF SECURITY INTERESTS AND I FURTHER CLAIM THEY REQUIRE THE CONSENT OF BOTH PARTIES PRIOR TO PROVIDING ANY SUCH SERVICES. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** ALL TRANSACTIONS OF SECURITY INTERESTS REQUIRE THE CONSENT OF BOTH PARTIES AND I DO HEREBY DENY CONSENT TO ANY TRANSACTION OF A SECURITY INTEREST ISSUING UNDER ANY ACT FOR AS HEREIN STATED AS A FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND I AM NOT SUBJECT TO ANY ACT. FURTHERMORE,



**I CLAIM** MY FEE SCHEDULE FOR ANY TRANSGRESSIONS BY PEACE OFFICERS, GOVERNMENT PRINCIPALS OR AGENTS OR JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTICIPANTS IS TWO HUNDRED DOLLARS PER HOUR OR PORTION THEREOF IF BEING QUESTIONED, INTERROGATED OR IN ANY WAY DETAINED, HARASSED OR OTHERWISE REGULATED AND TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS PER HOUR OR PORTION THEREOF IF I AM HANDCLIPPED, TRANSPORTED, INCARCERATED OR SUBJECTED TO ANY ADJUDICATION PROCESS WITHOUT MY EXPRESS WRITTEN AND NOTARIZED CONSENT. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THE RIGHT TO USE A NOTARY PUBLIC TO SECURE PAYMENT OF THE AFOREMENTIONED FEE SCHEDULE AGAINST ANY TRANSGRESSORS WHO BY THEIR ACTIONS OR OMISSIONS HARM ME OR MY INTERESTS, DIRECTLY OR BY PROXY IN ANY WAY. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THE RIGHT TO CONVENE A PROPER COURT DE JURE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS ANY POTENTIALLY CRIMINAL ACTIONS OF ANY PEACE OFFICERS, GOVERNMENT PRINCIPALS OR AGENTS OR JUSTICE SYSTEM PARTICIPANTS WHO HAVING BEEN SERVED NOTICE OF THIS CLAIM FAIL TO DISPUTE OR DISCUSS OR MAKE LAWFUL COUNTERCLAIM AND THEN INTERFERE BY ACT OR OMISSION WITH THE LAWFUL EXERCISE OF PROPERLY CLAIMED AND ESTABLISHED RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THE LAW OF AGENT AND PRINCIPAL APPLIES AND THAT SERVICE UPON ONE IS SERVICE UPON BOTH. FURTHERMORE,

**I CLAIM** THE RIGHT TO DEAL WITH ANY COUNTERCLAIMS OR DISPUTES PUBLICLY AND IN AN OPEN FORUM USING DISCUSSION AND NEGOTIATION AND TO CAPTURE ON VIDEO TAPE SAID DISCUSSION AND NEGOTIATION FOR WHATEVER LAWFUL PURPOSE AS I SEE FIT. AFFECTED PARTIES WISHING TO DISPUTE THE CLAIMS MADE HEREIN OR MAKE THEIR OWN COUNTERCLAIMS MUST RESPOND APPROPRIATELY WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF SERVICE OF NOTICE OF THIS ACTION. RESPONSES MUST BE UNDER OATH OR ATTESTATION, UPON FULL COMMERCIAL LIABILITY AND PENALTY OF PERJURY AND REGISTERED IN THE NOTARY OFFICE HEREIN PROVIDED NO LATER THAN -----

FAILURE TO REGISTER A DISPUTE AGAINST THE CLAIMS MADE HEREIN WILL RESULT IN AN AUTOMATIC DEFAULT JUDGEMENT AND PERMANENT AND IRREVOCABLE ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE BARRING THE BRINGING OF CHARGES UNDER ANY STATUTE OR ACT AGAINST FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND -----

PLACE OF CLAIM OF RIGHT: -----, CANADA

DATED: -----

-----  
CLAIMANT [OR CLAIMANT'S AGENT]

NOTARY PUBLIC: -----

TO REGISTER COUNTERCLAIMS AND DISPUTES:

NOTARY PUBLIC

ADDRESS

ATTN: FREEMAN-ON-THE-LAND -----

USE OF A NOTARY IS FOR ATTESTATION AND VERIFICATION PURPOSES AND DOES NOT CONSTITUTE ADHESION, CONTRACT

OR CHANGE IN STATUS IN ANY MANNER. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

THE REASONABLE MAN ADAPTS HIMSELF TO THE WORLD; THE UNREASONABLE ONE PERSISTS IN TRYING TO ADAPT THE WORLD TO HIMSELF. THEREFORE ALL PROGRESS DEPENDS ON THE UNREASONABLE MAN. GEORGE BERNARD SHAW

AN HONORABLE AND PERFECT WITNESS TO PROCEDURE AND PROCESS. THEY ARE AN OFFICER OF THE COURT AND THEY ACTUALLY HAVE THE POWER THAT ANY JUDGE OR CLERK OR SHERIFF HAS. AND THEN SOME.



THEY ARE THE ULTIMATE CHAMPIONS OF TRUTH AND THUS JUSTICE AND HOLD THEIR OATHS SACRED.

AT LEAST THEY SHOULD

notary  
public



YOU WILL USE THEM TO ESTABLISH FACTS AND TO HAVE YOUR NOTICES AND CLAIMS WITNESSED. BECAUSE OF THE POWERS A NOTARY POSSESSES, THEY CAN ALSO CREATE BINDING JUDGMENTS AND JUDICIAL FINDINGS. USING A NOTARY TO ESTABLISH FACTS CAN RESULT IN A DEFAULT WHICH LEADS TO A PERMANENT ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE.

# NOTARIAL JUSTICE

THIS PROCESS IS VERY EASY TO UNDERSTAND AND IS ESSENTIALLY EXACTLY WHAT A COURT WOULD DO. THE EXCHANGE OF AFFIDAVITS AND CLAIMS TO ESTABLISH FACTS UPON WHICH THE LAW MUST BE BASED. THEY WILL ESSENTIALLY DO WHAT A COURT WOULD OTHERWISE DO AND IN A FAR EASIER MANNER.

THEY HAVE THE POWER TO WITNESS A PROCESS THAT RESULTS IN A DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST WHOEVER YOU WERE PUTTING ON NOTICE OR MAKING CLAIM AGAINST.

THEY SHOULD BE TRUTHFUL 100% OF THE TIME.  
THEY SHOULD BE WILLING TO WITNESS 100% OF THE TIME.  
THEY SHOULD BE COMPLETELY IMPARTIAL 100% OF THE TIME.  
IF THEY ARE NOT THEY ARE NOT DOING THEIR JOBS OR ACTING WITH RESPECT TO THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE.

I AM AN HONOURABLE IMPARTIAL TRUTHFUL OBSERVER OF PROCESS.



YOU BETTER BE OR TYRANNY IS THE NATURAL RESULT





WHAT IF YOU WANTED  
TO SUE THE COURTS?  
WHAT IF YOU WANTED  
TO SUE THE ENTIRE  
LAW SOCIETY? WHAT  
WOULD YOU DO?



# WHAT CAN A NOTARY DO?

## Rights and powers of members

18 A member enrolled and in good standing may do the following:

- (a) draw instruments relating to property which are intended, permitted or required to be registered, recorded or filed in a registry or other public office, contracts, charter parties and other mercantile instruments in British Columbia;
- (b) draw and supervise the execution of wills
  - (i) by which the testator directs the testator's estate to be distributed immediately on death,
  - (ii) that provide that if the beneficiaries named in the will predecease the testator, there is a gift over to alternative beneficiaries vesting immediately on the death of the testator, or
  - (iii) that provide for the assets of the deceased to vest in the beneficiary or beneficiaries as members of a class not later than the date when the beneficiary or beneficiaries or the youngest of the class attains majority;
- (c) attest or protest all commercial or other instruments brought before the member for attestation or public protestation;
- (d) draw affidavits, affirmations or statutory declarations that may or are required to be administered, sworn, affirmed or made by the law of British Columbia, another province of Canada, Canada or another country;
- (e) administer oaths;
- (e.1) act as a consultant under sections 9 (2) (a) (ii), 12 (1) (c), 26 (1) (c) (ii) and 29 (1.1) (b) of the Representation Agreement Act if the member qualifies as a member of a class of persons prescribed under section 42 (2) (a) of that Act;
- (f) perform the duties authorized by an Act.**


*A NOTARY PUBLIC CAN BE USED IN THE SAME WAY A JUDGE OR COURT CLERK CAN BE USED AND THEY CAN EVEN DO MORE. ANY DUTY UNDER ANY ACT, THEY CAN DO. THINK ABOUT THIS.*



FIRST YOU CREATE A NOTICE AND HAVE IT SUPPORTED BY AN AFFIDAVIT. SERVE BOTH ON THE OTHER PARTY AND THEN CRAFT A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE. YOU GIVE THIS TO THE NOTARY AND NOW YOU BOTH WAIT 14 DAYS FOR THE OTHER PARTY TO RESPOND. IF THEY DON'T YOU REMIND THEM. IF AT THAT POINT THEY FAIL, YOU WIN. THE PROCESS IS OF COURSE A LITTLE MORE IN DEPTH, BUT IT FOLLOWS THESE LINES.

IF THEY DO NOT RESPOND THEN YOU SEND THEM ANOTHER NOTICE INFORMING THEM THAT THEY ARE IN DEFAULT AND GIVING THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND. IF THEY DO NOT THEY ARE CLEARLY IN DEFAULT AND IF YOU SENT THEM A NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT THEN THERE IS NOW CLEAR EVIDENCE THAT THESE UNDERSTANDINGS ARE SHARED AND THE INTENT EXPRESSED LAWFUL.

# THE PROCESS



REMEMBER HOW IN SCHOOL YOU KNEW THAT IF YOU WERE ACCUSED OF SOMETHING AND YOU DID NOT DENY IT, ALL THE OTHERS ASSUMED YOU AGREED WITH THE LABEL? IF YOU DO NOT DENY OR REJECT A CLAIM IT IS SEEN AS SHARED AGREEMENT. THE SAME HOLDS TRUE TODAY AS AN ADULT AND YOU HAVE THE POWER TO SERVE NOTICES AND CREATE CLAIMS AND IF NOT PROPERLY ADDRESSED BY THE OTHER PARTY, YOUR WORDS BECOME LAW AND ACCEPTED BY OTHERS AS SUCH.

IT REALLY IS THAT SIMPLE. YOU ARE GOING TO SAY SOMETHING TO SOMEONE ELSE AND WAIT FOR A RESPONSE. IF YOU DO NOT GET ONE, WHAT YOU SAID WILL BE SEEN AS TRUTH. GO HEAD, CALL THE GOVERNMENT 'POOPIE PANTS' AND WATCH WHAT HAPPENS.



# LETTER TO THE NOTARY SOCIETY

Dear Notary Publics of British Columbia

Hello and good day to you. I am Robert-Arthur: Menard a Freeman-on-the-Land in this God blessed common law jurisdiction. I am writing to you in order to share my perspective while hopefully addressing and alleviating some potential problems my beliefs and actions may cause. As you either know or should know, I teach people how to lawfully use certain sections of the Criminal Code to establish lawful excuse by way of a claim of right properly served and perfected. Of course this process does require a truthful, impartial and honourable witness and since the courts are affected, they cannot lawfully be expected to provide these services. Thankfully the law recognizes that potential problem and has addressed it with the existence of Notary Publics and the statutes which do bind you state in Section 18 that you do in fact have the power to engage in these actions, even though you may not be often called to do so.

In order for the law to be served you must do your duty and that duty is really quite simple. You are to be truthful and impartial and willing to act as witness 100% of the time. If you are truthful only 98% of the time would you consider that acceptable? I think it could get you charged. What about if you are impartial only 98% of the time, would you consider that acceptable? It naturally follows that if when it comes to truth and impartiality only 100% is acceptable then clearly when it comes to being willing to witness lawful process then 100% is also the only acceptable standard.

All you have to do to serve justice is to ensure that the people who come to you are who they say they are and the witness hat process and if there is a default then record that. To refuse to act because you do not either like the initiating party or their political beliefs or because you do know the affected party is opprobrious to justice and a breach of your Oaths of Office. If you feel you need to know the content of a Notice of Claim then you have lost all claim to impartiality. If you refuse to do your Notarial duty because you personally do not agree with the politics of the initiating party or because you wish to shield the affected party from justice again you have breached your oath of office.

You have a duty to the law and the people of British Columbia. Your obligations are not to 'The Province of British Columbia' and 'The Law Society of British Columbia' regardless of how much heat they may try to bring against you for serving justice at their expense.

I remind you that the role you play is vital and if you refuse to do your duty, we will have no choice but to start up a New Society of Notaries Public who are willing to serve the law and fulfil their duties. I sincerely hope you choose to serve the law, as your refusal to do will generate liability, and when we do have a new Society of Notaries Public to go to for justice, some of the first people who will be served notice and made to face claims will be people in your organization for refusal to provide services.

Sincerely and without malice aforethought, ill will vexation or frivolity,  
I AM

Robert-Arthur: Menard  
Freeman-on-the-Land

All Rights Reserved, Exercised at Will and Fully Defended, By The Grace of God

# NOTARIAL ILLUSION NOTARIAL JUSTICE

## Using a Notary Public to Establish Rights

**STEP #1** - CREATE A NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT.

**STEP #2** - BRING THAT TO A NOTARY AND HAVE IT ATTESTED IN THEIR PRESENCE.

**STEP #3** - MAKE A BUNCH OF CERTIFIED TRUE COPIES AT THE NOTARY OFFICE.

**STEP #4** - LEAVE A COPY WITH THEM AND SEND OUT THE REST EXCEPT FOR ONE FOR YOURSELF TO ALL THE PEOPLE WHO WILL BE AFFECTED BY THE NOTICE AND CLAIM.

**STEP #5** - GO BACK TO THE NOTARY OFFICE WITH A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ATTESTING TO THE FACT THAT THE OTHER PARTIES HAVE BEEN SERVED. HAVE SOMEONE ELSE SERVE THEM IF POSSIBLE, OR USE REGISTERED MAIL. LEAVE EVIDENCE OF SERVICE WITH THEM.

**STEP #6** - WAIT 10-14 DAYS DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH TIME YOU GAVE THEM. 14 IS GOOD AND 10 IS MINIMUM.

**STEP #7** - IF THEY DO NOT RESPOND THEN IT IS TIME TO SEND THEM ANOTHER NOTICE STATING THEY ARE RISKING DISHONOUR AND DEFAULT AND THEY HAVE A CHANCE TO CURE BY RESPONDING IF THEY WISH. IF THEY DON'T THEY ARE IN DEFAULT.

**STEP #8** - A NOTICE OF DEFAULT IS CREATED BY YOU AND SIGNED BY THE NOTARY. COPIES AGAIN ARE MADE AND SENT TO THE OTHER PARTIES. AGAIN A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF ALL THESE ACTIONS IS CREATED AND PRESENTED TO THE NOTARY.

**STEP #9** - AT THIS POINT THE NOTARY SIGNS A CERTIFICATE OF PERMANENT ESTOPPEL BY ACQUIESCENCE FOREVER BARRING THE OTHER PARTY OR THEIR AGENTS FROM INTERFERING WITH THE RIGHTS CLAIMED AND ESTABLISHED.

IT'S ALL ABOUT  
SECURING THE  
RIGHT TO ENGAGE  
IN WHATEVER  
ACTIONS YOU  
WISH.

FREEDOM  
IS EASY!






# FREEING JOHN KRIST

THE NOTICE OF UNDERSTANDING AND INTENT AND CLAIM OF RIGHT IN ACTION. WATCH THE STEP BY STEP PROCESS THAT LEADS TO FREEDOM FROM ABUSIVE GOVERNMENT.

Hi! I am John Krist. I tried to help someone and now the people in the government want to punish me for that.



LIKE SO MANY OTHERS, JOHN KRIST TRUSTED THE GOVERNMENT AND THE PEOPLE WHO WORK IN IT. HE THOUGHT HE WAS LIVING IN THE BEST PLACE ON EARTH, UNTIL HE SAW FIRST HAND HOW THE JUSTICE SYSTEM OPERATES AND IS CLEARLY DESIGNED NOT TO SERVE JUSTICE, BUT TO MAKE A LOT OF MONEY FOR THE LAWYERS, JUDGES, POLICE OFFICERS AND PROSECUTORS. HE SAW FIRST HAND HOW SOME POLICE WILL LIE AND HOW THEY PLAY VERY FAST AND LOOSE WITH OUR RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS. HE SAW HOW THE DECK WAS STACKED AGAINST HIM AND WAS WISE ENOUGH TO KNOW THIS WAS NOT A GAME HE COULD WIN. SO HE DECIDED HE DID NOT WANT TO PLAY ANY MORE. THIS IS HOW HE DID IT. YOU CAN TOO.

# COURTESY LETTER

## SEIZING THE MORAL HIGH GROUND

Courtesy Letter

28/10/07

TWIMC

Hello and good day! I am Robert-Arthur: Menard, a Freeman-on-the-Land in this common law jurisdiction and I am writing because of a recently accepted lawful duty to a fellow man. His name is John Krist and he is presently facing statutory charges for the possession of marijuana and possession of ammunition.

I met John a few days back at the behest of a man I know whom I believe is truthful, honourable and decent. Having listened to John I feel he is being unjustly prosecuted and that continuing this action would not properly serve justice. I explained to him what I thought concerning the Law and what his options must be. Based on that conversation, he told me he does in fact wish to abandon his person and live free of those who would try to govern him using deception.

He wants to revoke consent to be represented and thus governed and recognizes that the services offered by the government is simply not worth the cost of using them. He also recognizes that court proceedings are a form of services and he will be waiving those so called benefits. Based on our conversation, I do not believe he is trying to avoid lawful duties, but unlawfully imposed obligations.

I will share with you what he told me and why we now both feel that continuing this action is unjust and justifies him revoking consent to be governed or judged or otherwise bound by the desires of others.

Without even looking at the complete lack of a warrant and the way in which the polices actions contravened the rights guaranteed in the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, there are more then enough reasons for the revocation of consent based on the other facts of this case.

First of all, the law must recognize the importance of compassion and mercy and the only reason John went to that property on the day he was arrested was because he was asked to do so and was engaged in a mission of mercy. He was in fact helping someone with a medical emergency and as such was justified in his actions.

The other charge deals with there being some ammunition in his vehicle and that said ammunition was contrary to a court order from almost a decade ago. However for criminal charges to stick, there must be criminal intent and thus knowledge of it's existence. John's truck was stolen a month prior, there is a record of that and the ammunition was placed in his vehicle without his permission or agreement.

John expressed that he felt the police may be involved in a vendetta against him for lodging earlier complaints and pointed out they had access to his vehicle when they recovered it and could have either placed the ammunition in it in order to be able to bring charges, or whoever stole it had placed them in there. Either way there is no evidence that the ammunition was his or that he had knowledge of it existence. Since the law states it is wiser to err on the side of mercy one must ask the benefit of pursuing these charges in light of this information. It can't be a benefit to our community nor is it in the public interest for them to lose faith in the justice system, which is what happens



Luckily however, John like all others in Canada enjoys a common law jurisdiction,. and according to the Supreme Court of Canada the courts in this land require the consent of both parties prior to providing adjudication. And as any woman knows, consent can be revoked, how else can men face charges of raping their wives if that is no9t the case?

Toward the end of assuring justice is served, John Krist will be revoking consent to be represented and governed, de-registering all his property and holding it instead under a claim of right and will be surrendering his Birth Certificate, Driver's Licence, Social Insurance Number and all other documents that evidence any association between him and those he sees as deceivers who would harm him. Using a Notary Public and the power inherent in that office, he will be serving Notice and laying claim against all those who think they have the right to govern, control or judge him. Lest you think he is crazy, you should know he is not alone, and he is in fact part of the rapidly growing segment of the population that has lost complete faith in the governments, courts and justice system. Do you intend to recapture what you lost due to deception and bullying with even more of the same?

Those who are affected by his actions will have sufficient time to respond under oath and upon their full commercial liability. Failure to do so will result in a default judgement against them and a subsequent permanent estoppel by acquiescence barring any and all from attempting to bring charges against him for failure to obey court orders or statutes. Like so many others, he is simply sick of the abuse and lies that those we trusted with the justice system love to dish out. Let us find the truth judicially and let us all agree to be bound by that truth.

Sincerely and without malice aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity,  
I AM

Robert-Arthur: Menard

Freeman-on-the-Land

Non-consenting, All Rights Reserved, Exercised at Will and Fully Defended, by The Grace of God

*The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society*  
**Justice is Truth in Action**

**IT'S IMPORTANT TO BE NICE  
EVEN WHEN BEING AN ASSHOLE**

# REVOKING CONSENT

*TELLING THEM WHAT'S WHAT*

## Constructive Notice of Denial of Consent

NOTICE

October \_\_\_\_\_ Year of Our Lord Two Thousand and Seven

Notice is hereby served that I, \_\_\_\_\_ of the Krist family do hereby lawfully, clearly, specifically and unequivocally revoke and/or deny consent to be represented, governed or adjudged by other human beings.

With this revocation of consent I do hereby free myself from all statutory obligations and restraints and as I no longer exist in association with the existing courts or governmental entities I am no longer subject to their demands and orders.

Any party not wishing to be bound by this Notice and associated claims has fourteen days from the date of service to present to the Notary Public administering this file an affidavit created under oath and upon their full commercial liability and claiming the right to govern their fellow man without the consent of the governed or to claim that consent once granted cannot be lawfully revoked at any time.

Failure to do so will result in a DEFAULT JUDGMENT and PERMANENT ESTOPPEL forever barring anyone from enforcing statutes or court orders upon me.

This Notice is created and served in the interest of justice and is a lawful and honourable response to those who appear to be abusing the justice system for their own personal gain and political advancement.

Created and served without malice aforethought, ill will, vexation or frivolity and in the interest of justice.

Notary Public

**NOTICE:** Use of a Notary is for identification and verification of process purposes only and does not constitute adhesion or change in status in any way.



# DE-REGISTERING

THAT'S MINE!

## Notice of De-registration And Fee Schedule

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

### NOTICE

Be advised that as of the date found above, the automobile with VIN# \_\_\_\_\_ is no longer to be considered registered as a motor vehicle in the legal entity known as The Province of British Columbia and that said property is now held under a Claim of Right as mentioned in Section 39 of the Criminal Code of Canada.

Any one guilty of failing to heed this Notice is guilty of negligence and if a police officer liable for the negligence. Attempts to enforce a Motor Vehicle Act upon me or this automobile will be further evidence of negligence and will activate my previously established FEE SCHEDULE.

This Notice is effective from the date of Service.

Served By: \_\_\_\_\_

Notarial Witness:

Use of a Notary Public is for attestation and verification of process only and does not alter status nor evidence adhesion in any manner.

*"VIOLENT MEANS WILL GIVE VIOLENT FREEDOM. THAT WOULD BE A MENACE TO THE WORLD AND TO INDIA HERSELF."  
-MOHANDAS GANDHI*

# FROM A FREEMAN TO A PEACE OFFICER

Hello Good Peace Officer

First I would like to start by taking the time to thank you for reviewing my videos, having an open mind toward this subject and for being a good peace officer. I was in the RCR for four years and came close to joining the RCMP but decided on a less responsible path, as carrying a firearm and incarcerating people for marijuana was simply not something I could see myself doing. I do try to always ensure that when I speak of peace officers or deal with them directly I am respectful and courteous. I have compassion for all and great respect for those who with shiny boots toe the line of the Law. It is when that line is crossed by dirty boots we all suffer and feel betrayed. I imagine as someone who does honourably serve that when things like that happen it must grate on you considerably. The vast majority of peace officers I have met have all been very decent people and I do see how tough a job you have. I am also aware that there is a fundamental truth concerning the source nature and limits of authority and it is that I wish to see lawfully addressed. I see how much good can come if done properly and the immense harm if done improperly. I will do my best.

Secondly and respectfully please do not call me Mister. I am a Freeman-on-the-Land and 'Freeman' is a proper and accepted contracted designation. You have worked hard I bet to be a Peace Officer and as such should be spoken to with respect for your accomplishments. Your status as 'Peace Officer' should be respected. I too have worked hard and the term 'Mister' implies a shared societal membership. I know which societies I am a member of and I know all the members thereof. Please do not take this as disrespectful in any way, but you and I are simply not members of the same legally existing society. I will if you like explain why later. I do realize that you using the term was an act of respect and I do appreciate that. I hope you accept this gentle correction as being respectfully tendered. I am a Freeman and will take it as a sign of your honour if I am addressed as such. I believe I have earned it, and I simply cannot accept 'Mister'. Freeman Robert-Arthur works fine for me.

Thoreau spoke of how any societal structure needs both the critics and the protectors. If the latter has too much power, then stagnation results and if the former, then there is no stability. There must be a balance between the two for there to be any long term growth. The things I teach are I believe true and yes very powerful. I do see your point about how people could misuse this information and had some hesitation in revealing it for that reason. I liken it to teaching a five year old how to take the safety off a gun. What I have found however is that most of the people I have been meeting are not out to misuse this information at all, and are not even involved to gain personally, but because they too love this country and want to see something better created, and they see this as an opportunity to do so while address some serious problems. You stated that you think most people are just out for themselves. I invite you to meet some of the people I have been meeting. They are all very motivated by some sort of Divine Imperative and one of the key aspects of that is the acceptance of a Oneness that binds us all.

I understand your concern that this information can be misused by the least duty bound amongst us. The half blind will see this information and think it frees them of all obligations and responsibilities, when the opposite is the truth. I think you will find that this path demands certain things from those who walk it. Many I think are aware of this at the outset and those that aren't learn fast enough. Imagine a path leading to a party. To get there you have to cross a body of water. To do so you will have to abandon any anchors you may have been carrying and wear the life vest that is provided. If they do that they pass if not they don't and there is simply no way around it. The anchor is what I see as anger, fear, shame and frustration. The life vest is compassion. Even if they start out without compassion and burdened by their anger they simply do not get far and there is a place where they cannot pass. Nobody gets to the party without compassion and with anger or greed. Everybody can get there if they abandon fear and accept



The people I have met on this path are not those who are 'just out for themselves' any more than your fellow officers are 'power hungry half wits looking to inflate their own egos'. I honestly believe many share very similar characteristics; they care about this country, the Law and are willing to stand, sacrifice and take risks to ensure future generations also have access to the Law.

I personally have been called to deal with armed peace officers many times, every time I was unarmed, often cuffed, occasionally jailed and certainly tested spiritually wise, and yet recognized that this was part of my duty. In your job you have many powers and tools at your disposal and many well armed, equipped and trained people to come running to your aid summoned at the speed of airwaves. Any one hurts you and the courts and the system come down very hard on them assuming they even survive. Your job is tough I know, but you have an enormous support system consisting of the best armed crew in Canada and a court system that rightfully frowns on peace officers being harmed. Then of course there is the public who may bitch about perceived failures of the police, but when they see a funeral for fallen officers, even those who otherwise detest the police are respectfully silent and feeling a loss. Would you like to try being a 'Freeman-on-the-Land' who also must stand for the Law but without any weapons, backup or support system? I ask you imagine standing in a manner where not only will the courts not protect you but may see you as a threat and the public as someone who is trying to get away with something. I ask you see that my role is quite difficult as well, and that those who follow are motivated by a good conscience. I will ensure that in my seminars I inform people of how important and difficult your job is and how the vast majority of the people in your position are in fact decent, caring, competent and ready to serve the people of Canada. Certainly your letter has supported this position. I ask you do the same with your fellow officers and ask that they see that those people who take the Freeman path, are in fact risking much, are doing so out of love and growing spirit and that it is just as difficult and necessary a path as the one you walk. I could even argue that it is more difficult due to the lack of support, but then I am reminded of all the people I have met and friendships forged, and my purpose and know I have all the support I need.

We want change. We will have it. It has to happen or there is catastrophic failure from chaos or stagnation. To achieve it peacefully and gently will bring glory to God and honour to those who sacrificed so that we do have a system where change can be accomplished using words of truth alone. I know I feel very blessed not only for the knowledge I have gleaned but for being in Canada when called to share it. I can fearlessly speak and share my beliefs even if they may run contrary to the state because the state is composed of agents like yourself. I am very much aware of how blessed I am in that regard. There are many places on the planet where speaking as I do would result in incarceration and torture. I hope you see that I do what I do so that Canada never becomes such a place, and that finding and paving the Freeman path is not so much an attack on the state or its agents but a much needed reminder.

You spoke of the growing gap between rich and poor and in that we certainly have much common ground. I do not claim to have the answers or even the reason, but I do see how corporations as legal fictions having been granted status of 'person' have diluted what a person is almost to the point of slavery, and how because of their lack of conscience and ability to exist and feed indefinitely and their overriding profit motive are consuming this planet, it's resources and people. Know why so many people seem to be out for themselves? It is not that they are not giving people who do not care about their communities, it is because they feel the government has taken enough already, and they are not seeing the benefits of their 'donations'. Many of the rich are that way because they never cared in the first place (not all, I know some are rich because they worked their butts off and never stopped caring) and the poor are finding caring is very expensive, which is sad, but plays right into the hands of the bankers and corporations that seek to profit from our incredible resources. And they are succeeding it seems.

I would like to share with you a dream I had. I think you may understand this allegory as it involved shiny boots toeing a line. As an RCR soldier, I spent much time on parade with shiny boots and this vision/dream apparently drew from that to show me this information. I often get these visions when my sub conscience is trying to show me something. They happen just as I am falling asleep or just as I wake up. I don't really see them, it is more like I just walked out of a theatre and I am remembering a movie. It is a flash of insight but in full colour and with much information. Watching a movie may take two hours; remembering that same movie takes only seconds. It is like that.

Sir, I go out of my way to teach people what I know as the truth. I know just because I see something as truth does not mean it is the truth. I have been wrong in the past and try to tread carefully. I know that just because I have the right to do something does not make it right to do it. I see how difficult a job you have and more importantly, I see how difficult it can become if the present situation is not properly addressed. If you think your job is tough now, wait until there are a million Freemen who know the Law, have created and convened new Lawful courts, and have charged NEW Peace Officers empowered to arrest existing ones who attempt to impose statutory obligations on said Freemen. I think then your job becomes well near impossible and I hope you see that my goal is to help you avoid that and be seen as the selfless heroes that you are.

If I had to point to any one group as the source of much of today's conflict, I do not think I would point to the police, nor would I point to people who suffer from addictions or even those who attempt to profit directly from those addictions, although I have very little compassion for such types. I look to the bible and there it has been said "Blessed are the peace makers, for they shall be called the Sons of God". Why would I then denigrate peace officers? It also says "Blessed are the poor and weak in spirit." Those are the lost and addicted souls, and I pray one day they find their way back to Source. Know who isn't blessed? Lawyers. To them it says 'Woe be to you lawyers and experts in the law, for you have taken and hidden the key of knowledge and entering in not yourself, those who have entered in you hindered.' It also says "Woe to you lawyers, for you laden men with burdens you won't touch with your littlest finger". You do realize that what J.C. acted against way back then is here today eh? I see how lawyers have set up an entire very profitable industry for themselves and using very deceptive words and jargon all their own have been essentially fleecing us all. They have divided and conquered and have created a system that makes you people, who should be viewed in the best light, as enemies of the people you serve. They used deceptive language to do it and I see how they did it. I don't hate them either however, as I think when all the cards have been played in this Magnificent Deception they will find they have bound only themselves, and those of good spirit will find they can be free of it all, provided they do accept certain natural obligations to their fellow man. Much of what I have to deal with is the anger and distrust that people feel to peace officers and the fear that generates. I see how it developed and I know the source. And its not you and its not them, but it is there.

Before you retire, there will be at least one million people who consider themselves to be a 'Freeman-on-the-Land' in Canada. They will have taken the proper legal steps to establish their status. It will be a widely accepted, known and protected legal status available to any who does not seek employment with the government. We will be regularly using Notary Publics to operate courts and therein establish facts and truths binding on all and will establish lawful excuse to disobey any and all courts and statutes by way of a claim of right. If this does not happen, there will be either deadly stagnation or chaos and blood. Either way the human spirit is not served and the dish which is Canada becomes unpalatable.

How it happens is I think the only question and I see only two paths available. Both provide remedy. The best one I think is where you provide lawful remedy as it is your duty and we have trusted you to do so. The least acceptable one, but doable if necessary, is the one where we provide remedy and punish you for not doing so.

That is what is coming and what you folks need to be aware of. Think of it like Halloween. You can't stop it. You can't forbid it. All you can do is prepare and to refuse to do so, claiming instead the power to disallow it, will bring nothing but disrespect to all Peace Officers. Remember the tsunami from a few years ago where tragically over a hundred thousand died? Remember how a small child knew the receding waters meant danger, as they would be coming back and her words saved those who listened and found high ground? Imagine you are a life guard on a beach, you do have certain powers over the swimmers, but honestly you have none over the water do you? If those waters were the waters of consent, you would see them rapidly receding, and you have to know they must come back and when they do, you do not want to be standing where you were when they left. Finding the high ground when you see the waters departing is how to survive. Wait until you see them returning you and will find yourself running for your life. The high ground we need to find is the moral high ground. I am not the cause in any way of what I see happening in the world and freedom movement, although I do accept that inaction may create more harm. I am just an irritating messenger who remembers his science and history. I am like that child who pointed out the danger and how to find safety. Even if I could be lawfully silenced it does not change what is coming.



In this one I was walking down a yellow line and I was inspecting military like boots. It was a parade and I was the one walking along inspecting the boots. I had never been on this side of the line and that realization caused me to look at my boots, and I saw on my feet however were sandals. I came across a set of boots which were over the line and I gently tapped them with my right sandal and they moved back where they should have been. I kept inspecting all these shiny boots and came across a bunch of muddy boots crossing the line and kicked the first one I came across. Tapping it back over the line. That pair responded by stepping on my foot with force and intent to cause pain. With my one free foot I stomped the ground three times and then I realized there had been music playing and it had stopped. I turned around and saw there were tens of thousands of sandalled feet that had been dancing but had now stopped. They were all facing my way. They all stomped their feet three times in unison and then something amazing happened. All the shiny boots I had previously inspected took one step forward and then did a sharp about turn and stood defending the line from our side! The boot on my foot immediately stepped back, I heard cheering and the music started back up and I looked behind me and I saw that all these dancing sandals had boots around their neck, ready when needed, but they would rather dance wearing sandals of peace, and then I awoke. Cool eh?

I think this is a fairly good way to look at what is happening. We want peace and to dance in freedom. That means we need a line and that line is best served by those who will sacrifice the dance and sandals for heavy boots. We respect that, as it allows us to continue dancing. We love that line and those who stand on it in service of our dance as it and you allow us to wear our sandals of peace. If you cross that line inadvertently with shiny boots and accept correction nothing harmful happens. Cross that line with muddy boots however and you are a threat and have breached our trust. Attack us and you will see the truth. We outnumber you rather completely, and we all have boots too. And those we trusted to toe the line with shiny boots who now cross it with mud or allow their fellow officers to do so will face a nation of once peaceful people who are suddenly wearing their boots, ready to deal with perceived threats so the dance can continue. We do not want to fight as we love the dance, but if you think we will allow muddy boots on the dance floor you need to look up, see our boots shiny and new and looking up further see our eyes and intent. Look closely you will see our beliefs. You serve us. You serve The Line. The Line serves us. Serve properly we can dance in peace. And we will love you for that. Fail and the dance is temporarily suspended whilst we deal with the muddy boots and those that allowed them over the line. Those with shiny boots will know this and respond, and by stepping up and turning around allow the dance to continue.

Your letter did bring me much hope and I trust you see that although you could have ignored what people are talking about, you choose the more difficult path. Props to you for that. This does create upon you a certain duty of due diligence concerning these matters. If I am wrong I could cause much damage and by spreading false news break the law. If right, then you have a duty to act with respect to this information and to ensure your fellow officers are also aware. I think these are very interesting times we live in and I see many things happening that cause me to think the change can be positive and peaceful. Among those changes is the fact that the new head of the RCMP is a trained lawyer and thus certainly knowledgeable about these matters. Also, the Supreme Court recently found that police are liable for negligence and therefore expected to know the law and act with respect to it. I think all the pieces are falling into place which will allow peace officers to respect Freeman status. I think failure to do so will be seen as negligence as all we are doing is using concepts found in the Criminal Code in a lawful manner.

In closing, I would like to once again thank you for your words and for being the kind of peace officer that will look at such issues. I would be honoured to meet with you and your friends and share my beliefs. If possible I would like to tape this meeting and use the footage in my teaching endeavours. If you wished and doing so would serve justice I would be happy to present a seminar without cost specifically for peace officers to learn what we are teaching. This too I would like to video tape and distribute. I believe it would go a long way to helping people see peace officers as something besides 'tools of the state' and to address the growing gap that exists not only between rich and poor but the police and the policed. Dialogue certainly will not be harmful. On that note as well, I would like you to reconsider your request to not share your words. I would very much like to do so, and will if you wish change what is needed so that all people will know is that the words came from a police officer somewhere in Canada, although I personally would not be ashamed of them at all as I think they demonstrate both professionalism and decency. And they certainly inspire hope.



# FREEMAN POETRY

MAY LOVE BE YOUR MASTER  
MAY PEACE BE YOUR GUIDE  
MAY YOUR SPIRIT BE STURDY  
WHATEVER BETIDE

THERE IS BEAUTY IN LIFE  
IT'S IN ALL THAT YOU SEE  
YOU CAN FIND IT IN YOU,  
I FOUND IT IN ME!

FORGIVENESS IS THE KEY  
IT ERASES ALL WRONGS  
AND WHEN WE SMILE  
WE ARE STRONG

SO RAISE UP YOUR HEARTS  
AND SPREAD GREAT CHEER  
TO ALL THOSE YOU LOVE  
BE THEY FAR OR NEAR.

Love and kindness  
are never wasted.  
They always make  
a difference. They  
bless the one who  
receives them, and  
they bless you, the  
giver.



Love also empowers you over deceivers.





# FREEMAN POETRY

HEADLESS MULTI ARMED MONSTERS  
GAPING MOUTHS WIDE  
RIGHT WHERE THEIR HEARTS  
SHOULD BE

THEY GRAB ALL THEY CAN  
AND EAT ALL THEY GRAB  
AND THEY LEAVE NOTHING BEHIND  
BUT THEIR POISONOUS SHIT

VILLAGERS HIDE  
IN THEIR SEPARATE TENTS  
IGNORING THE SCREAMS  
FROM WHERE THE MONSTERS  
HAVE WENT

WHY DO THEY HIDE  
THEY HAVE HEARTS AND HEADS  
THEY ARE INFINITELY STRONGER  
YET SAID MONSTERS BE FED

BRAINWASHED SINCE BIRTH  
AND LED TO BELIEVE  
WE NEED THESE MONSTERS  
IN ORDER TO BREATHE

IT IS ALL A BIG SCAM  
THESE MONSTERS THAT ARE  
FOR THEY ARE NOT HUMAN  
NOT BY FAR

FOR THEY CAN EAT AND EAT AND EAT  
UNTIL ALL IS GONE  
MAYBE THEN THE VILLAGERS WILL KNOW  
THEY WERE WRONG

IT CAN'T BE TOO LATE  
TO FIGHT THESE BEASTS  
THE WORLD IS OUR BOUNTY  
IT IS NOT THEIR FEAST.

GET OUT OF YOUR TENTS  
GET UP ON YOUR FEET  
GRAB A GOOD PEN  
AND FORCE A RETREAT

THESE MONSTERS CAN DIE  
THE SAME THEY WERE BORN  
BY THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE  
THEIR POWERS BE SHORN.





# FREE YOUR MIND

MY MOTHER'S WOMB WAS NOT A CELL,  
YET IN THESE SHACKLES HERE I DWELL,  
AND I RECALL NOT WHAT I DID  
THAT FROM MY FREEDOM I WAS RID

WHAT CAUSED THE LOSS OF MY FREE BEING?  
WHERE WAS THE HEARING WHICH SERVED THIS JUSTICE?  
WAS IT IN SECRET, ASSIGNED BY MEN?  
AND IF SO, AM I OBLIGED BY THEM?

A PRISONER FEARS NOT THE THREAT OF JAIL,  
AND YET YOU RATTLE MY CELL RAILS,  
AND SCREAM THAT IF I DO NOT PAY,  
THEN IN A PRISON I WILL LAY.

YOU THREATEN MY WITH MY REALITY,  
YOUR WEAPON? NOTHING! BUT A FAKE DUALITY.  
I FEAR NOT MY OWN CIRCUMSTANCE  
MY ONLY FEAR IS MY WEAK STANCE.


BUT PUTTING ME IN YOUR POSSESSION,  
HAS MADE ME STRONGER WITH PROGRESSION.  
FOR I NOW KNOW YOU ARE THE FEW,  
MY FELLOW PRISONER IS NOT LIKE YOU.

SO IN THIS RIDDLE THAT YOU SPIN,  
I AM ASSURED THAT WE CAN WIN.  
FROM BEHIND THESE COLD STEEL BARS,  
I REALIZE THE KEY IS OURS.

AND AS YOU THREATEN AND DESPISE,  
I TURN THE KEY TO YOUR DEMISE.  
AND AS I WALK OUT, FREE AND BARE,  
I REALIZE YOU WERE NOT EVEN THERE

THIS PRISON FICTION UPON ME BROUGHT,  
ENSLAVED MY MIND AND ALL MY THOUGHTS.  
BUT NOW THAT EVERYTHING IS CLEAR,  
YOU BECOME NOTHING BUT A SMEAR,

A SMUDGE UPON A TAINTED GLASS,  
THAT I HAVE WIPED AND CLEANED ALAS.  
RELEASED OUT OF YOUR MAMMON HANDS  
I AM A FREEMAN ON THESE LANDS





# BURDENED BY A COLDNESS

*BURDENED BY A COLDNESS  
YOU REFUSE TO SHARE  
YOU WILL FEED A CAT  
WHILE A HUNGRY MAN STARES  
READING LIES  
ON THE CARCASSES OF TREES  
AND PLAYING THE LAWYERS GAME  
UNTIL YOU ARE ON YOUR KNEES  
BELIEVE THEIR WORDS  
AT THE RISK OF YOUR SOUL  
MONEY OVER PEOPLE?  
SPIRITUAL TROLL!  
HELP THE WEAK  
AND FEED THE POOR  
FOR WE ARE ALL ONE  
NOTHING LESS  
NOTHING MORE*



*QUOTE FROM AYN RAND'S, "ATLAS SHRUGGED"*



*"WHEN YOU SEE THAT IN ORDER TO PRODUCE, YOU NEED TO OBTAIN PERMISSION FROM MEN WHO PRODUCE NOTHING -*

*WHEN YOU SEE THAT MONEY IS FLOWING TO THOSE WHO DEAL, NOT IN GOODS, BUT IN FAVORS -*



*WHEN YOU SEE THAT MEN GET RICHER BY GRAFT AND BY PULL THAN BY WORK, AND YOUR LAWS DON'T PROTECT YOU AGAINST THEM, BUT PROTECT THEM AGAINST YOU -*

*WHEN YOU SEE CORRUPTION BEING REWARDED AND HONESTY BECOMING A SELF-SACRIFICE -*

*YOU MAY KNOW THAT YOUR SOCIETY IS DOOMED."*



# THE FUTURE



*A LOGICAL EXAMINATION OF THAT WHICH MUST COME*



# What The Future Brings

THOREAU SPOKE OF HOW ANY SOCIETY HAD TO HAVE ITS PROTECTORS AND ITS CRITICS. IF THE PROTECTORS HAVE TOO MUCH POWER, STAGNATION INEVITABLY RESULTS. IF THE CRITICS HAVE TOO MUCH POWER THEN THE STABILITY REQUIRED FOR GROWTH IS LOST. ONLY WHEN BOTH WORK TOGETHER DOES A SOCIETAL STRUCTURE THRIVE.



"Life is an adventure in forgiveness." - Norman Cousins



Order without liberty and liberty without order are equally destructive. ~Theodore Roosevelt

IF THE CRITICS ARE SILENCED THERE WILL BE STAGNATION LEADING TO SLOW DEATH.

IF THE CRITICS OPERATE LAWFULLY AND THE PROTECTORS REALIZE THEY ARE DOING SO THERE WILL BE A POSITIVE GROWTH, OR AT LEAST POTENTIAL FOR ONE.

IF THE CRITICS DO NOT ACT WITH RESPECT TO THE PROTECTORS THERE WILL BE NO STABILITY AND THE RESULT WILL BE VIOLENT PROTESTS AND RIOTS.

**ENDING #1**

**CONTINUE**

**ENDING #2**

YOU LOSE BIG

BUT YOU STILL HAVE A DECISION

DO NOT PASS GO.



**COPS SERVE  
THE LAW  
TO US**

**ONLY  
2  
OPTIONS**

**WE SERVE  
THE LAW  
TO THE COPS**



**GOOD OFFICERS**

*EITHER POLICE SHALL SERVE THE LAW AND DO SO IN A MANNER THAT SERVES THE PEOPLE AND DO SO WITH HONOR AND RESPECT TO HUMAN DIGNITY, OR THEY WON'T. THEY WILL EITHER RECOGNIZE OUR ABILITY TO USE NOTICES AND CLAIMS IN ORDER TO ESCAPE GOVERNMENT DECEIT AND TYRANNY, AND REJECT COURT ORDERS OR THEY WON'T. IF THEY DO, THEN SUDDENLY THEY WILL BE SEEN AS HEROES AND NOT ENEMIES. NOT ONLY WILL THEY NOT BE SEEN AS ENEMIES, BUT HISTORY WILL SEE THESE PEOPLE AS THE SONS AND DAUGHTERS OF GOD.*

*GOOD OFFICERS WILL KNOW AND ACT WITH RESPECT TO A FUNDAMENTAL TRUTH: THEY MAY SERVE A THING CALLED 'THE STATE', BUT THE STATE MUST SERVE US, AND BOTH IT AND THEM EXIST AT OUR PLEASURE, AND IF THE STATE ACTS UNLAWFULLY THEY MUST STILL STAND EVEN IF IT MEANS STANDING BETWEEN THE STATE AND THE PEOPLE.*

*THOSE OFFICERS WHO REALIZE THEIR PRIMARY DUTY IS TO THE PEOPLE OF CANADA AND THE LAW AND THAT THEY HAVE A DUTY TO UNDERSTAND THE LATTER AND SERVE THE FORMER WILL FIND THEMSELVES HONORED AND RESPECTED.*



**BAD OFFICERS**

*IF THEY REFUSE TO FOLLOW THE LAW AND CHOOSE INSTEAD TO OBEY PEOPLE WHO CLAIM A MONOPOLY OVER THE LAW, THEN WE HAVE REMEDY.*

*THE FACT IS WE HAVE A RIGHT TO JUSTICE AND TO AN ACCESSIBLE SYSTEM TO SERVE IT TO US. SUCH A COURT SYSTEM WILL NEED ARMED OFFICERS TO ENFORCE ITS WILL.*

*IF EXISTING OFFICERS REFUSE TO ACCEPT THE FINDINGS OF LAWFUL COURTS, THEY WILL HAVE TO FACE OUR LAWFULLY EMPOWERED PEACE OFFICERS. WE DO NOT HAVE TO CARRY GUNS AND FIGHT THE POLICE BUT WE CAN HIRE TRUE PEACE OFFICERS TO DO SO.*

*THOSE WHO BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE OUR MASTERS AND WE THEIR PRISONERS WILL FIND THEMSELVES NO LONGER PROTECTED BY THE EXISTING COURTS AND FACING CHARGES FOR NEGLIGENCE IN THE NEW ONES. THOSE WHO THINK THEY HAVE THE RIGHT OR POWER TO ENFORCE STATUTES ON A FREEMAN BECAUSE OF THEIR OWN IGNORANCE WILL LEARN THAT BECAUSE OF THEIR IGNORANCE THEY WILL HAVE COMMITTED AN ASSAULT AND POTENTIALLY AN ABDUCTION UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW. THEY WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR ACTIONS.*



# KNOW THINE ENEMIES AND YOUR FRIENDS



"The best course is to reject at once the first incitement to anger, to resist even its small beginnings, and to take pains to avoid falling into anger. For if it begins to lead us astray, the return to the safe path is difficult, since, if once we admit the emotion and by our own free will grant it any authority, reason becomes of no avail; after that it will do, not whatever you let it, but what ever it chooses. The enemy, I repeat, must be stopped at the very frontier; for if he has passed it, and advanced within the city-gates, he will not respect any bounds set by his captives."



## FEAR

FEAR CAN ROB YOU OF YOUR LOVE AND COURAGE TO ACT AND IS OFTEN A RESULT OF SHADOWS AND UNTRUTHS. WE TEND TO FEAR THE UNKNOWN AND OFTEN WILL ALLOW THAT FEAR TO PREJUDGE AND DENIGRATE. FEAR DOES HAVE A WORTHY PURPOSE AND HELPS US SURVIVE PHYSICALLY, BUT IT DOES LITTLE TO HELP US GROW SPIRITUALLY.



## ANGER

ANGER MAKES YOU DO STUPID THINGS AND STOPS YOU FROM SEEING THE PATH OF PEACE. WITH ANGER YOU CAN JUSTIFY THE MOST HURTFUL OF ACTIONS.

## SHAME



WE ALL MAKE MISTAKES AS THAT IS PART OF THE GROWTH PROCESS. WHEN YOU ALLOW THOSE MISTAKES TO WEAKEN YOUR HEART TO THE POINT YOU CAN'T FORGIVE YOURSELF YOU ARE ABANDONING A BASIC TRUTH AND NOT LOVING YOURSELF.

## LOVE

THE TRUTH THAT CAN SET YOU FREE IS THE ONE UNIVERSAL CONCEPT THAT BINDS ALL OF HUMANITY. FREEDOM REQUIRES COMMON GROUND AND THAT GROUND IS LOVE.



## COMPASSION



COMPASSION IS THE EXPRESSION OF SHARING A ONENESS. AKIN TO EMPATHY, BUT FAR STRONGER IT WILL BRING YOU TO A PLACE WHERE FORGIVENESS IS EASY AND GIVING A JOY.

## TRUTH

"ALL TRUTHS ARE EASY TO UNDERSTAND ONCE THEY ARE DISCOVERED; THE POINT IS TO DISCOVER THEM." IT IS ONLY THE TRUTH THAT SETS YOU FREE.



The world is too dangerous for anything but truth and too small for anything but love.



# SUMMATION

- YOU ARE NOT A PERSON, YOU HAVE A PERSON EXISTING IN ASSOCIATION WITH YOU. THE GOVERNMENT ONLY ACTS ON YOUR PERSON.
- THE GOVERNMENT IS COMPOSED OF PEOPLE. THEY ARE NO BETTER THEN YOU.
- YOU AND THE PEOPLE EMPLOYED BY THE GOVERNMENT ARE EQUAL.
- NOBODY GOVERNS YOU WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT, OR THE APPEARANCE OF IT.
- YOUR S.I.N. MEANS YOU ARE A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE AND THUS BOUND BY THE RULES OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.
- IF YOU ARE NOT A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE THEIR RULES DO NOT APPLY TO YOU. COURTS ACTUALLY REQUIRE YOUR CONSENT AS WELL.
- A VIOLATION TICKET IS A BILL OF EXCHANGE.
- WHEN YOU VOTE YOU ARE NOT JUST ELECTING A GOVERNMENT, YOU ARE ELECTING TO HAVE ONE.
- THE EXISTING COURTS ARE PRIVATE BUSINESSES OWNED BY THE VARIOUS LAW SOCIETIES.
- THEY ARE NOT YOUR PROPERTY AS YOU DO NOT HAVE FULL ACCESS.
- YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO TRAVEL IN YOUR AUTO ON THE ROAD IF YOU ARE NOT ENGAGING IN COMMERCE.
- THE NUMBER ON THE BACK OF YOUR BIRTH CERTIFICATE IS A BOND TRACKING NUMBER AND EVIDENCES MONEY THE GOVERNMENT RECEIVED WHEN YOU WERE REGISTERED.
- CANADA IS A CORPORATION AND REGISTERED AS ONE IN THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE COMMISSION.
- CHARTERS AND STATUTES APPLY TO CORPORATIONS, NOT COUNTRIES.
- YOU HAVE BEEN LULLED AND TRICKED INTO THINKING THAT STATUTES ARE LAWS WHEN THEY ARE ONLY SO IF YOU ARE A GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE.
- IF YOU HAVE LAWFUL EXCUSE YOU CAN DISOBEY ANY COURT ORDER OR GOVERNMENT CREATED STATUTE.
- A CLAIM OF RIGHT IS A LAWFUL EXCUSE AND EMPOWERS YOU TO DISOBEY ANY STATUTE, ACT OR BY LAW AND TO DISOBEY ANY COURT, ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL OR GOVERNMENT ISSUED ORDERS.
- YOU CAN CLAIM THE RIGHT TO EXIST WITHOUT OTHERS GOVERNING YOU. THIS WILL NOT AFFECT ANY PREVIOUSLY EXISTING HUMAN RIGHTS.
- NO PEACE OFFICER CAN FORCE YOU TO HAVE A LEGAL NAME AND IF YOU DO NOT THEY CAN'T LEGALLY DEAL WITH YOU.
- YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO REFUSE INTERCOURSE WITH A PEACE OFFICER WHO HAS NOT OBSERVED YOU BREACH THE PEACE.
- AN ARREST WITHOUT YOUR CONSENT IS AN ASSAULT.
- YOU HAVE A COMMON LAW RIGHT TO TRAVEL ON THE HIGHWAYS IN AN UNREGISTERED AUTOMOBILE AND TO DO SO WITHOUT FIRST SEEKING THE PERMISSION OF ANOTHER.
- A DRIVER IS ONE WHO ENGAGES IN COMMERCE ON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS.
- AN AUTOMOBILE IS ONLY A MOTOR VEHICLE BECAUSE THE OWNERS REGISTERED IT.
- GOVERNMENT AGENTS CANNOT REMOVE OR PROVIDE SERVICES TO AN UNREGISTERED BABY.
- A NOTARY PUBLIC CAN BE USED TO CONVENE A PROPER COURT OF LAW AND BE USED TO BRING LEGAL ACTION AGAINST THE EXISTING COURTS, POLICE AND GOVERNMENT ACTORS.
- POLICE OFFICERS PLAY TWO ROLES. ONE IS A PEACE OFFICER, THE OTHER A POLICY ENFORCEMENT OFFICER.
- THEY ARE JUST PEOPLE PLAYING ROLES. PEOPLE PLAYING A ROLE. THEY HAVE NO SPECIAL POWERS IF YOU ARE NOT IN THEIR THEATRE.
- REVOKE CONSENT AND YOU STEP OUT OF THEIR THEATRE.

# IT'S ALL ABOUT LOVE

Love is patient, love is kind.

It does not envy, it does not boast, it is not proud.

It is not rude, it is not self-seeking.

It is not easily angered, it keeps no record of wrongs.

Love does not delight in evil, but rejoices with the truth.

It always protects, always trusts, always hopes, always perseveres.

Love never fails.

I Corinthians 13:4-8





**THIS BOOK  
WAS ENTIRELY  
FOR GOD!**





# ThinkFREE BE FREE

## *With Lawful Excuse*

*This work is the culmination of over 20,000 hours of intensive study and research by Freeman-on-the-Land Robert-Arthur: Menard the founder and Director of ThinkFREE.ca. Producer of the world renown videos "Bursting Bubbles of Government Deception" and "The Magnificent Deception" this is the next chapter in the process of understanding that will help usher in a new era of spiritual growth and personal freedom.*

*Designed and assembled in order to be easily understandable by just about anyone this full color graphic novel teaches the fundamentals necessary to understand what is happening today and how to find complete remedy in a peaceful and lawful way. If you are new to the whole concept of Sovereign freedom, this is a great starter for you. If you are already familiar with this information, this book will help you to teach those you love what you see and why you are acting.*

*Loaded with information concerning the justice system, how money is created, discharging student loans, common law traveling, notorial justice and examples of documents successfully used by others to achieve lawful excuse to disobey any and all courts and statutes and thus secure complete freedom. Of course freedom is not free and the price for it is more responsibility, not less.*

*Begin your journey now and join the millions world wide who are learning how the law truly operates and the truth which can set you free. If you choose to apply it.*

*Peace, eh?*